Case:17-03283-LTS Doc#:18889-12 Filed:10/28/21 Entered:10/28/21 16:33:39 Desc: Exhibit K Page 1 of 198

## EXHIBIT K

```
Page 1
                 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
                   DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO
 2.
                 Case Number 17 BK 3283-LTS
                       PROMESA Title III
 3
 4
     In re:
 5
     THE FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT AND
     MANAGEMENT BOARD FOR PUERTO RICO.
 6
 7
         as representative of
8
     THE COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO,
     et al.,
9
                             Debtors,
10
11
12
13
       REMOTE VIDEO-RECORDED DEPOSITION OF OJAS N. SHAH
14
15
16
17
             The remote video-recorded deposition upon
18
      oral examination of OJAS N. SHAH, a witness
19
      remotely sworn by me, Tara Gandel Hudson, RPR, CRR,
      a Notary Public in and for the County of Hancock,
20
      State of Indiana, taken on behalf of Cantor-Katz
      Collateral Monitor LLC, with the witness located in
21
      Florham Park, Morris County, New Jersey, on the 6th
      day of October, 2021, scheduled to commence at
      9:30 a.m., pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil
22
      Procedure with written notice as to the time and
23
      place thereof.
24
2.5
```

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

	Page 2
1	APPEARANCES (All appearing remotely.)
2	(All appearing lemotery.)
3	For the Cantor-Katz Collateral Monitor, LLC, as
	Collateral Monitor for GDB Debt Recovery Authority
4	Noah Gillespie
5	Jacqueline Maero Blaskowski SCHULTE ROTH & ZABEL LLP
6	901 Fifteenth Street NW Suite 800
7	Washington, D.C. 20005 202.729.7470
8	noah.gillespie@srz.com jacqueline.maeroblaskowski@srz.com
9	Douglas I. Koff
10	SCHULTE ROTH & ZABEL LLP 919 Third Avenue
11	New York, NY 10022 212.756.2000
12 13	douglas.koff@srz.com
14	For the Financial Oversight and Management Board as Representative for the Debtors:
15	Michael Mervis
16	Margaret A. Dale Joshua A. Esses
17	PROSKAUER Eleven Times Square
18	New York, NY 10036 212.969.3000
19	mmervis@proskauer.com mdale@proskauer.com
20	jesses@proskauer.com
21	Scott Cooper
	PROSKAUER
22	2029 Century Park
2.2	Suite 2400
23	310.557.2900
24	scooper@proskauer.com
25	
2 0	

Veritext Legal Solutions 888-391-3376 www.veritext.com

	Page 3
-	
1	APPEARANCES
_	(All appearing remotely.)
2	How the Himmeial Organisht and Management Decard of
3	For the Financial Oversight and Management Board as
4	Representative for the Debtors:
4	William D. Dalsen
5	PROSKAUER
J	One International Place
6	Boston, MA 02110-2600
	617.526.9429
7	wdalsen@proskauer.com
8	±
	Gabriel A. Miranda
9	O'NEILL & BORGES LLC
	250 Munoz Rivera Avenue
10	Suite 800
	San Juan, Puerto Rico 00918-1813
11	787.764.8181
	gabriel.miranda@oneillborges
12	
13	
- 4	For Ambac Assurance Corporation:
14	
1 -	Alexandra Paslawsky
15	MILBANK LLP
16	55 Hudson Yards New York, NY 10001-2163
Τ0	212.530.5511
17	apaslawsky@milbank.com
18	apastansity emitibative. com
19	For Financial Guaranty Insurance Company (FGIC):
20	Candice M. Carson
	BUTLER SNOW
21	2911 Turtle Creek Blvd
	Suite 1400
22	Dallas, TX 75219
	419.680.5500
23	candice.carson@butlersnow.com
24	
25	

1	
	Page 4
1	APPEARANCES
_	(All appearing remotely.)
2	, 11 3 1 ,
3	For the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors:
4	Leah Lopez
	PAUL HASTINGS
5	200 Park Avenue
	New York, NY 10166
6	212.318.6043
	leahlopez@paulhastings.com
7	
8	For the Official Committee of Retired Employees:
9	Laura E. Pelanek
1.0	JENNER & BLOCK
10	353 N. Clark Street Chicago, IL
11	312.222.9350
	lpelanek@jenner.com
12	iperanek@jenner.com
13	For the National Public Finance Guarantee Corporation:
14	Robert Berezin
	Colin McGrath
15	Stephanie Morrison
	WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES
16	767 Fifth Avenue
	New York, NY 10153-0119
17	212.310.8000
	robert.berezin@weil.com
18	colin.mcgrath@weil.com
	stephanie.morrison@weil.com
19	
20	For the Assured Guaranty Corp. and Assured Guaranty
0.1	Municipal Corp.:
21	Cagou John Coruais
22	Casey John Servais CADWALADER WICKERSHAM & TAFT LLP
<u> </u>	200 Liberty Street
23	New York, NY 10281
ر ہے	212.504.6193
24	casey.servais@cwt.com
25	

Veritext Legal Solutions 888-391-3376 www.veritext.com

	Page 5
1	APPEARANCES
	(All appearing remotely.)
2	
3	For the QTCB Noteholder Group:
4	David K. Shim
	MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS
5	One State Street
	Hartford, CT 06103-3178
6	860.240.2580
	david.shim@morganlewis.com
7	
8	
9	For the National Public Finance Guarantee Corporation:
9	Alexandra C. Casellas-Cabrera
10	ADSUAR MUNIZ GOYCO SEDA & PEREZ-OCHOA, PSC
10	208 Ponce de Leon Avenue
11	Popular Center building
	16th Floor
12	San Juan, PR 00918
	787.281.1816
13	acasellas@amgprlaw.com
14	
15	For the Witness, Ojas N. Shah:
16	Andrew B. Joseph
	FAEGRE DRINKER
17	600 Campus Drive
	Florham Park, NY 07932
18	Indianapolis, IN 46204
19	973.549.7000
20	andrew.joseph@faegredrinker.con
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 6
1	APPEARANCES
_	(All appearing remotely.)
2	
3	For the Puerto Rico Fiscal Agency and Financial
	Advisory Authority:
4	
5	Peter Friedman
	O'MELVENY & MYERS
6	1625 Eye Street, NW
	Washington, D.C. 20006
7	202.383.5302
	pfriedman@omm.com
8	
	Ashley Pavel
9	Joseph L. Roth
	O'MELVENY & MYERS
10	610 Newport Center Drive
	17th Floor
11	Newport Beach, CA 9266-
	949.823.7138
12	apavel@omm.com
	joeroth@omm.com
13	
14	ALSO PRESENT:
15	Roy Berman, Law Clerk, Morrison & Foerster
	Edmond Esses, Kroll
16	Matthew Pinos, Law Clerk, SRZ
	David W. Prager, Kroll
17	Clint Thomas, Technician
	Steven Troncone, Videographer
18	Manny Valenciano, Veritext
19	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
2 )	

Veritext Legal Solutions 888-391-3376 www.veritext.com

	Page 7
1	
2	
	INDEX OF EXAMINATION
3	PAGE
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION11
_	Questions by Noah Gillespie
5	
6	
7	
8	INDEX OF EXHIBITS
9	PAGE Deposition Exhibit:
10	Exhibit 1 - Analysis of Creditor Recoveries49
10	should the Title III Case be
11	Dismissed for Creditors of the
т т	Commonwealth of Puerto Rico
12	Commonwealth of factor Rico
12	Exhibit 2 - Debtors' Opening Expert Reports61
13	and Disclosures
14	Exhibit 3 - 104 Governor-Duties and powers84
15	Exhibit 4 - FOMB CONF 88825, Spreadsheet105
16	Exhibit 5 - Disclosure Statement for the121
	Seventh Amended Title III Joint
17	Plan of Adjustment of the
	Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, et al.
18	
	Exhibit 6 - Puerto Rico's Proposed Plan133
19	of Adjustment Benefits
20	Exhibit 7 - CR Legislation Approved by147
	Congress Has Medicaid Funding
21	Measure for Puerto Rico and USVI,
	CDL Cancellation Language
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 8 (9:41 a.m.)1 2. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. We are now on the video record. Today is October 6, 2021. Time 3 is approximately 9:41 a.m. 4 We are here in the matter of the insolvency 5 6 proceedings for The Commonwealth of Puerto Rico to take the deposition of Ojas Shah. 8 The court reporter may proceed. 9 OJAS SHAH, having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the 10 11 whole truth and nothing but the truth relating to 12 said matter, was examined and testified as follows: 13 MR. GILLESPIE: Good morning everybody. name is Noah Gillespie, and I'm an attorney with 14 15 Schulte Roth & Zabel. And together with the law firm McConnell Valdes, we represent certain 16 17 creditors known in this proceeding as the DRA 18 parties. I'm joined today by Doug Koff and 19 20 Jacqueline Maero Blaskowski of my firm and also 21 certain colleagues from McConnell Valdes. 2.2 Before we went on the record, we had a 2.3 discussion just to note that the witness has a 24 keyboard and computer screen, computer monitor in front of him. 25

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

www.veritext.com

Page 9

Mr. Joseph acknowledged that this is simply to access the Exhibit Share platform that we'll be using, and so that's how we will proceed.

At this point, I think it may be appropriate, since we have a number of counsel on the line, if we could briefly go around and state attorney appearances for the record, if the attorneys --

MS. DALE: Hi, everyone. It's Margaret.

My understanding was that we would take care of that afterward just because of the number of parties on. She has --

She obviously has the most important folks, which is you, Noah, and Mr. Mervis so -- and of course the witness, Ojas, I'm sorry, and Andy.

I think we should just proceed with the deposition, and we'll work with you all to sort it out if there's any questions about who is here. We have the participant list and the like.

MR. GILLESPIE: That's fine.

MS. DALE: Okay. Thank you.

MR. GILLESPIE: And I think we should also just put the stipulations on the record; that objections today will be reserved aside from

Veritext Legal Solutions

Page 10 objections to form and privilege. 1 2. So I just want to make sure that that's agreeable to counsel and that none of the other 3 counsel on the line have any objection to that. 4 MR. MERVIS: It's fine by me. 5 6 MR. JOSEPH: That's fine. MR. GILLESPIE: Okay. And hearing no 8 objections. 9 Also, I just want to acknowledge that we 10 are conducting the deposition today virtually. You know, I hope everyone can be cognizant of 11 12 the technical difficulties that may present and 13 that we'll just, you know, work to avoid speaking over one another and to be courteous. 14 15 For exhibits today, we're going to be using the Eqnyte Exhibit Share system. My colleague 16 17 Jacqueline Maero Blaskowski will be marking and 18 publishing any of the exhibits we'll be using 19 today, and so everyone should be able to see the 20 exhibits there. We also have the capability to 21 share a screen if that's --2.2 With that, I'll dive in. 23 24 25

		Page 11
1		
2		OJAS N. SHAH,
3	hav	ing been first remotely sworn to tell the truth,
4	the	whole truth and nothing but the truth relating
5	to	said matter, was examined and testified as
6	fol	lows:
7		
8	DIR	ECT EXAMINATION,
9		QUESTIONS BY NOAH GILLESPIE:
10	Q	Good morning, Mr. Shah. How are you?
11	A	Good. How are you?
12	Q	I'm doing very well. Thank you.
13		Have you been deposed before?
14	A	This is a first for me.
15	Q	Welcome.
16		Have you ever given any trial testimony
17		before?
18	A	No.
19	Q	Let me give you a little overview of the
20		deposition process for today. I'll be the
21		person asking questions, and so I'd appreciate
22		if you would let me finish asking my questions
23		before you begin to answer.
24		Similarly, I'll let you finish your answer
25		before moving onto my next question. I'm sure

Page 12 each of us might violate that goal from time to 1 2. time, but this will help us get a clearer 3 transcript. Ms. Hudson, our court reporter, will need 4 to be taking everything down, and that will help 5 6 a lot. Do you understand? 8 Α Sure. And also to ensure a clear transcript, please be 9 sure to give all of your answers verbally. 10 example, if you nod your head, we won't be able 11 12 to take that down. And so please make sure to 13 speak your answer. Do you understand that? 14 15 Α Understood. As we mentioned, this is a remote deposition. 16 17 And so if at any point you're having any technical issues; for example, you weren't able 18 to hear the question properly or you can't view 19 20 the screen, just let us know. 21 Do you understand? 2.2 А Yes. I understand. 23 MR. JOSEPH: We're getting an echo. 24 don't know where that's coming from, but let's 25 see if that clears up.

Page 13 Go ahead, Noah. 1 2. MR. GILLESPIE: Thank you for pointing that out. It's a good example of exactly what we're 3 talking about. 4 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 5 If at any point today you find any of my 6 questions unclear or you don't understand the question, please let me know, and I'll do my 8 best to clarify. If you do not ask me to do 9 10 that, then I'll assume that you understand my questions. 11 12 Do you understand that? 13 Α Yes, I understand. Now, from time to time, some of the other 14 15 counsel may object, and if they do, you're still required to answer the question unless counsel 16 17 directs you not to answer. Do you understand? 18 Understood. 19 Α We'll be taking regular breaks today. If at any 20 Q point you need a break, just feel free to ask 21 2.2 for one. My only request is that if a question 23 is already pending, that you would first answer 24 the question before we go to the break. 25 Do you understand that?

Page 14 Α Yes. 1 2. Q Our focus today is to gather information from you in response to the questions that I ask; so 3 please try to be attentive to my questions. 4 Attorneys for the oversight board and your 5 counsel are present, and if they or anybody else 6 would like to seek further explanation, they can do that after I finish. 8 9 Do you understand? Yes. 10 Α Mr. Shah, is there any reason that you cannot 11 0 12 testify truthfully today? 13 Α No. Are you taking any medications that might affect 14 0 15 your memory or your ability to testify? 16 Α No. 17 Do you understand that you are under oath and that any testimony that you give here has the 18 same weight as if we were in a court of law? 19 Yes. 20 Α What did you do to prepare for your testimony 21 22 today? I reviewed the filed best interest analyses as 23 Α 24 well as spoke to my attorneys to understand the timing and, you know, who is deposing me and 25

		Page 15
1		what to expect.
2	Q	Thank you.
3		When you say "your attorneys," which law
4		firm or law firms?
5	А	Drinker and Proskauer.
6	Q	Very good.
7		How many hours did you take to prepare
8		overall?
9	A	It was a handful. Less than five.
10	Q	During any of your preparation, did you meet
11		with anyone who is not an attorney?
12	A	I would have had a conversation or two with
13		members of my team.
14	Q	Did you have any of those conversations with
15		your team without attorneys for Proskauer or
16		Drinker Biddle present?
17		MR. MERVIS: Objection to the form. Sorry,
18		sorry. Objection to the form.
19		But you can answer.
20		THE WITNESS: Okay.
21	А	The conversations were with Andrew Joseph
22		involved.
23	BY I	MR. GILLESPIE:
24	Q	Understood.
25		Who did you communicate with regarding your

Page 16 testimony or potential testimony in this case? 1 2. I'm really trying to understand if there was anyone beyond attorneys that you 3 communicated with, again, regarding your 4 testimony or potential testimony in this case? 5 MR. JOSEPH: Objection. 6 Form. Sir, I don't understand the question. Is that a Α different question than what you just asked me? 8 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 9 Sure. I'll rephrase. 10 Did you have any conversations with anyone 11 12 regarding your testimony or potential testimony 13 in this case? MR. JOSEPH: Objection. Asked and 14 15 answered. Go ahead. 16 17 As I discussed, I had conversations with Andrew Α Joseph, who is my attorney, as well as the 18 19 attorneys from Proskauer with regards to this 20 deposition. BY MR. GILLESPIE: 21 2.2 Understood. Okay. Q 23 Just a little while ago, you mentioned that 24 there were certain employees that you spoke with --25

		Page 17
1	A	Sure.
2	Q	while Mr. Joseph was present.
3		Do you remember that?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Could you just tell me the names of those
6		employees who participated?
7	А	Yes. They were members of my team: Justin
8		Collins and Gaby Piere and one more, Rafael
9		Rivera.
10	Q	Just for the benefit of the court reporter,
11		could you please spell Gaby's last name for the
12		record.
13	A	P-I-E-R-E.
14	Q	Thank you.
15		Mr. Shah, you're aware that there was a
16		best interest test analysis attached to the
17		disclosure statement?
18	A	Yes, that's right.
19	Q	You were involved in that analysis?
20	А	I was involved in preparing it, yes.
21	Q	Who did you communicate with regarding your
22		analysis in that best interest test report?
23		MR. MERVIS: Objection to the form.
24	А	I'm sorry. Can you help me understand the
25		question.

Page 18 What do you mean by "communicate"? 1 2. BY MR. GILLESPIE: 3 You were saying that you were involved in the 0 best interest test analysis attached to the 4 disclosure statement; correct? 5 6 Α That's right. I'm trying to understand who did you communicate 0 with regarding that analysis? 8 9 MR. JOSEPH: Same objection. When you say "regarding the analysis," are you 10 Α 11 referring to the preparation of the analysis? 12 Can you give me some context so I can try and 13 answer the question? BY MR. GILLESPIE: 14 15 0 Sure. Let's start with the preparation. 16 17 the people that you communicated with to prepare the best interest test analysis? 18 So the analysis was -- it was a combination of 19 Α 20 folks. One, I had the members of my team who I 21 just referenced to you who were involved in 2.2 preparing the analysis. And we also spent a considerable amount of time with FOMB counsel to 23 24 understand the legal assumptions that, you know, would be relevant for the purpose of this 25

Page 19 analysis. 1 2. In addition to that, we also set out to collect the necessary facts required to prepare 3 the analysis, and to the extent that there were 4 materials provided by other of the FOMB 5 6 advisers, we obviously engaged in making sure we understood the materials that were shared with 8 us. In terms of collecting facts from FOMB advisers, 9 0 10 who were the different advisers that you spoke with? And the company names are fine. 11 12 Α Sure. 13 MR. MERVIS: Sorry. Objection to the form. Go ahead. 14 15 So the other advisers are the primary advisers that serve the FOMB: PJT, Citibank, and Ernst & 16 17 Young. BY MR. GILLESPIE: 18 Any others that you can recall at this point? 19 Q 20 Outside the legal advisers? Α No. 21 MR. GILLESPIE: I apologize. There's some 2.2 sirens in the background here. 23 I thought the video froze. MR. JOSEPH: 24 MR. GILLESPIE: No. That was getting in 25 the way. I apologize.

Page 20 MR. JOSEPH: We can't hear them; so don't 1 2. hold up on our account. 3 MR. GILLESPIE: Thank you. BY MR. GILLESPIE: 4 So aside from the attorneys and the FOMB 5 advisers that you mentioned --6 Α Mm-hmm. -- were there any other persons or entities that 8 9 you communicated with to prepare the best 10 interest test analysis? 11 MR. JOSEPH: Objection to form. 12 Go ahead. 13 Α From what I can recall, there is -- the one other group that I believe we had perhaps one 14 conversation with is the board's claim track 15 conciliate adviser, Alvarez. 16 17 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 18 So with respect to PJT, how many conversations were you involved with involving PJT? 19 20 I don't recall the number of conversations. Α 21 And do you recall the format, whether it was 22 over telephone or by video? I don't recall the exact format. 23 Α Did you have any conversations with PJT --24 Q strike that. 25

		Page 21
1		You said you don't recall the exact number
2		of conversations with PJT. Was it more than
3		five?
4	A	I don't believe so.
5	Q	What did you talk about in the conversations
6		with PJT?
7		MR. JOSEPH: Objection to form.
8		Go ahead.
9		MR. MERVIS: Objection.
10	A	The conversations would have been focused on
11		understanding the debt schedule information that
12		they would have shared with us with regards to
13		the outstanding debt.
14	BY 1	MR. GILLESPIE:
15	Q	What was the purpose of the conversation with
16		PJT?
17	А	As I referenced earlier, we were gathering the
18		facts necessary in preparation to conduct the
19		analysis.
20	Q	Let's turn to Citibank.
21		Do you recall how many how many
22		conversations you had with Citibank?
23		MR. MERVIS: Object to the form.
24	A	Again, I don't recall the exact number, but it
25		would have been a handful.

		Page 22
1	ВҮ	MR. GILLESPIE:
2	Q	And similarly, what was the purpose of speaking
3		with Citibank?
4		MR. JOSEPH: Objection. Form.
5	А	Again, it was related to understanding the facts
6		around certain of the debt issuances to
7		complement the information from PJT, and we also
8		had a conversation with regards to, you know, a
9		reasonable discount rate for municipal debt.
10	ВҮ	MR. GILLESPIE:
11	Q	Any other topics that you discussed with
12		Citibank?
13	A	No, not that I'm aware of.
14	Q	You also mentioned you had you were involved
15		in conversations with Ernst & Young?
16	A	That's correct.
17	Q	What were the topics of your conversations with
18		Ernst & Young?
19		MR. JOSEPH: Objection to form.
20		Go ahead.
21		MR. GILLESPIE: Excuse me, Mr. Shah.
22		Counsel, can I ask what's the basis of the
23		objection?
24		MR. JOSEPH: Sure.
25		He could have had conversations on any

Page 23 number of topics that could have occurred on any 1 number of times. Each conversation could be on 2. different subject matter. 3 I'm trying to get a sense of what we're 4 doing here; so that's the basis for the 5 6 objection. MR. GILLESPIE: Thank you. I think we're covering the conversations 8 that he had in connection with his analysis. 9 10 (Reporter clarification.) MR. KOFF: I said, Noah, let's please move 11 12 I believe it's a ridiculous objection; so 13 let's just move on. If he continues, just give him a standing 14 15 objection because let's just try not to have all the clutter. 16 MR. JOSEPH: Yeah. This is Andrew Joseph 17 responding. 18 You know, Doug, he asked for an explanation 19 20 of why I objected. I provided it. And I would 21 just appreciate as a professional courtesy that 2.2 you avoid commentary like that. Inappropriate, 23 unnecessary, and inaccurate. 24 So, please, let's move on. 25

Page 24 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 1 2. Mr. Shah, we were talking about your conversation with Ernst & Young in connection 3 with your analysis in terms of the best interest 4 test reports, and my question was what were the 5 6 topics that you discussed with Ernst & Young? MR. JOSEPH: Objection to form. Go ahead. 8 Α Sure. 9 10 The topics discussed with Ernst & Young were related to the board's cash analysis that 11 12 is referenced in the BIT. Ernst & Young was 13 involved in preparing that analysis. BY MR. GILLESPIE: 14 15 What was the purpose of the conversations that you just outlined for us? 16 17 MR. JOSEPH: Objection to form. Go ahead. 18 19 MR. GILLESPIE: I'm happy to give you a 20 standing objection, if you like. I think that was a follow-up question from the question that 21 2.2 I just asked. 23 MR. MERVIS: Yeah, but it's cleaner. 24 takes a second. Let's just have a clean record without debating standing objections. 25

Page 25 MR. JOSEPH: If it's cleaner, just have a 1 2. standing objection, because --MR. KOFF: No, it's not. 3 MR. JOSEPH: -- then that's not clutter, 4 and have a standing objection. 5 6 MR. KOFF: Yeah. I don't agree with you. MR. JOSEPH: It stops the signaling of a witness; it stops the interruption of the flow. 8 9 No one would make these objections in a court of law. Let's just move on. 10 11 MR. MERVIS: Yeah, I don't agree to a 12 standing objection. I'm not sure why Mr. Koff 13 is insinuating himself on the record, but I will not agree to a standing objection. 14 15 The questions should be objected to question by question or not objected to question 16 17 by question. BY MR. GILLESPIE: 18 Mr. Shah, what was the purpose of the 19 Q 20 conversations you were involved with with Ernst & Young? 21 2.2 Α Noah, I believe I just answered that. It's with 23 regards to the cash report that was published by the FOMB and used as a source of information for 24 25 our analysis.

Page 26 0 Thank you. 1 You testified there was one conversation 2. that you had involving Alvarez; is that correct? 3 That's correct. 4 Α What topics did you cover with Alvarez in that 5 0 conversation or those conversations? 6 7 It would have been related to an estimate of Α what the -- the estimate, the time of what the 8 9 outstanding unsecured claims may be in the case. 10 (A discussion was held off the record to correct technical issues.) 11 12 THE TECHNICIAN: This is Clint Thomas. 13 That was David Prager. I muted his mic because there was 14 15 background talking going on. BY MR. GILLESPIE: 16 17 Mr. Shah, now that we've gone through these individuals, is there anyone else, any other 18 19 entities you recall speaking with in connection 20 with your best interest test report? I think we have covered it outside of the 21 Α 22 lawyers. Mr. Shah, what is your educational background? 23 24 Α I have an undergraduate degree from the University of Pennsylvania with a dual major in 25

		Page 27
1		finance and computer engineering.
2		I also have an MBA from Columbia
3		University.
4	Q	Can you give me a thumbnail sketch of your
5		professional history?
6	A	Sure.
7		How far back would you like me to go or
8		I'm happy to share as much detail as you'd like.
9	Q	Sure.
10		Let's see. When did you
11		What year did you graduate from Columbia?
12	A	2004. Full disclosure: I did an executive
13		program; so I was also doing some work at the
14		time. But I finished my MBA in 2004.
15	Q	Then I guess I should ask what year did you
16		graduate from Penn?
17	A	'96.
18	Q	Why don't you strike that.
19		Mr. Shah, could you lead us through some of
20		the highlights of your career and what positions
21		you've held in the past that are I'm sorry.
22		Let me start over.
23		Mr. Shah, why don't you just start in 1996
24		and lead us through just a sketch of the
25		professional history of your career.

Page 28

888-391-3376

A Sure.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

So after graduating from undergrad in '96,
I joined Deloitte consulting working in their
restructuring services group. I don't remember
the exact title of the group at the time.

I was at Deloitte for three years. I left in 1999 and joined a start-up called Internet Appliance Network. I was the director of finance for the start-up and helped grow that business over two years.

And in 2001, at -- you know, in the middle of the dot-com burst, that company failed, and I essentially was self-employed and worked as an independent workout consultant working with technology companies that were in a similar boat from 2001 to 2004.

Also, during that period of time, I got my MBA at Columbia through the executive program at Columbia.

I finished my MBA in 2004 and then joined a middle-market restructuring firm called X-Roads Solutions, spelled X-Roads.

I was at X-Roads from 2004 until I believe around 2007, at which point I went to work for Bear Stearns as a leverage finance banker.

www.veritext.com

Page 29

Unfortunately, you know, we had the financial crisis in 2008, and so my time at Bear Stearns was limited after the JPMorgan deal.

And it was at that time that I went to work for AlexPartners in 2008. I was at AlexPartners from 2008 to 2014 working in their restructuring group.

And then I joined McKinsey in 2014 as a part of McKinsey's transformation practice. Focused on distressed transformations and restructurings and have been at McKinsey ever since 2014.

- Q How has your role at McKinsey evolved since you joined in the transformation practice in 2014?
- A So I joined in 2014 as a senior vice president, and I became a partner in the practice the beginning of 2018.
- Q How did -- well, sorry.

What was your role as a senior vice president?

MR. JOSEPH: Objection to form.

- A I would on a -- you know, on a particular study or engagement, I would lead the day-to-day work.
- 24 BY MR. GILLESPIE:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

2.2

23

25

Q What were your responsibilities with respect to

Page 30 day-to-day work as a senior vice president? 1 2. Α I'm not sure exactly how to answer. Depending 3 on what -- I would lead a team of three, five, maybe more folks on the preparation of an 4 analysis or a model or some other deliverable, 5 6 depending on the situation and, you know, what was required. How, if at all, did that change when you became 8 Q 9 a partner at McKinsey? 10 It would have changed in that I now have broader Α responsibilities for an overall team and, you 11 12 know, potentially managing multiple workstreams 13 or, in some situations, playing more senior roles, whether it was a chief transformation 14 15 officer or more of a senior adviser to senior executives of our clients. 16 17 Since you became a partner in 2018, have your Q 18 responsibilities at McKinsey evolved? 19 Again, not sure how to answer that; so give Α 20 me --21 What do you mean? 2.2 The inverse of the question is have your Q 23 responsibilities remained the same throughout 24 the time that you've been a partner at McKinsey? MR. JOSEPH: Objection to form. 25

Page 31 But answer if you can. 1 2. Α I mean, you know, I think, you know, I have evolved as a partner in the -- you know, across 3 a diverse client base that I serve, and so 4 relative --5 I mean, as a partner, I would say my role 6 has probably evolved a bit in that, you know, in the level of client counseling to senior clients 8 that I do now versus when I first became a 9 10 partner. But as a partner in general, my role is, you know, largely the same. 11 12 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 13 Today, as a partner at McKinsey, how many people Q do you oversee? 14 15 As a partner, I don't have a set number of Α 16 people I oversee. I'm a partner of a large 17 firm, and the people that I may work with on a day-to-day basis is dependent on the engagement 18 or what we call "study" that I may be involved 19 20 in. 21 Mr. Shah, do you remember the best interest test 22 analysis that we mentioned earlier that's attached to the disclosure statement? 23 24 Α Yes. 25 So other than that best interest test analysis,

Page 32 what work have you done over your career for the 1 2. FOMB or the Puerto Rico government? Let's see. So I -- I've been involved 3 Α supporting the FOMB since 2017. So over that 4 period of time, I have done work with regards to 5 6 some liquidity analyses and liquidity monitoring work which was part of our scope at the time. I have been asked to prepare some specific 8 analyses in support of the FOMB, as Puerto Rico 9 has navigated a multitude of challenges over the 10 11 years. 12 And then I'm also a senior leader of our 13 overall effort in Puerto Rico; so I spend time, you know, making sure that we're continuing to 14 15 develop our people and our team that's here in Puerto Rico. 16 17 MR. JOSEPH: Sorry. THE WITNESS: I want to make sure I can 18 hear. I thought you may have said something. 19 20 I see your lips moving, but I don't hear 21 anything. Sorry. 2.2 BY MR. GILLESPIE: That's fine. 23 0 24 Through these projects that you did for the FOMB earlier, you know, so before the best 25

Page 33 interest test report --1 2. Α Yes. -- I think you mentioned a few things. 3 4 mean --What are the issues that you looked into 5 for the FOMB before the best interest test 6 report? 8 MR. JOSEPH: Objection to form. 9 Go ahead. Α The issues I looked into before the best 10 11 interest. 12 So if I go back to some of our early 13 support, we were asked to essentially set up a process to monitor overall liquidity in -- in 14 the Commonwealth and the information that the 15 16 board was receiving from the government. 17 So we would review the liquidity reports 18 and provide a summary to the board in changes in 19 liquidity. 20 That work ultimately was then transitioned 21 to the board several years ago as the board has continued to grow in terms of its resources and 2.2 23 people. 24 And then there have been other specific 25 requests. So, for example, one that rings a

2.

2.2

Page 34

bell is post the hurricanes that hit
Puerto Rico, you know, when Irma and Maria hit,
and the board was looking for ways to support
the government, as they just started the
response, we were asked, on the basis of some of
the liquidity work, to try and, you know, put
together an approach and perspective on how you
could estimate potentially what the short-term
liquidity need may be; and, you know, what
that -- how you would go about trying to put
together a perspective on what that may be so
that, you know, the board could be helpful to
the government, at least in that time of crisis.

One other request, there was at some point a request by I believe one of the creditor groups trying to understand how the changing cash kind of correlates to surplus.

And we were asked by the FOMB to put together kind of a -- some sort of implied cash surplus analysis to, you know, try and reconcile some of the historical changes in cash to surplus.

So those were -- if I think about the period 2017 to '19, those were probably some of the bigger lifts and big reports that, you know,

Page 35 we would have worked on. 1 2. BY MR. GILLESPIE: 3 Thank you. 0 In that period between 2017 to 2019, how 4 did you monitor the liquidity? 5 6 Α There was reporting from the government and prepared by the government's advisers that we would review and obviously ask questions if we 8 had questions on what we were seeing. 9 When you were looking at liquidity, were these 10 Q in connection with the hurricane? 11 12 Yes. Α 13 How did you monitor liquidity in that Q circumstance? 14 15 Α It was less about monitoring. It was trying to -- try and estimate what could happen based 16 17 on the liquidity projections that existed prehurricane in terms of, you know, what would 18 19 happen if the island stops, you know, 20 functioning; what could happen to the receipts that were anticipated, if stuff comes in later 21 2.2 and yet expenditures continue to have to get 23 paid to figure out potentially how big that hole could be. 24 It was with limited facts, the best 25

Page 36 modeling you could do, you know, to prepare a 1 2. perspective and, you know, help try and, you know, further thinking on how to size what that 3 hole may be, absent whatever the government may 4 have been doing at that time. 5 6 0 How does the work that you personally were doing between 2017 and 2019 relate, if at all, to the 8 best interest test report? 9 MR. MERVIS: Objection to form. 10 MR. JOSEPH: Objection to form. Go ahead. 11 12 I'm not sure there is much of a correlation Α 13 other than it involved a level of modeling. Ιt has a financial aspect to it. 14 15 Obviously, there is a cash component which, you know, is helpful, but it's not -- none of 16 17 that work directly informs the best interest analysis. 18 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 19 20 Is the same true for the work that your team was 0 21 doing from 2017 to 2019? I don't think it would be different. 2.2 Α Yeah. So then you --23 0 We kind of left off at 2019. 24 25 Α Yes.

Page 37 Were there other projects that you were involved 1 0 with from 2019 onward other than the best 2. interest test report? 3 That was certainly my primary responsibility. Α 4 Ι don't recall any other discrete projects other 5 6 than, as I've referenced earlier, as a member of our team, there's certainly a level of QC or others on other work product produced by the 8 team that I would have done, but those were not 9 individual projects. 10 When did you start work on what's now the best 11 0 12 interest test report? MR. MERVIS: Object to the form. 13 There would have been some --Α 14 15 MR. GILLESPIE: What's the nature of the objection? 16 17 MR. MERVIS: What's that? MR. GILLESPIE: I'm sorry, Mr. Mervis. 18 19 What's the nature of the objection? 20 MR. MERVIS: The nature of the objection is 21 I don't think your question is sufficiently 2.2 precise when you say "best interest test report" 2.3 because there have been multiple iterations over time. 24 2.5

Page 38 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 1 2. Mr. Shah, if I use the phrase "best interest test report" today to refer to what's attached 3 to the disclosure statement as Exhibit P, is 4 that something you understand? 5 6 Α Actually, no. 7 I was actually going to ask was your question in reference to the original best 8 interest test that was filed? 9 10 There was one filed, I believe, in '19 or sometime late '19; and then there was a more 11 12 recent update filed I believe in May of 2021; 13 and then I believe there's probably another update to the disclosure statement after that, 14 15 which it wouldn't have had an update to the BIT, but it may have been refiled. 16 17 So just help me understand what time frame you're talking about and with regards to which 18 19 analysis. That's helpful. That's helpful. To give more 20 Q 21 background to that. 2.2 So from 2019 to the present, has your team 23 at McKinsey done work for the FOMB other than 24 any of these best interest test reports? 25 Α Are you talking about the McKinsey team?

Page 39 1 0 Yes. 2. Α Are you talking about --McKinsey has served the FOMB on a 3 Yes. multitude of topics. 4 What projects has your team worked on for the 5 0 6 FOMB from 2019 to present other than the best interest test reports? 8 MR. MERVIS: Sorry. Can you read that back, Madame Reporter, or Tara. 9 (The requested text was read by the 10 11 reporter.) 12 MR. JOSEPH: I'm objecting to the form. 13 MR. MERVIS: Yeah, me too. Noah, let me give you -- so I can try and review 14 Α 15 all of what our scope has been, but our scopes are filed publicly on the FOMB's website. Our 16 17 contracts are filed publicly with our scope; however, those scopes have changed over time. 18 19 So at the risk of omitting something or 20 missing something, I just did want to note that; 21 that the scope of McKinsey's work -- and this is 2.2 where I wanted -- that's why I clarified, you 23 know, are you talking about the full McKinsey 24 team? You know, there is a broad series of things 25

Page 40

that we do for the FOMB; so all that information is available on the FOMB's website, if not other sources.

But to try and answer your question the best I can from -- so McKinsey as a firm more broadly serves the FOMB across the different Title III cases in that there is an ongoing case for the Commonwealth; there is an ongoing case for PREPA; there's an ongoing case for HTA.

We have teams that support the FOMB across each of those Title III cases, and we also have a team that has been supporting the FOMB with regards to -- and non-Title III -- kind of strategic support matters and has been for many years I think starting in late 2016.

This is where I'm not going to remember the full scope of our contracts.

Our scope of services across the

Commonwealth focuses on work to support the FOMB

in the preparation of fiscal plans. It also
involves specific expertise on various topics,
whether it's health care, public sector
expertise, other -- just from our government
practice, you know, bringing efficiency ideas,
et cetera.

Veritext Legal Solutions

2.

2.2

Page 41

Then we also support for a work on the best interests tests. Then there is kind of broad support on supporting stakeholder requests; right? Whether it's requests from creditors on mediation sessions, information requests, et cetera, that we support.

Then in a similar fashion, we have a team supporting the work that's being done in the PREPA case where they support the FOMB in the preparation and valuation of fiscal plans, interactions with the government, and the challenges that PREPA faces.

Then in a similar boat, we have a handful of folks that support HTA in a similar fashion.

So again, at the risk of knowing I've probably missed some things, I encourage you to take a look at our contracts with the public which provide the full scope of our services, but hopefully, I've hit the highlights.

## BY MR. GILLESPIE:

- Q Were you personally involved in all of these projects?
- A No.

We have a large team involved, multiple partners from McKinsey involved in supporting

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

25

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Page 42

the FOMB and have been involved for several years.

That's where it is just helpful for me, when you reference "team." You know, I mean, there is, you know, my day-to-day team involved in supporting the best interest tests, and then there's more broadly the McKinsey team.

And if I failed to ask for clarification before going forward, it's helpful if you can clarify what the question is in relation to.

- Q Which of the projects that you ran through were you personally involved with?
- A So the projects I've been personally involved in are the projects I referenced earlier. So I did -- back in the earlier -- or my earlier times was related to the liquidity monitoring; some of these other projects around understanding the needs post-Maria; this implied surplus analysis; obviously the best interest test, which has been a big focus of my time.

And I'm sure there's a couple of other ad hoc things that I'm not remembering off the top of my head as I sit here.

But with regards to some of the other major deliverables, there's other partners who have

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

2.

2.2

Page 43 led that work. 1 2. Q Earlier when we talked about the team that you 3 spoke with for the best interest reports, you mentioned three names. You mentioned Justin 4 Collins, Gaby Piere, and Rafael Rivera? 5 6 Α That's right. Which of the projects for the FOMB were these 0 three individuals personally involved with? 8 9 Α They were involved on the best interest test 10 analysis that's -- again, this is where I 11 thought that was your request on "team." 12 Yes. 0 13 Α So that -- but we have a much broader team serving, you know, the FOMB on a number of 14 15 other -- of the other topics. So do I understand correctly that these three 16 0 17 individuals did not work on any other projects for the FOMB other than the best interest test 18 19 reports? 20 I don't know that for a certainty. They could Α obviously have been asked to do something else 21 2.2 by another partner. I would not have asked them 23 to work on something else, that much I can tell 24 you. But some of these folks have been with the 25

Page 44 team for some time and very well could have been 1 2. involved in other aspects of some of our work. Mr. Shah, when did you first learn that you may 3 0 be a witness in this case? 4 I -- when the FOMB prepared its witness 5 Α designation list that, you know, that we were 6 asked to provide somebody who could testify with regards to this work that we've done for the 8 best interest test. I don't remember the exact 9 time frame but --10 That was not part of your understanding when you 11 Q 12 were first brought into the engagement with the 13 FOMB? Well, no. I mean, you asked the question of 14 Α 15 when I found out that I was going to testify. 16 Hm - mmm. 0 You know, as part of our overall support, as 17 Α it's included in the scope of our contracts, we 18 have in that scope -- you know, obviously part 19 20 of our scope would be to support the board, you 21 know, with testimony as may be required, you 2.2 know, in the situation. You know, it was --23 Just given the environment we're in, it would be unrealistic to think that that wouldn't 24 25 have been a possibility. It's been part of the

		Page 45
1		contract for quite some time. I don't recall
2		whether it was in the first or the second scope,
3		but, you know, it's been in there for several
4		years.
5	Q	How is McKinsey compensated by the FOMB?
6	А	Sorry. When you say "what is the nature of our
7		contract"?
8		So again, it's the contract is public.
9		It's available.
10		It's a fixed-fee, monthly run rate contract
11		that gets renegotiated with the board on an
12		annual basis based on scope.
13	Q	How are you compensated in connection with your
14		testimony today?
15		MR. MERVIS: Objection to the form.
16		MR. JOSEPH: Objection to the form as well.
17	А	I have no linkage between this testimony and my
18		compensation.
19	ВУ	MR. GILLESPIE:
20	Q	How does McKinsey's compensation vary, if at
21		all, based on your participation in the
22		deposition today?
23		MR. JOSEPH: Objection. I believe it was
24		asked and answered.
25		But go ahead.

Page 46 As I mentioned, we have a fixed-fee, monthly run 1 2. rate contract; so there's -- there's no impact on McKinsey's compensation unless there was some 3 perspective that we obviously didn't live up to 4 our scope. 5 6 BY MR. GILLESPIE: You've said that McKinsey's contract is a fixed, monthly run rate contract. How much --8 9 How much money is that per month? I don't have the figures off the top of my head. 10 Α Do you recall whether it's structured as a cap 11 0 12 of some kind? 13 Α I'm not sure. It's a fixed monthly. There's a -- you know, there's a certain amount that's 14 15 fixed each month for the services we provide; so I'm not sure how a cap is relevant or, you know, 16 17 is pertinent. Maybe I misunderstood the question so --18 19 Well, I'm trying to understand the fixed fees. Q 20 Is fixed-fee more of a minimum that there's a 21 certain --2.2 Α No. -- fixed amount? 23 There's a certain fixed amount each month for 24 Α 25 the scope of services that we provide to the

	Page 47
1	board, and that's been the nature of our
2	contracts for years with the FOMB.
3	MR. GILLESPIE: Mr. Shah, I think this may
4	be a point for a short break.
5	THE WITNESS: Okay.
6	MR. GILLESPIE: I don't know if we should
7	take ten minutes?
8	MR. JOSEPH: Whatever you want. We're
9	good. Ten minutes is fine.
10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record at
11	10:42. Please stand by.
12	(A recess was taken.)
13	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the
14	record. 10:56.
15	MR. GILLESPIE: I'm going to ask my
16	colleague to mark the first exhibit. I believe
17	it should be uploaded. I understand that on the
18	Egnyte platform, you may need to reload the
19	Marked Exhibits folder to see it.
20	(A discussion was held off the record to
21	correct technical issues.)
22	MR. JOSEPH: Exhibit 001, is that what I'm
23	looking at?
24	MR. KOFF: Noah, are you going to share a
25	screen, too, like we did yesterday?

Page 48 Sorry about that. I don't have it on my 1 2. screen, and I think others may not. MR. GILLESPIE: Why don't we have that put 3 up on the Screen Share so that everyone can see 4 it easily as well. 5 MR. JOSEPH: Okay. This is Andrew 6 speaking. Both myself and the witness have the document in front of us on the screen. 8 9 MR. GILLESPIE: Mr. Thomas, are you able to 10 screen-share for the benefit of the others? 11 THE TECHNICIAN: Sure. I didn't know if 12 you wanted me to do it or not. I'll bring it 13 up. Sorry. My computer kind of stuttered for a 14 15 second. It's on the screen now. MR. GILLESPIE: Very good. I can see that. 16 17 BY MR. GILLESPIE: Mr. Shah, are you able to see the Screen Share 18 as well? 19 20 Α Yes. 21 Mr. Shah, is this your report? 2.2 MR. JOSEPH: Can he scroll through it. 23 What we're looking at is the first page. He's 24 got the ability on the screen; so you don't need 25 to do it up there.

Page 49 I've scrolled through, really fast, the first 1 30, 40 pages, but, yes, this looks like my 2. 3 report. (Deposition Exhibit 1 was presented for 4 identification.) 5 MR. GILLESPIE: And I'll note for the 6 record that what we marked for identification as Shah Exhibit 1 bears some docket stamps from 8 the docket in the main proceeding which are 9 17628-16. 10 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 11 12 Mr. Shah, do you stand behind this report? 13 Α I do. What was McKinsey's assignment in connection 14 15 with this report? We were asked to prepare an analysis on the 16 17 recoveries that creditors could receive should the Title III cases be dismissed. 18 What conclusions did you reach? 19 Q 20 MR. MERVIS: Objection to form. 21 MR. JOSEPH: Objection to form. 2.2 Α My conclusions. The analysis has a series of outputs that 23 estimate a range of recoveries. That would be 24 our, I use your term, "conclusions." 25 Although

Page 50 if you're asking are there other conclusions, 1 2. yeah, the conclusions are the scheduled outputs that we have in the analysis. 3 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 4 So I understand that the results of the analysis 5 6 in this report are the schedules of outputs that are in the tables of the reports? That's right. 8 Α Mr. Shah, please describe in detail your role 9 0 with respect to this report. 10 11 Sure. Δ 12 So I led the team to prepare this report; I 13 was involved in reviewing the information and data that was collected as well as the 14 15 development of the methodology used; and then ultimately reviewing the analysis and signing 16 17 off on the output of our work; and I also was involved in drafting the report that you see 18 here. 19 To the extent you just described, you were 20 Q 21 personally involved in the preparation of this 2.2 report? That's right. 23 Α 24 Q Were you personally involved in any other aspect 25 of the preparation of this report?

		Page 51
1		MR. JOSEPH: Objection to the form.
2		MR. MERVIS: Same objection.
3		Go ahead.
4	А	I'm not sure I understand. Can you clarify the
5		question.
6	ВУ	MR. GILLESPIE:
7	Q	I just want to make sure we have a complete
8		understanding of the ways that you were
9		personally involved in preparing this report.
10		You mentioned a number of them. I just
11		want to make sure we're not overlooking anything
12		else you were personally involved with for this
13		report.
14	A	I don't believe I overlooked anything.
15	Q	In connection with preparing this report, you
16		accepted assumptions from legal advisers?
17	A	That's right.
18	Q	And you also accepted information and data from
19		financial advisers?
20	A	That's correct.
21	Q	Did you review all of the language in this
22		report?
23	A	Again, help me understand your question. Is the
24		question did I maybe you can clarify the
25		question. I just want to make sure I answer it

Page 52 appropriately. 1 2. MR. JOSEPH: If you don't understand, he'll rephrase it for you. 3 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 4 We're looking here at what's been marked Shah 5 6 Exhibit 1. 7 Did you review this report in its entirety before it was finalized? 8 9 Α I did review the report, yes. 10 And did you review the language of the report? 0 11 Yes. Α 12 Did you approve all the language of the report? 13 Α I did sign off on the report, as I mentioned; so 14 yes. 15 Is there any other person at McKinsey who is 0 16 more knowledgeable than you about this report? 17 Α No. Who wrote the report? 18 This -- when -- well, help me clarify. Are you 19 Α 20 asking who literally wrote each of the words? 21 Yes. Who are all of the individuals who were 0 involved in drafting this report? 22 23 It would be myself and the team that I Α identified earlier. 24 25 Q Just remind us who makes up that team.

Page 53 Rafael Rivera, Justin Collins, Gaby Piere. 1 2. Q How much of this report did you yourself write? 3 I don't have an exact answer for you but a good Α chunk of it. 4 Can you estimate how many words in the report 5 6 are yours? 7 MR. JOSEPH: Objection. Objection to form. I have no idea. I can't answer that question. 8 Α 9 BY MR. GILLESPIE: In the drafting of this report, were any 10 portions drafted by attorneys? 11 12 Of this report? No. Α 13 Well, let me clarify. When you reference the report, are you talking about the analysis 14 15 portion, or are you talking about the legal assumption appendix at the end of the report? 16 17 I'm talking about, really, the entirety of Shah Q Exhibit 1. Are there any portions --18 19 Then, yes, there are portions drafted by Α 20 attorneys. 21 I think you're referring to the Assumptions 22 section in Appendix 5. 23 Is that one of the places that attorneys 24 were involved in the drafting? 25 Α That would be one of the places, yes.

Page 54 Are there any other sections where attorneys 1 0 2. contributed to the drafting of Shah Exhibit 1? 3 So Appendix 5, which we just referenced starting Α on page 29, and there's similar appendices in 4 the other -- I'll get you the reference, 5 6 page numbers. Appendix 1 starting on page 88, and Appendix 1 starting on page 104. 8 9 0 Okay. So other than the Assumptions tables in 10 the three appendices that you noted, are there 11 other portions of the report that attorneys 12 contributed to drafting? 13 Α So again, clarify what you mean by "contributing to drafting." 14 15 Did attorneys write any of the language that we 0 now see in Shah Exhibit 1 outside of the three 16 17 appendices that you've identified? There were one or two comments with regards to 18 Α 19 references to legal assumptions that we did get 20 some feedback on that are incorporated in the 21 memo. 22 Did you receive any other attorney -- excuse me. Q 23 Did you receive any other comments from 24 attorneys in the rest of the body of the report other than what we've already talked about? 25

Page 55 Α No. 1 2. Q Going back to your personal involvement in drafting this report, would you say that 3 50 percent of the report is your words? 4 MR. MERVIS: Object to the form. 5 6 Α Sure. That's probably right. BY MR. GILLESPIE: Who would you say -- like which individual 8 Q 9 person, whether it's you or someone on your 10 team, would you say wrote the most of Shah Exhibit 1? 11 12 MR. JOSEPH: Objection to form. 13 But go ahead. That wrote the most? I would say I wrote the Α 14 15 most of it, compared to anyone else on the team. BY MR. GILLESPIE: 16 17 Mr. Shah, how much interaction have you had with Q Ms. Marti Murray? 18 Zero. I don't --19 Α 20 The name sounds familiar, but I don't 21 recall having much interaction with her. I'm 2.2 trying to -- there may have been one 23 conversation at some point, but even that I don't recall. 24 Just the name sounds familiar; so I can't 25

		Page 56
1		say it's a total stranger.
2	Q	Are you aware that Ms. Murray has submitted a
3		report in this case?
4	А	Yes. I am aware that she has prepared a report,
5		yes.
6	Q	Before you submitted your analysis
7		in Shah Exhibit 1, had you read her report?
8	A	No.
9	Q	As of today, have you read her report?
10	A	No.
11	Q	Was her report part of your analysis?
12	А	No.
13	Q	So you have no idea whether her report changes
14		your conclusions?
15	А	No.
16	Q	How much interaction have you had with
17		Dr. Andrew Wolfe?
18	А	I am aware of Andy Wolfe, who has served the
19		board nearly as long as we have.
20	Q	Are you aware that Dr. Wolfe has submitted a
21		report in this case?
22	А	I was not aware that Andy prepared a report.
23	Q	So you have no idea whether anything in his
24		report would change your conclusions?
25		MR. JOSEPH: Objection to form.

Page 57 Α No. 1 2. MR. GILLESPIE: What's the nature of the objection? 3 MR. JOSEPH: He just said he was not aware 4 that he prepared a report, and now you're asking 5 6 him whether or not he thinks anything in that report might change his conclusions. How can he possibly answer that question? 8 9 MR. GILLESPIE: My question was, "You have 10 no idea?" I'm asking the witness, and the 11 witness isn't able to do so. 12 MR. JOSEPH: I understand. The witness 13 told you he's not aware he even prepared the report; so the question about whether or not 14 15 that would change conclusions or whatever you're implying, it's inappropriate. 16 17 There's been no foundation for it. That's the basis for the objection. Please move on. 18 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 19 20 Mr. Shah, how much interaction have you had with 0 21 Gaurov Malhotra? 2.2 Can you, again, frame a reference maybe? Α 23 not -- Gaurov is another professional who serves the board and has for a considerable amount of 24 time. 25

Page 58 Have you communicated with him about any 1 0 2. analysis he might be performing for the FOMB in 3 this case? Again, are you asking -- is there a particular Α 4 time frame? Are you asking about over the last 5 6 four years? 7 Again, maybe a little bit of reference so I can answer the question that you're asking. 8 9 Have you spoken with Mr. Malhotra in 2021? 0 10 Have I spoken with Mr. Malhotra? I have spoken Α with him on occasion in 2021. 11 12 Did any of those conversations pertain to 0 13 analysis Mr. Malhotra is doing for the FOMB? 14 MR. JOSEPH: Object to form. 15 Α You would have to be more specific on what 16 analysis or, you know, if there's analysis. 17 Again, I don't know the context to be able to answer your question. 18 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 19 20 Did any of your conversations with Mr. Malhotra 21 in 2021 relate to work that he was doing for the 22 FOMB? 23 I would -- I would think there's probably some Α relationship to work that he's done for the 24 25 FOMB.

		Page 59
1	Q	Let me take a step back.
2		Do you recall the topics that you spoke
3		about with Mr. Malhotra?
4	А	Off the top of my head, I don't, no.
5	Q	How much interaction have you had with Jay
6		Herriman?
7	А	I don't know the name Jay Herriman.
8	Q	Mr. Shah, has your declaration for this case
9		been started?
10	А	I'm not aware of any work on a declaration.
11		THE TECHNICIAN: I'm sorry. This is Clint,
12		the tech.
13		Noah, do you still want this exhibit up on
14		the screen?
15		MR. GILLESPIE: We can take it down for
16		right now.
17		Thank you.
18	ВУ	MR. GILLESPIE:
19	Q	To your knowledge, is there anyone else at
20		McKinsey who might submit a declaration in this
21		case?
22		MR. JOSEPH: I'm going to object to the
23		lack of foundation.
24		But go ahead.
25	А	Sorry. Can you repeat the question?

Page 60 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 1 2. Q Yeah. The question is to your knowledge, are you 3 aware of anyone else at McKinsey who will submit 4 or who might submit a declaration in this case? 5 6 Α I'm not aware of anyone. Have you had any interaction with any other 0 experts retained by AAFAF in this case? 8 9 MR. MERVIS: Object to the form. MR. JOSEPH: Same objection. 10 Maybe you can clarify. Who do you consider an 11 Α 12 expert retained by AAFAF? 13 BY MR. GILLESPIE: That's about -- but, you know -- but, yeah, 14 15 that's a fair thing. MR. GILLESPIE: Jacque, if I could ask you 16 17 to please mark Tab 2. 18 MR. JOSEPH: So, Noah, is it okay if I explain to the witness how he had can access 2? 19 20 MR. GILLESPIE: Yes, please. 21 MR. JOSEPH: See on the top left double 2.2 arrow, go back with that one. And now if we 23 refresh on the Marked Exhibits, it should be 24 there. 25 Not yet. In a minute.

	Page 61
1	THE TECHNICIAN: This is Clint, the tech.
2	It just appeared in there.
3	MR. JOSEPH: Double click it.
4	Nope. On the left-hand side Marked
5	Exhibits. There you go.
6	(Deposition Exhibit 2 was presented for
7	identification.)
8	THE WITNESS: Exhibit 2, is that
9	MR. JOSEPH: Yes.
10	THE WITNESS: Okay.
11	MR. JOSEPH: We both have Exhibit 2 in
12	front of us.
13	THE WITNESS: Okay.
14	MR. GILLESPIE: Thank you.
15	Mr. Thomas, if you could put that up on the
16	Screen Share.
17	Thank you.
18	BY MR. GILLESPIE:
19	Q So, Mr. Shah, just to go a little bit more
20	straightforward: Could you please scroll
21	through Shah Exhibit 2.
22	A Uh-huh.
23	Q Just paying attention to the names in bold.
24	Please let me know if you've had any interaction
25	with the individuals that are named in bold here

Page 62 in Exhibit 2. 1 When you say "any interaction," is there a time 2. Α frame or other context that I can try and answer 3 the question? 4 Let's keep it to 2021 and whether you've had any 5 0 6 conversations or communications, including emails, with any of the individuals listed in bold here in Shah Exhibit 2. 8 Over the course of 2021, I would believe I would Α 9 10 have had some interaction with some of the folks 11 listed here. 12 Which individuals are you referring to? 13 their names. I would expect there is probably some 14 Α 15 interaction --I don't know Jay, so I don't believe Jay 16 17 would be on the list. But I would expect there's probably some interaction over the 18 course of 2021 with the others related to some 19 20 topic or the other, possibly. 21 0 And so I understand that's everybody else --2.2 Α Yes. -- except Jay? 23 24 MR. GILLESPIE: Mr. Shah and Mr. Thomas, 25 could we please go back to Shah Exhibit 1.

```
Page 63
     BY MR. GILLESPIE:
1
 2.
         In Shah Exhibit 1, let's go to page 2.
 3
     Α
         Okay.
         I'll direct your attention to the third
 4
         paragraph, the one that starts:
 5
               "This analysis was prepared by McKinsey."
 6
 7
     Α
         Yes.
         And so the report states:
8
     Q
               "Proskauer Rose" --
 9
               (Reporter request for clarification.)
10
11
         And perhaps I can summarize.
     Q
12
              So the second sentence starts with
13
         Proskauer Rose, and it says that:
14
               "Legal advisers to the FOMB provided
15
         McKinsey & Company with a set of legal
16
         assumptions used in the preparation of this
17
         analysis."
18
              Did I read that correctly?
19
     Α
         That's right.
20
         It goes on to say:
     Q
21
               "The legal assumptions are included in
2.2
         Appendix 5 of this document."
23
              Did I read that right?
24
     Α
         Yes.
         And then the next sentence goes on to talk about
25
     Q
```

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

Page 64 how the financial advisers of the FOMB provided 1 2. McKinsey: "With financial information used in the 3 preparation of this analysis. Such financial 4 information included schedules, detailing 5 estimates of outstanding bond debt, perspectives 6 on cash balances, and other financial data." Did I read that correctly? 8 Α That's right. 9 The next paragraph begins by saying: 10 0 11 "McKinsey & Company has accepted as true, 12 accurate, and appropriate all legal and 13 financial information and assumptions provided." Did I read that correctly? 14 15 Α Yes. So I'd like to understand the information this 16 17 is talking about. Let's start with the financial information. 18 What financial information did you receive 19 20 from the FOMB's financial advisers? 21 It was schedules related to outstanding debt; 2.2 the profile of the debt, meaning the principal and interest schedules and interest rate that 2.3 24 may have been associated with that debt. I think page 2 also references prospectives on 25 Q

Page 65 cash balances. 1 What financial information did you receive 2. about cash balances? 3 With regards to the cash balances, it's the Α 4 FOMB's cash report that we discussed earlier. 5 Page 2 also mentions other financial data. 6 0 7 What does that include? The other financial data would have been in 8 Α 9 reference to the nonbond -- or nonfinancial debt claims that exist. 10 When did you receive this financial information? 11 0 12 MR. JOSEPH: Objection to form. 13 Go ahead. So is your question with regards to this best 14 Α 15 interest test report? 16 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 17 Yes. We were just talking about, for this best Q 18 interest test report, Shah Exhibit 1 --Yes. 19 Α 20 -- that financial advisers, the FOMB, had provided these three categories of financial 21 2.2 information that we were just talking about? 23 That's right. Α And so when did McKinsey receive this financial 24 Q information? 25

Page 66 MR. JOSEPH: Objection to form. 1 2. Go ahead. So it would be over time. And some of this 3 Α information would have been received back when 4 the original best interest test was being 5 6 prepared, as the factual data on the bond issuances, maturity schedules, and interest schedules really haven't changed over time. 8 9 Some of this information would have been 10 prepared -- or would have been received, you 11 know, released information used in this 12 report, you know, at the time this report was 13 being prepared. For example, you know, the most recent estimates of unsecured claims or, you 14 15 know, the cash information that was available at that time. 16 17 BY MR. GILLESPIE: Am I understanding you correctly that in this 18 best interest test report, you also relied on 19 20 some of the financial information provided for 21 earlier best interest reports? 2.2 Α That's correct. Did you receive any financial information from 23 counsel? 24 There are certain pieces of information that 25 Α

Page 67 counsel helped provide to us where they were 1 2. the -- they were the liaison, for example, with the Alvarez team that was doing the claims work. 3 So that information, for example, on the 4 unsecured claims came to us through counsel. 5 Were there any other types of financial 6 Q information that counsel facilitated for you? Not that I'm aware of, no. 8 Α Did you receive any financial information from 9 0 10 AAFAF? 11 Specifically for this analysis, no. Α 12 For this analysis, did you receive any financial Q 13 information from the government of Puerto Rico? To directly ask your question: Did the 14 Α government directly send us information? 15 16 We talked about the financial information you 0 17 received from the FOMB's financial advisers. Did you receive any financial information 18 from the FOMB itself? 19 20 Did we receive -- we did not receive any Α information from the FOMB. 21 2.2 Looking back here at page 2, third paragraph --Q or, excuse me, the fourth paragraph, in the last 23 sentence or the last line there, the report 24 25 states that:

Page 68 "McKinsey & Company has not taken any 1 2. independent position with respect to this information and these assumptions." 3 Do you see that? 4 That's correct. 5 Α And so you have not independently verified any 6 0 of the financial information or assumptions you were provided? 8 9 Α That's correct. I want to focus now on the facts and data 10 0 provided to you that you considered in forming 11 12 your opinions, including what was provided to 13 you by attorneys. 14 Did you rely on any documents that counsel 15 provided in performing the analysis 16 in Shah Exhibit 1? 17 So I believe we covered this, but the legal Α assumption appendix was provided by counsel and 18 relied upon in the preparation of the analysis. 19 20 Yes. 0 21 And aside from assumptions, did you receive any documents from counsel that you relied upon 2.2 23 in performing your analysis? Outside of the assumptions, you're asking? 24 Α 25 Q Correct.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Page 69 Again, we referenced that counsel had served as kind of a liaison with regards to the unsecured claims information with the Alvarez team; so that also is information that was shared with us that was used in the preparation of the analysis. Can you identify all of the assumptions that you 0 relied on in forming your opinions that were provided to you, including by attorneys? Sorry. Can you -- can you ask the question Α again, Noah. I want to make sure I understand what's being asked. I'm trying to identify all of the assumptions Q that you were provided in forming your opinions, whether those came to you from attorneys or someone else. MR. JOSEPH: I'm going to object to the form of the question. If you can answer, go ahead. So I -- I will try and answer to the best of my Α recollection to be comprehensive. So in terms of -- and again, I'll ask for another point: When you say "all of the assumptions," are you considering data assumptions, or maybe you can just clarify, you

Page 70 know, what falls within this category of your 1 2. question for all of the assumptions. BY MR. GILLESPIE: 3 I think it's all of the assumptions that 4 0 you relied upon in forming the opinions 5 in Shah Exhibit 1. Those would be fact 6 opinions, legal opinions, any type -- or, excuse me, same thing -- any type of factual 8 assumptions or legal assumptions, any 9 10 assumptions at all. And I'd love for you to identify for me as best as you can --11 12 Yes. Α 13 -- the assumptions that you relied upon. Again --14 Α 15 MR. JOSEPH: Object to form. Go ahead. 16 Does data qualify as an assumption here? 17 Α I just am trying to figure out whether I need to recap 18 the data that's provided as well. 19 20 BY MR. GILLESPIE: Do I understand correctly, you know, McKinsey 21 2.2 received the financial information that we 23 talked about from financial advisers and others, 24 and McKinsey accepted all of that -- all of that data as accurate? 25

Page 71 Α Mm-hmm. That's correct. 1 2. Q So aside from that --3 Okay. So aside --Α Okay. Go ahead. 4 Aside from that, were there other assumptions 5 0 6 that anyone provided to you that you relied on in formulating this report? MR. JOSEPH: Objection to form. 8 9 Go ahead if you can. Okay. So aside from the data provided and the 10 Α FOMB's cash report, which we have also talked 11 12 about and is publicly available, there are a 13 series of legal assumptions, which we've talked about and is in the appendix. 14 15 Outside of that -- let's see. Would there 16 have been anything --17 The only thing that jumps out that I can recall that wouldn't -- that wasn't covered in 18 those other categories is there is weekly 19 20 reporting the TSA -- that the government does on 21 TSA cash activity. I believe we may have looked 2.2 at one of those reports that the government 23 provides to a broad host of stakeholders. 2.4 Beyond that and the things that we've already talked about, I don't believe anything 25

Page 72 else is missing. 1 2. BY MR. GILLESPIE: 3 Did you refuse to adopt any assumption that anyone provided to you? 4 MR. JOSEPH: Objection to form. 5 6 Α Again, I'm -- maybe you can clarify: When you say "anyone," are you talking about the lawyers? Are you talking about others? It's a very broad 8 9 question. BY MR. GILLESPIE: 10 11 I'm happy to break it down. 12 Did you refuse to adopt any assumption that 13 any attorney asked you to make? We did not refuse any assumption that was 14 Α 15 provided by counsel. 16 Did anyone else ask you to make any assumptions? 0 17 MR. JOSEPH: Objection to form. Go ahead. 18 19 Α No. No. 20 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 21 Let's walk through some of the assumptions that 22 you flag in your report. 23 MR. GILLESPIE: And, Mr. Thomas, if we 24 could please go to page 5. Actually, I think we should go to page 4. 25

Page 73 I'm sorry. 1 2. I'm sorry. I had it right the first time. Let's go to page 5. It's the first paragraph. 3 I apologize. 4 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 5 So are you able to see page 5, Mr. Shah? 6 0 Α Yes. In looking at the first paragraph there, it 8 9 states: 10 "Based on discussions with the FOMB's financial advisers, this analysis assumes an 11 annual discount rate of 5 percent." ^ 12 13 (Reporter request for clarification.) -- "as reasonable for the calculation of the 14 0 15 present value of future principal and interest 16 payments." 17 Do you see that? I do. 18 Α How did you determine that 5 percent was a 19 20 reasonable discount rate? 21 I believe we covered this near the beginning of 22 the session, but as I referenced, this was based on a conversation with the folks at Citi who are 23 24 well versed in the municipal credit markets. What did you share in terms of why 5 percent was 25 Q

		Page 74
1		a reasonable rate here for present value
2		calculations?
3	A	Sorry. It cut out a little bit. I didn't catch
4		the whole question.
5	Q	So you were saying that you had discussions with
6		Citi regarding the appropriate discount rate?
7	A	That's correct.
8	Q	And so what did City communicate to you about
9		why 5 percent was a reasonable discount rate for
10		this analysis?
11	A	They simply gave us a number as what would be
12		what would be reasonable.
13	Q	Do you know one way or another whether the past
14		experience of the Commonwealth informed this
15		figure?
16	A	I don't.
17	Q	Do you think that the Commonwealth's experience
18		in the past five or more years may be relevant
19		to whether 5 percent is a reasonable discount
20		rate?
21		MR. JOSEPH: Objection. Form.
22		Go ahead.
23	A	I don't know.
24	ВУ	MR. GILLESPIE:
25	Q	You don't know one way or another?

Page 75 Α No. 1 2. Q Let's go ahead and look at Appendix 5. header is on page 29, but the content starts on 3 page 30. So let's go ahead and look at page 30, 4 5 please. 6 Mr. Shah, let me know when you have page 30 in front of you. I have it. Page 30. 8 Α 9 MR. JOSEPH: Could I just -- one quick question. 10 11 The page 30, you're talking about the page 12 number on the header; correct? Because just 13 looking on the pdf, for whatever reason, mine shows up on page 29, but it says page 30 on the 14 15 header. I don't know why that is, but I want to 16 make sure we're on the same page. 17 MR. GILLESPIE: Thank you for that clarification. Yes, I will be referring to the 18 blue numbers at the top of the pages 19 20 consistently throughout. BY MR. GILLESPIE: 21 22 Mr. Shah, are you looking at page 30? Q 23 Α Yes. So there's a table in front of us. The two 24 Q 25 substantive columns, one says "Question" and the

		Page 76
1		other says "Assumption."
2		Do you see that?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Who formulated the questions that are in this
5		Appendix 5?
6	A	The questions were questions asked by my team to
7		counsel.
8	Q	So looking at Question 1, I see in the
9		Assumption column, there is an Assumption 1 and
10		an Assumption 2.
11		Which of these assumptions did you adopt?
12	A	So the base case of the analysis uses what's
13		called the "main assumption."
14	Q	So what role do the assumptions that are not
15		main assumptions play in your analysis?
16	A	It depends on whether the assumptions not called
17		main assumptions are relevant to the scenario
18		that was being modeled.
19	Q	Looking at the main assumption here in Question
20		Number 1, at the end of the Assumption text, it
21		says:
22		"The non-GO and non-CW guarantee creditors'
23		only recourse is to wait for a legislative
24		appropriation of amounts to pay their claims
25		once GOs are paid in full."

		Page 77
1		Do you see that?
2	A	I do.
3	Q	What is the basis for why legislative
4		appropriations would be necessary for non-GO
5		creditors to obtain a recovery under this
6		assumption?
7		MR. JOSEPH: Objection to form.
8		Go ahead.
9	A	I don't know. It's a legal question.
10	ВҮ	MR. GILLESPIE:
11	Q	Do you have a view as to why legislative
12		appropriations might be necessary in this
13		circumstance?
14	А	I don't.
15	Q	I noticed in a number of these assumptions,
16		there's discussion of the term "police power."
17		Are you familiar with that term?
18	A	I am.
19	Q	What is your understanding of what police power
20		means in the context of these assumptions?
21		MR. JOSEPH: Objection to form.
22		Go ahead.
23		MR. GILLESPIE: I'm sorry, Counsel. What's
24		the nature of the objection?
25		MR. JOSEPH: Because I don't know which

Page 78 assumption you're speaking of and in what 1 2. context police power is being used; so the answer could be multiple different things. 3 So I would like to know if you could be 4 more specific. That's all. 5 6 BY MR. GILLESPIE: Mr. Shah, you're welcome to specify as much as 0 you would need to if there are circumstances or 8 9 nuances that would affect your answer. 10 I don't know if there is an overall assumption of police power that you can share 11 12 with us that applies to all of these 13 assumptions. I object. 14 MR. JOSEPH: 15 Just ask him a question, please, Noah. BY MR. GILLESPIE: 16 17 Just so we're clear, the question is what is your understanding of police power in the 18 19 context of these assumptions? 20 MR. JOSEPH: Same objection. 21 Go ahead. 2.2 Α So in the context of these assumptions, I can't 23 answer that question. I didn't write the 24 assumptions. 25

Page 79 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 1 2. Let's try to look on page 32, Question 4. Sorry, Noah. What was 3 THE TECHNICIAN: that page number? 4 MR. GILLESPIE: There was a --5 6 First look at page 32 so we can see the question, and it's Question Number 4. BY MR. GILLESPIE: 8 Mr. Shah, please feel free to take some time to 9 10 review. My question is going to be about the basis that runs onto the next page. 11 12 So on page 33, for example, there's a 13 sentence that says: "Section 8, however, appears subject to the 14 15 CW police power." There's some other mentions of police power 16 17 in this answer to the question, and so my question for you is do you have an understanding 18 19 of what the term "police power" means in the 20 context of this assumption? 21 So given I didn't write the assumption, I don't 2.2 think I can -- it would be -- it would be me to 23 speculate to say I've got a full understanding 24 of police power with respect to this assumption; 25 so I don't think I can answer that question.

Page 80 Are you saying that you do not know what the 1 2. assumptions mean? MR. JOSEPH: I object to the form of the 3 question. 4 MR. MERVIS: As do I. 5 So you ask -- your question was do I 6 Α understand police power with regards to this legal assumption? And I can't answer on behalf 8 of the lawyer who may have drafted this for what 9 they fully meant by police power. 10 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 11 12 I hear you. 13 I'm trying to understand, Mr. Shah, whether or not you have an understanding of this 14 15 assumption. 16 MR. MERVIS: Object to the form. 17 Again, I'm sorry. Is there --MR. JOSEPH: I didn't know if there's a question; so I 18 didn't --19 20 Could you just repeat the question, Noah? 21 MR. GILLESPIE: Yes. BY MR. GILLESPIE: 2.2 Mr. Shah, do you understand what Assumption 23 Number 1 to Question 4 means? 24 25 Α What Assumption Number 1 to Question 4 means.

Page 81 I have an understanding of what 1 2. Assumption 1 of 4 means, which is also outlined in the memo that I've got that was drafted. 3 What is your understanding of the meaning of 4 0 Assumption 1, in your own words? 5 6 Α That the conditionally --7 (Reporter request for clarification.) That the conditionally allocable revenues could 8 Α 9 be used to pay GO or Commonwealth quaranteed 10 debt to the extent that it was required to be 11 used to meet obligations. 12 And if not, that those -- if those -- if 13 those revenues were not required to pay the GO debt or the Commonwealth quaranteed obligations, 14 15 that that money would then be returned. 16 there -- well, I don't -- let me make sure I 17 stay within this assumption because there is 18 multiple assumptions related to this, but yeah. And that --19 20 Finish. Please continue. Q And that to the extent that the Commonwealth 21 Α 2.2 required the need to use conditionally allocable 2.3 revenues to cover its operating expenses due 24 to -- deficits aren't unavailable, the availability of funds, that the Commonwealth 25

Page 82 could execute its police powers in order to be 1 2. able to do that. 3 0 Thank you. And in terms of the understanding you just 4 described --5 6 Α Yep. -- what does "police powers" mean in your 0 8 understanding? 9 Α My understanding is that in the event that the 10 Commonwealth is facing deficits and does not 11 have enough resources to cover its expenses, it 12 can use police powers in order to retain the 13 conditionally allocable revenues to cover those 14 expenses. 15 Do you have an understanding of the extent of 0 the police power in this context? 16 17 That would be a legal question. I can't answer Α 18 that. 19 Looking into Assumption Number 1 here, the second sentence, I believe, on page 32 --20 21 Maybe we should start a bit earlier. 2.2 So Assumption 1, main assumption, reads: "An allocable revenue entity or its 23 24 bondholders may assert a claim against the Commonwealth for allocable revenues the 25

	Page 83
1	Commonwealth has retained but not used to pay GO
2	debt or CW-guaranteed debt."
3	Let me just stop there. See if I read that
4	correctly.
5	The next sentence reads:
6	"This claim is an unsecured,
7	nonpriority"
8	and then goes on to say "claim."
9	It says:
10	"An unsecured, nonpriority claim against
11	the Commonwealth."
12	Do you see that?
13	A I do.
14	Q Do you know how this was determined?
15	A I don't.
16	MR. JOSEPH: Objection to the form.
17	BY MR. GILLESPIE:
18	Q In terms of prioritizing expenditures, did you
19	consider the Management and Budget Office
20	Organic Act in Puerto Rico?
21	MR. JOSEPH: Object to form.
22	Go ahead.
23	A I'm not familiar with what that is.
24	MR. GILLESPIE: Let's go ahead. And,
25	Jacque, if you could please mark Tab 3.

	Page 84
1	(Deposition Exhibit 3 was presented for
2	identification.)
3	THE TECHNICIAN: It's available now.
4	THE WITNESS: Okay. I can see the screen.
5	MR. GILLESPIE: Mine is still loading.
6	BY MR. GILLESPIE:
7	Q Let's go ahead and look at page 3.
8	MR. JOSEPH: Are we looking at Statute
9	Section 104, Governor-Duties and Powers? Is
10	that right?
11	MR. GILLESPIE: That's right.
12	MR. JOSEPH: And now we're going to page 3,
13	you said?
14	MR. GILLESPIE: Yes. To look at
15	Subsection C, as in "Charlie."
16	MR. JOSEPH: Give me one second.
17	MR. GILLESPIE: Yes.
18	THE WITNESS: Where are we looking?
19	BY MR. GILLESPIE:
20	Q We're going to be looking at Subsection C, which
21	starts in the middle of page 3.
22	A Okay.
23	Q Feel free to take as much time to review that as
24	you would like.
25	My question is are you familiar with this

	Page 85
1	subsection?
2	MR. JOSEPH: I'm just going to are you
3	going to ask the witness whether he's familiar
4	with a legal statute? That's what we're doing,
5	Noah?
6	MR. GILLESPIE: Yes.
7	MR. JOSEPH: I object. It's an
8	inappropriate line of questioning.
9	But you can answer whether or not you're
10	familiar.
11	A I'm not familiar with this.
12	BY MR. GILLESPIE:
13	Q Okay. Thank you.
14	Let's return to Exhibit Number 1, please.
15	We'll go to page 36.
16	I'm looking at Question Number 6,
17	Assumption Number 1, which says:
18	"Assume operating expenses outlined in the
19	certified financial plan are paid before debt
20	service."
21	Do you see that?
22	A I do.
23	Q And then under Basis, the first sentence says
24	that:
25	"The fiscal plan already projects

Page 86 reductions in operating expenses through a 1 2. series of fiscal measures aimed at reducing government-wide expenditures to adapt the budget 3 to a size appropriate for PR's population." 4 Do you see that? 5 6 Α I do. What do you understand that to mean? 0 8 Α Again, I'm not sure how to answer that question. 9 I read it for what it is. 10 But maybe you can clarify. I mean, I can read the sentence I think as well as you can 11 12 so --13 I'm asking you if you have an understanding of Q what it's saying, what it means when the basis 14 15 says: "Adapt the budget to a size appropriate for 16 17 Puerto Rico's population." The sentence -- the sentence, as it's read, is 18 Α 19 making a statement that the fiscal plan already 20 projects reductions in operating expenses that 21 adapt the budget to be appropriate for Puerto Rico's population. 2.2 23 That's what the sentence says. 24 Q Thank you. 25 Let's please turn back to page 6 of this

		Page 87
1		Exhibit 1. I'm looking at the second paragraph
2		of the page.
3	A	Let me get there.
4	Q	Yes, of course. Please let me know when you
5		have page 6.
6	A	Okay. Page 6, yeah.
7	Q	I'm looking at the second paragraph. The first
8		sentence talks about the Puerto Rico cash
9		analysis.
10		Do you see that?
11	A	I do.
12	Q	And it stated that:
13		"Unrestricted cash is ten thousand, a
14		ninety-three million as of June 30, 2020"?
15	A	That's correct.
16	Q	Then the next sentence uses projections to
17		estimate the cash accumulation from July 2020 to
18		June of 2021?
19	A	Okay.
20	Q	And the paragraph concludes estimating that:
21		"The amount of unrestricted cash as of
22		June 30, 2021, is assumed to be eleven thousand
23		and four million."
24		Do you see that?
25	A	Yes, yes.

		Page 88
1	Q	So we're looking through this analysis here of
2		the amount of unrestricted cash available at
3		different points in time.
4		Is that a fair summary of what this
5		paragraph is talking about?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Is this the type of analysis that you performed
8		in your daily work for the Commonwealth outside
9		of the best interest test reports?
10	A	No.
11	Q	What work did you do on this issue outside of
12		preparing your report?
13	А	What issue are you specifically are you
14		asking about?
15	Q	We're talking about the amount of unrestricted
16		cash at different points in time. My question
17		is what work did you do on unrestricted cash
18		outside of preparing Shah Exhibit 1?
19	А	I did not do work on unrestricted cash.
20	Q	Okay. Let's please go to page 8.
21		I'm looking in the middle of the page.
22		There's a paragraph that starts:
23		"For the IFCUs."
24		I'm looking at the last sentence of that
25		paragraph.

Page 89 MR. JOSEPH: I'm sorry. There's two 1 2. paragraphs that start "IFCUs." MR. GILLESPIE: My mistake. 3 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 4 The first of those two. 5 6 Α Okay. I'm looking at the last sentence of that 0 8 paragraph which reads: 9 "However, as assumed in the fiscal plan, 10 IFCUs that generate operating deficits in any 11 given year are assumed to have those operating 12 deficits funded by the Commonwealth." 13 Do you see that? Yes. 14 Α 15 Is this the type of analysis that you perform in your daily work for the Commonwealth outside of 16 17 the best interest test reports? 18 MR. FRIEDMAN: This is Peter Friedman. Ι object to form. Mr. Shah works for the 19 20 oversight board, not the Commonwealth. 21 MR. GILLESPIE: Let me rephrase. 2.2 BY MR. GILLESPIE: Does this type of analysis, is it the type of 23 24 analysis, Mr. Shah, that you perform in your daily work for the FOMB aside from the best 25

Page 90 interest test reports? 1 2. Α Sorry. You're not showing me an analysis. is it that I'm commenting on here? 3 This is the statement about the Commonwealth 4 0 funding operating deficits of IFCUs. 5 This --6 Α 7 MR. MERVIS: Well, hold on. That's not a question; so I object. 8 9 MR. JOSEPH: I object as well. 10 Let him ask a question. 11 I'm still confused. Sorry. Α 12 Can I ask you to clarify? What is the 13 question? BY MR. GILLESPIE: 14 15 Of course. So we looked at this statement at the end 16 17 of the paragraph about if IFCUs generate operating deficits, it is assumed that the 18 operating deficits will be funded by the 19 20 Commonwealth. 21 Do you see that? 2.2 Α Yes, I do. And so my question for you is in your daily work 23 for the FOMB, outside of the best interest test 24 reports, do you do analysis about this issue? 25

Page 91 Objection to the form. MR. JOSEPH: 1 2. MR. MERVIS: Objection to the form. 3 I'm still not following the question, but I will Α try again. 4 MR. JOSEPH: If you don't understand his 5 6 question, have him clarify. 7 THE WITNESS: Okav. Can you clarify what you mean by "you" -- or who 8 Α 9 you're referring to as "you" and what you mean by "analysis" because, again, there is no 10 analysis presented here. 11 12 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 13 I am hearing what you're saying. So let's -- if we want to go back to 14 15 page 6. I think that was the analysis we were 16 looking at in terms of the unrestricted cash. 17 Just to clarify in terms of -- you 18 personally did not perform analysis for the FOMB 19 outside of the best interest test report related to unrestricted cash? 20 21 Α That's correct. And then what about your team at McKinsey? 22 Q 23 McKinsey did not do work on unrestricted cash. Α 24 Q Understood. Okay. Let's move forward to page 10, please. 25

Page 92 looking at the second paragraph on the page or 1 2. the first full paragraph on the page that begins 3 with: "The projections of Commonwealth revenues." 4 Okay. 5 Α 6 0 And, you know, so this paragraph goes on to say -- it goes on to talk about structural reforms, and the final sentence says: 8 9 "Structural forms are designed to promote 10 growth in the economy which would ultimately 11 increase Commonwealth revenue generation." 12 Do you see that? 13 Α T do. So apart from your personal work on the best 14 15 interest test reports, do you personally do any work on structural reforms? 16 17 I personally do not. Α And then the team that works with you on the 18 19 best interest test reports at McKinsey, do any 20 of them work on structural reforms for the FOMB? 21 Α Sorry. Can you repeat the question? 22 Sure. Q 23 As we talked earlier, there's a team that 24 works with you on the best interest test 25 reports?

		Page 93
1	A	Yes.
2	Q	So the McKinsey employees, do you work for the
3		FOMB on structural reforms?
4	А	Those employees I don't believe do any work on
5		structural reforms.
6	Q	I want to look generally at page 17 through 27.
7		There's a variety of tables. Look through the
8		tables on page 17 through 27.
9	A	Sorry. You cut out there a little bit. Say
10		that again.
11	Q	I'm trying to direct your attention to pages 17
12		through 27.
13	A	Okay.
14	Q	You see there's a number of tables beginning
15		with what's marked what's called Exhibit 4
16		all the way through Appendix 3?
17	A	Yep.
18	Q	And I want to understand
19		Well, first of all, what is the nature of
20		the analysis that you're presenting? I think we
21		talked about the outputs earlier.
22		Do you remember that discussion?
23	A	That's correct.
24	Q	So I take it that pages 17 through 27 show a
25		number of outputs from the analysis that

Page 94 McKinsey performed? 1 2. Α That's correct. Describe for me what is -- what are the 3 0 questions or issues that these analyses as a 4 whole are trying to address? 5 MR. JOSEPH: I'm going to object to form. 6 You're talking about 14 or 15 different tables. 8 Α Yeah, I'm -- can you be more specific, Noah, on 9 what --10 11 If there's a specific table or a specific 12 output that you would like some explanation for, 13 I can try and answer that. BY MR. GILLESPIE: 14 15 Is it fair to say that the outputs in the tables on pages 17 through 27 project creditor 16 17 recoveries under various scenarios? MR. JOSEPH: Objection to form. 18 Go ahead. 19 It's a fair representation that these tables, 20 Α 21 under a variety of scenarios, present a range of 2.2 recoveries for the debt that, you know, may exist in each one of these scenarios or for the 23 24 recovery to creditors in the various groups. 25

Page 95 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 1 2. Apart from the best interest test reports, do 3 you personally do any work for the FOMB related to creditor recoveries? 4 Again, I'm not sure how to answer that question. 5 6 You would have to be more specific. 7 We talked earlier about, you know, a number of 0 projects that you've worked on for the FOMB over 8 9 the years? 10 That's correct. Α And we talked about how there are a few 11 0 12 different best interest test reports? 13 Α That's right. So I'm trying to understand if it's outside of 14 0 15 your personal work on those best interest test 16 reports if you've done work for the FOMB related 17 to creditor recoveries? 18 MR. JOSEPH: Objection to form. Go ahead. 19 20 MR. MERVIS: Same objection. 21 I'm not -- maybe you can clarify what constitutes your description of "work" on 22 creditor recoveries. 23 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 24 Have you personally performed an analysis 25

		Page 96
1		similar to the ones represented here in
2		Exhibit 1 for the FOMB outside of your work on
3		the best interest test reports?
4	A	Oh, no.
5	Q	And the members of your team who work with you
6		on the best interest test reports, have they
7		performed any analysis for the FOMB like the
8		analysis here in Exhibit 1?
9	А	Just to clarify, you're asking if they perform
10		similar analyses outside of the best interest
11		test?
12	Q	Yes.
13	A	Is that your question?
14		MR. JOSEPH: Object to form.
15		But go ahead.
16	A	Not that I'm aware of.
17	ВУ	MR. GILLESPIE:
18	Q	So, I mean, there were several best interest
19		test reports for the FOMB; correct?
20	A	Maybe by "several," was there more than one?
21		Yes.
22	Q	The first one was back in 2019?
23	A	That's correct.
24	Q	And then the latest one was more recent. When
25		was that?

Page 97 There was a report filed I want to say early 1 2. June of '21, and I believe that is the same report that is here as part of the July update 3 to the disclosure statement. 4 And you were personally involved in other 5 Q 6 projects for the FOMB from, let's say, 2018 forward? That's correct. 8 Α Did any of that other work relate to the best 9 0 10 interest test reports? 11 Α No. 12 And then when we look at the team at McKinsey 13 who works on the best interest test report, did any of their work from 2018 forward relate to 14 15 the best interest test report? 16 Objection to form. MR. JOSEPH: 17 Go ahead. I can't answer that. I don't know. I don't 18 Α 19 know what else they would have -- I don't know 20 the full slate of what they may have been doing. 21 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 22 Let's look at page 10 in Exhibit 1. Q 23 Page 10? Α 24 Q Yes, please. So there are --25 Let me know when you're there.

Page 98 Α I'm there. 1 2. Q There are a number of bullet points, and the paragraph introducing the bullet points says: 3 "Based upon quidance provided by FOMB's 4 legal advisers, this analysis reflects 5 6 modifications to the fiscal plan projections of certain expenditures to account for the absence of Title III protections and a plan of 8 adjustment." 9 Did I read that correctly? 10 That's correct. 11 Α 12 And it goes on to say: 13 "As previously discussed, the specific fiscal plan expense lines that are adjusted 14 15 are" ---- and then there's a --16 17 Do you see that? I do. 18 Α 19 Let's look through these items to understand the 20 adjustments that we're talking about. 21 So under -- the first item is Pension 2.2 Costs, and there you explain that: 23 "In this analysis, we do not include the 24 reduction in pension benefits or the freeze and accrual of benefits." 25

		Page 99
1		Do you see those?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	And then also:
4		"The analysis sets to zero contributions to
5		Social Security."
6		Do you see that?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	And then:
9		"The analysis assumes a continuation of
10		PayGo contributions to assist in 2,000
11		participants"?
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	And so these are the adjustments that you made
14		in this analysis compared to what's in the
15		fiscal plan related to the
16	A	That's correct.
17	Q	And then there's another bullet point about
18		Health Care Contributions.
19		Do you see that?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	And we also have a bullet for Professional Fees.
22		Do you see that?
23	A	That's right. Yes.
24	Q	A bullet for FOMB Expenditure?
25	А	Yes.

Page 100 And finally, a bullet point reserved for 1 0 2. Emergency Fund which says that: "The transfer of \$130 million per year 3 outlined in the fiscal plan is assumed to be 4 zero." 5 Do you see that? 6 Α That's correct. Are these the only things that you've adjusted 8 0 9 compared with the fiscal plan? 10 MR. JOSEPH: Objection to the form. 11 MR. MERVIS: Same objection. 12 If -- no, there is another adjustment. 13 BY MR. GILLESPIE: And what's that? 14 0 15 Α It's related to the implementation of structural reforms and one of the fiscal measures detailed 16 17 later in the memo. I think we can see that also in the second 18 0 19 paragraph -- or the first full paragraph on this 20 page 10 because it says that: 21 "The projections of the Commonwealth 2.2 revenues and expenses also incorporate the impact of the structural reforms as outlined in 23 24 the fiscal plan." 25 Do you see where that's written?

Page 101 Sorry. Tell me where you're --1 2. Q It's the second paragraph on this page: "The projections of the Commonwealth 3 revenues." 4 Α Yeah. 5 Is that sentence referring to what you were just 6 0 mentioning? No, it's not. 8 Α What you were just mentioning is that in your 9 10 analysis, you assume the growth from structural 11 reforms? 12 MR. MERVIS: Objection to the form. 13 MR. JOSEPH: Same objection. I don't understand the question. Can you 14 Α 15 restate the question. BY MR. GILLESPIE: 16 17 I must have missed it. Can you please tell me what the other 18 19 adjustment is that you made to the fiscal plan 20 that's not here on page 10? So later in the memo, there is a discussion in 21 2.2 the section referenced the estimated likely 23 recovery or something like that, where in that section, there's a discussion around the 24 ability -- or, you know, an adjustment with 25

Page 102 regards to the ability to implement certain 1 structural reforms and fiscal measures. 2. ultimately, that impacts the analysis, and 3 that's not detailed here. 4 I see. 5 0 So other than the adjustment you just 6 7 mentioned later in the report, are there any other adjustments that you made to the fiscal 8 9 plan? No, that should be it. 10 Was turning off these items your opinion or an 11 0 12 assumption presented to you? 13 MR. MERVIS: Objection to form. MR. JOSEPH: Objection to form. 14 Can you repeat the question? I want to make 15 Α sure I heard that right. 16 17 BY MR. GILLESPIE: Yeah. Let me break it apart. 18 19 Were these adjustments to the fiscal plan 20 an assumption presented to you? When you say "these adjustments," are you 21 Α 22 talking about all of these adjustments? The bullet points here. 23 0 24 Α Yeah. So were they -- they were not assumptions 25 presented to me.

Page 103 Did you decide which adjustments should be made? 1 2. Α Correct. These -- well, these assumptions --3 These adjustments, or the vast majority of these assumptions, were the result of 4 conversations with counsel to understand the 5 impact of the dismissal of the Title III, as 6 it's referenced here. And so are there particular items that were 8 Q 9 assumptions from counsel as opposed to items that you decided to include in your analysis? 10 11 MR. JOSEPH: Object to the form of the 12 question. 13 Α So the assumptions that are outlined on this page, as it's kind of described here in the 14 15 paragraph we reviewed, referenced those items that no longer occur or, you know, change as a 16 17 result of the Title III being dismissed, from a 18 legal perspective. 19 So, for example, as we talked, the pension 20 cuts without a plan of adjustment, you know, are 21 not implemented or can't be implemented; 2.2 therefore, we had to roll those back. 23 Similarly, without a Title -- or without a 24 plan, the health care contributions were not

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

going to be changed; so we rolled those back,

25

Page 104 1 and so on. 2. So for the items identified here, these were a function of understanding the 3 implications on fiscal plan assumptions for the 4 Title III plan not being confirmed. 5 6 MR. GILLESPIE: I see it's a little past 12:30. Perhaps we could go on a lunch break and then come back? 8 9 MR. JOSEPH: Sure. Any sense of timing, Noah? 10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record at 11 12 12:33. Please stand by. 13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the video record at 1:11. 14 15 MR. GILLESPIE: Mr. Thomas, if we could put back up Exhibit 1, please, on page 10. 16 17 BY MR. GILLESPIE: Mr. Shah, we were looking at the bullet towards 18 the bottom of the page about Professional Fees. 19 20 Α Yes. 21 All right. So let's just look here at the 22 summary. This paragraph on Professional Fees, 23 the second sentence reads: 24 "Legal fees are assumed to double in fiscal 25 year 2022 relative to fiscal year 2021 and then

Page 105 grow with inflation through fiscal year 2026"? 1 2. Α That's correct. 3 0 It goes on to say: "In fiscal year 2027, legal fees are 4 assumed to be cut in half relative to fiscal 5 year 2026 and then grow with inflation through 6 fiscal year 2031"? That's correct. 8 Α And then we talk about Nonlegal Fees. 9 0 10 It says: 11 "Nonlegal fees are assumed to be halved 12 upon the dismissal of the Title III case and 13 then grow with inflation through fiscal year 2031"? 14 15 Α That's correct. 16 MR. GILLESPIE: So at this point, I'll ask 17 Jacque to please mark as an exhibit --18 Let me know when what we're marking 19 as Shah Exhibit 4 is available to you. 20 THE WITNESS: It's available. 21 (Deposition Exhibit 4 was presented for 2.2 identification.) BY MR. GILLESPIE: 23 Feel free to zoom in here. Let me start by 24 0 25 asking are you familiar with what we're looking

		Page 106
1		at here?
2	A	I believe this is an excerpt from the model.
3	Q	When you say "the model," just so we are all on
4		the same page, which model are we talking about?
5	A	The best interest test model.
6	Q	This is the model that McKinsey prepared as part
7		of the analysis of the best interest test
8		reports that we've been looking at in Exhibit 1?
9	A	That's correct.
10		MR. GILLESPIE: I'll state for the record
11		that this is an excerpt from a document bearing
12		the Bates stamp FOMB_CONF_88825.
13	ВҮ	MR. GILLESPIE:
14	Q	So I'd like to direct your attention
15		MR. GILLESPIE: And, Mr. Thomas, I don't
16		know if you can zoom in at all.
17	ВҮ	MR. GILLESPIE:
18	Q	to Professional Fees, Row 28.
19		Mr. Shah, if you want to direct your
20		attention there to Row 28, Professional Fees,
21		Legal Fees.
22		Do you see that?
23	A	Uh-huh.
24	Q	And so if we look
25		If we carry that row over to the column

		Page 107
1		marked "Fiscal Year 2022," we start with the
2		value negative 118.4?
3	A	Okay.
4	Q	And then that increases year by year through and
5		including fiscal year 2026; is that correct?
6	А	Sorry. I'll just wait for the screen to stop
7		moving.
8		That's correct.
9	Q	So fiscal year 2026 has a value of negative
10		125.4; correct?
11	А	That's right.
12	Q	And then fiscal year 2027, the value is negative
13		63.6?
14	A	That's right.
15	Q	So the values increase from fiscal year 2027
16		through the end of fiscal year excuse me
17		2031?
18		MR. MERVIS: Where do you see I only
19		see
20		Mine only goes to 28, but maybe I'm not
21		seeing.
22		MR. JOSEPH: You've got to slide it over on
23		the bottom.
24		MR. MERVIS: Okay. Thanks.
25	А	Okay.

Page 108 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 1 2. I'm trying to follow on back from Exhibit 1 3 where we said: "Legal fees are assumed to double in Fiscal 4 Year 2022 relative to Fiscal Year 2021 and then 5 6 grow with inflation through 2026." 7 Are these the figures for legal fees that you arrived at for that period? 8 9 Α Yes. And then how the legal fees are cut in half in 10 0 11 fiscal year 2027 relative to fiscal year 2026. 12 That's what we're seeing in the column 13 Fiscal Year 2027 here in Exhibit 4? That's right. That's right. 14 Α 15 Then they continue to grow with inflation 0 through fiscal year 2031. We see the rest of 16 17 the figures that result in the remainder of that 18 row? 19 That's right. Α 20 Then we'll probably have to move the view back Q to the left so we can see the label for the row 21 2.2 under that. The next row, Row 29, is labeled 23 "Professional Fees, Nonlegal Fees"; is that 24 right? 25 Α That's right.

Page 109 If we scroll over, I think we're -- we can see 1 2. that there's, you know, a starting value in the earlier period. I mean, I can only see on this 3 view, fiscal year 2022. That's 44.1? 4 Mm-hmm. 5 Α And we see that that grows slowly over time. 6 0 7 Do you see that? 8 Α I do. And so these are the figures you arrived at that 9 10 you were describing in Exhibit 1 as the nonlegal 11 fees are soon to be halved on the dismissal of 12 the Title III case and then grow with inflation 13 through fiscal year 2031? That's correct. 14 Α 15 And then let's look at the row below that. 0 MR. GILLESPIE: So if we can go more back 16 17 to the left. BY MR. GILLESPIE: 18 This is that last item in the category. 19 Q 20 It says: 21 "FOMB baseline budget postmeasures." 2.2 Do you see that? 23 Α Yes. 24 Q And we can refer back to Exhibit 1, if you like. 25 Why don't we look at that quickly.

Page 110 MR. GILLESPIE: And if we can go back, 1 2. Mr. Thomas, to Exhibit 1, the view should be there. 3 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 4 There's a bullet, FOMB Expenditure. This one is 5 6 saying: 7 "The FOMB is assumed to continue to exist." 8 Do you see that? 9 Α Yes. If we return to Exhibit 4, we see that the first 10 0 11 value that's listed is fiscal year 2022. 12 it says negative 65.0? 13 Α That's right. And that means \$65 million? 14 15 Α That's correct. 16 MR. GILLESPIE: And then if we just move 17 our view to the right so we can see the 18 remainder of the columns, please. BY MR. GILLESPIE: 19 20 So, let's see, the first five years. I see the Q 21 value is constant at 65.0? 22 Α That's right. 23 And then beginning in fiscal year 2027, the value begins to increase, and it continues 24 increasing through fiscal year 2031? 25

Page 111 Α That's right. 1 2. Q And the value that's in fiscal year 2031 is 3 70.2? Correct. 4 Α So when we're looking at the Legal, Professional 5 Fees, how do you know they are going to be this 6 7 high? MR. JOSEPH: Object to the form. 8 9 MR. MERVIS: Same objection. Sorry, can -- can you repeat the question. 10 Α 11 do I know what? 12 MR. GILLESPIE: Can I ask Madam Court 13 Reporter to please read the question back. 14 (The requested text was read by the 15 reporter.) 16 It's -- I don't know for sure what the 17 professional fees would be; however, with the 18 dismissal of a Title III, one of the assumptions 19 is that litigation will exist, which is 20 described in the memo, and as a result of that, 21 the fees would be higher than the baseline fees 2.2 projected in the fiscal plan; therefore, this 23 reflects our assumption to account for additional fees. 24 25

Page 112 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 1 2. What will the attorneys be doing, say, in fiscal 3 year 2026? 4 MR. JOSEPH: Objection to form. Go ahead. 5 I can't tell you exactly what an attorney may be 6 Α doing in any given year. BY MR. GILLESPIE: 8 9 I see in fiscal year 2026, you have a value of 10 125.4 million. 11 So what litigation are you projecting will 12 be going on at that point? 13 MR. MERVIS: Object to the form. MR. JOSEPH: Same objection. 14 15 Go ahead. I don't have a perspective on specific 16 17 litigation. BY MR. GILLESPIE: 18 19 How about in these last five years, fiscal year Q 20 2027 through fiscal year 2031. What litigation 21 do you believe will be taking place during that 22 period? 23 I don't have a perspective on specific 24 litigation. Why did you assume that litigation will continue 25 Q

Page 113 for a total of ten more years after dismissal of 1 2. the Title III case? 3 The assumption was developed in conjunction with Α conversations with counsel to understand what 4 could be reasonably expected in terms of the 5 length of litigation that would continue. 6 7 Did you give any consideration for a learning 0 curve that might reduce the legal spend over 8 9 time? 10 MR. JOSEPH: I'm sorry. 11 Tara, can you read that question back, 12 please. (The requested text was read by the 13 reporter.) 14 15 MR. JOSEPH: Thank you. 16 Object to the form. MR. MERVIS: 17 I'm not sure what that even means. Do you want Α to clarify? 18 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 19 20 Do you think that after the first five years of 21 litigation that attorneys may be able to work 2.2 more efficiently because of what they have learned through those five years of experience? 23 2.4 MR. JOSEPH: Objection to form. Go ahead. 25

Page 114 It's possible, but I can't speak to how 1 2. attorneys would work or operate. BY MR. GILLESPIE: 3 Let's look at the line for the FOMB. 4 0 What do you expect that the FOMB will be 5 6 doing five years from now in fiscal year 2025? 7 I can't tell you specifically what the FOMB Α would be doing. I would assume it would be 8 9 carrying out its duties. We can see that the cost in the FOMB line stays 10 0 11 the same or increases throughout fiscal year 12 2031; is that right? 13 Α Sure. So do you think that the FOMB will have more to 14 15 do in 2029 than today? 16 MR. MERVIS: Objection to the form. 17 MR. JOSEPH: Same objection. As I said before, I can't -- I don't have an 18 Α 19 opinion on what the FOMB is going to be doing in 20 2029 versus today. 21 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 22 I think you referenced earlier the FOMB will Q 23 continue to carry out its duties. 24 Do you have an understanding of what those duties are? 25

Page 115 Not in its entirety, no. 1 2. Q Do you have any sense of what the FOMB will accomplish during this period time? 3 MR. JOSEPH: Objection to form. 4 Yeah, I don't -- I have no basis to be able to 5 Α 6 answer that question. 7 MR. GILLESPIE: We can take down this exhibit. 8 9 BY MR. GILLESPIE: Mr. Shah, I'll just ask generally, as we get 10 started, talking about the creditor recovery 11 12 analysis that you performed. 13 And, first of all, when I say "the creditor recovery analysis," do you understand what I'm 14 15 referring to? I'm assuming that you're referring to the 16 Α 17 document filed in Exhibit 1 -- is that right? -or whatever we -- the first exhibit we tagged? 18 Yes. Yes, that's correct. 19 Q 20 So your analysis considered different 21 classes of creditors; is that correct? 2.2 Α Correct. There's the different groups of 23 creditors, yes. 24 Q Why did you find that helpful? 25 MR. MERVIS: Object to form.

```
Page 116
                            Same objection.
              MR. JOSEPH:
1
 2.
              Find what helpful?
 3
         I'm sorry. Can you clarify what you mean?
     Α
                                                       Ι
         don't --
 4
              Why did -- why did I find what helpful?
 5
 6
     BY MR. GILLESPIE:
         We were just talking about the creditor recovery
         analysis and that that looks at different
8
         classes of creditors?
 9
10
     Α
         There's different groups of creditors.
11
         "Classes" are your term.
12
         When you looked at different groups --
     0
13
     Α
         Mm-hmm.
14
         -- why did you choose that approach?
15
     Α
         Based on the information that we were provided
16
         with regards to the creditors and how
17
         creditors -- what rights certain creditors may
18
         have or the order of priority.
19
     Q
         Could you have reached the outputs that are
20
         presented without looking at groups of creditors
21
         separately?
2.2
              MR. MERVIS: I object to the form.
23
              MR. JOSEPH:
                            I object to the form as well.
         Depends which output you're referring to.
24
     Α
25
```

Page 117 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 1 2. You agree with me that several of the outputs 3 identify the groups of creditors that you looked at? 4 That's correct. 5 Α I'm just trying to understand why you did it 6 0 7 that way rather than, say, some overall number for each of the scenarios. 8 9 MR. MERVIS: I object to the form of the 10 question. MR. JOSEPH: 11 I object to the form as well. 12 So can I ask you: Your question is why did we 13 look at groups of creditors? BY MR. GILLESPIE: 14 15 0 Yes. Because of the priority of flow of certain 16 17 components of the resource envelope versus others, which is outlined in the memo. 18 19 So how did you arrive at the numbers shown in Q 20 the outputs? 21 MR. JOSEPH: Objection to form. 2.2 MR. MERVIS: Object to form. 23 When you say "how did I arrive," I mean, clarify 24 what -- what you're asking for. 25

Page 118 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 1 2. We're just talking about the groups of creditors Q that are presented in the outputs. 3 Mm-hmm. Α 4 And I'm trying to understand how did you -- how 5 6 did you arrive at the groups that you presented? 7 Objection to form. MR. MERVIS: I also object. 8 MR. JOSEPH: Α I think we just spoke to this, but we grouped 9 10 the creditors based on those that have similar priorities for rights to -- or, you know, the 11 12 priority to give aid ahead of other creditors 13 with regards to certain components of the resource envelope. 14 15 BY MR. GILLESPIE: How did you form your understanding of the 16 17 classification and treatment of claims under the plan? 18 19 Objection to form. MR. JOSEPH: Sorry. "Under the plan"? Can you clarify what 20 Α 21 plan you're talking about? 2.2 BY MR. GILLESPIE: I mean the plan of adjustment in this case. 23 24 Α Well, I -- again, can you repeat the question. 25 MR. GILLESPIE: Madam Court Reporter, could

Page 119 I please have you read it back. 1 2. (The requested text was read by the reporter.) 3 MR. JOSEPH: Object as vaque and ambiquous. 4 But go ahead. 5 I didn't form an opinion of the treatment of 6 Α classes or groups of creditors under the plan. BY MR. GILLESPIE: 8 Does your analysis in Exhibit 1 consider the 9 10 consent fees that are distributed in the plan to be a creditor recovery? 11 12 MR. JOSEPH: I object to the form. 13 MR. MERVIS: Same objection. Α No. 14 15 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 16 How about restriction fees? 17 MR. JOSEPH: Object to the form. What about them? 18 19 MR. GILLESPIE: It's the same question that 20 the witness answered --21 Again, there's nothing related to the plan, as 22 there is no plan assumed in my analysis. MR. GILLESPIE: Let's mark another exhibit. 23 24 Actually, let's see. Jacque, if I could 25 please have you mark Tab 5.

Page 120 And then while we're working on loading 1 2. that, if I could have Mr. Thomas bring us back to Exhibit 1. We'll look again at page 10. 3 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 4 Mr. Shah, if I could direct your attention to 5 6 the FOMB Expenditures bullet. 7 Let me know when you're there, please. You're on page 10, FOMB Expenditures. Okay. 8 Α 9 I'm there. And so the last sentence says basically that: 10 Q 11 "The analysis says the FOMB will continue 12 through the period of analysis." 13 And my question is how long is that? What is the last fiscal year in the analysis? 14 15 Α I believe it -- ultimately, the analysis runs through the time line of the fiscal plan, which 16 17 I believe was 2049, if I'm not mistaken. don't have it in front of me but --18 19 Do you recall the total period of the analysis? Q 20 Like how many years --21 Α The fiscal plan is a 30-year set of projections; 2.2 so the overall analysis would have run over that 23 same period. So this means that your analysis is assuming 24 Q that the FOMB will continue to operate for the 25

		Page 121
1		next 30 years?
2	А	I believe if the criteria to dismiss the FOMB
3		are not met, then the FOMB will continue to
4		exist; that's right.
5	Q	I hear that. I'm trying to clarify in your
6		analysis
7	А	Yeah.
8	Q	that it runs through
9		So let me be clear. Under your analysis,
10		the FOMB is assumed to continue to operate for
11		that entire 30-year period?
12	A	I would have to go back to double check.
13	Q	Whatever it means here by "period of analysis,"
14		it would be that entire time frame?
15	A	Correct. That is the assumption.
16		MR. GILLESPIE: I'm hearing the next
17		exhibit is taking a while to come up because of
18		its size; so let me know when I believe it will
19		be Shah Exhibit 5 is available to you.
20		MR. JOSEPH: It's available.
21		THE WITNESS: It is.
22		(Deposition Exhibit 5 was presented for
23		identification.)
24	ВҮ	MR. GILLESPIE:
25	Q	If we could look, please, at page 421. That's

Page 122 based on the numbering of the pdf and also the 1 2. blue page numbers at the top. Let me know when you're on page 421, 3 Mr. Shah. 4 Sorry. It's the blue 421 and not the page 5 6 numbers; right? That's right. And I believe you can enter 421 0 8 into the page box and that should take you right 9 there. 10 MR. JOSEPH: I don't have a page box. 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's a good --12 I'm almost there. 13 MR. GILLESPIE: I don't know if you can see the shared screen. 14 15 MR. MERVIS: 421, yes? MR. GILLESPIE: Yes. Great. 16 17 BY MR. GILLESPIE: And let's look at Bullet Number 9. 18 19 Α Okay. Really, we should probably look -- yeah, maybe 20 we can look at 8 and 9 together. And I'll 21 22 represent --Wait. What is this document that you're asking 23 me to look at? 24 25 Q Right. Yes.

Page 123 So I'll represent to you that this is the 1 2. disclosure statement in connection with the plan of adjustment in this case. 3 Α Okay. 4 It is Docket Entry Number 17628. 5 And we'll look here at Numbers 8 and 9, 6 this is talking about --MR. GILLESPIE: If we could scroll up just 8 a little bit, Mr. Thomas, so we can see the 9 10 start of 8 as well. BY MR. GILLESPIE: 11 12 -- we're talking about CCDA, the consummation 13 costs and restriction fees under certain plan support agreements in the case. 14 15 It talks about an aggregate amount of not greater than \$15 million. 16 17 Do you see that under Number 8? 18 I do. Α So my question for you is do you account for the 19 20 CCDA restriction fee in any of your uses of cash 21 analysis? 2.2 MR. MERVIS: I object to the form of the 23 question. I don't believe so. 24 Α 25

Page 124 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 1 2. I'll ask more generally. Is there anywhere we would see, in your 3 uses of cash analysis, any of the creditor fees 4 from the settlements in this case? 5 6 MR. JOSEPH: Objection to form. 7 MR. MERVIS: Same objection. The settlements under the plan wouldn't be part 8 Α 9 of the analysis excluding the plan; so no. BY MR. GILLESPIE: 10 Understood. 11 0 12 We can return to Exhibit Number 1. Let's 13 look at page 6, please. So we're going to talk now about liquidity. 14 15 Α Okay. I would direct your attention, first of all, to 16 0 17 Footnote 10 that's at the bottom of this page 6. Sorry. I was still on the wrong doc. Let me go 18 Α back. 19 20 Yeah, sure. Footnote 10. Okay. 21 0 And you see they talk about there's -- about 2.2 cash restrictions, and then there are other 23 categories labeled there such as "Not Reviewed," "Potentially Unavailable Cash." 24 25 Did you examine any of these other

Page 125 categories mentioned in Footnote 10? 1 2. Α Help me understand what you mean by "examine." 3 So the analysis elsewhere on page 6 and also in 0 the table called Exhibit 1 on page 6, do you see 4 how it's talking about the amount of 5 unrestricted cash? 6 Α That's right. So when you're trying to calculate unrestricted 8 0 9 cash, did you review any of these categories 10 that were labeled: Not Reviewed; Potentially 11 Unavailable Cash; Potentially Inaccessible Cash? 12 I'm struggling on what you mean by "review." Α 13 We did what we say we did. And as identified above in the reference, this 14 15 information was taken from the cash analysis 16 prepared by the FOMB, and, as we say in 17 Footnote 10, use -- those identified as legally restricted were determined to be restricted. 18 19 Q The end of the footnote goes on to say that 20 these other categories are considered 21 unrestricted? 2.2 Α That's right. That's the approach we took. 23 Did you do any work to independently determine what was restricted or unrestricted cash? 24 And I think this was asked earlier, but, no, we 2.5 Α

		Page 126
1		did not.
2	Q	So then we went
3		We discussed this some of the paragraphs
4		and tables on this page before, and we talked
5		about how there was roughly \$11 billion as of
6		June 30, 2021?
7	А	That was the estimate, yes.
8	Q	And that that estimate was a projection based on
9		a figure from June 30, 2020?
10	A	That's correct.
11		MR. GILLESPIE: Let's return to Exhibit 5,
12		the very long one, and let's please go to
13		page 178.
14		Mr. Thomas, I'm still seeing page 421. Can
15		we move the Screen Share to page 178.
16		MR. JOSEPH: Wrong doc. It's the he's
17		going back to the disclosure statement,
18		Exhibit 5, page 178.
19		MR. GILLESPIE: Yes.
20	А	Okay. 178. Mm-hmm.
21	ВҮ	MR. GILLESPIE:
22	Q	So there's a table in the middle of the page,
23		and there's a line that says:
24		"CW Subtotal."
25		Do you see that?

		Page 127
1	A	CW Subtotal, yes.
2	Q	And under Unrestricted, it reflects 11 billion,
3		609 million, and some dollars?
4	A	Okay.
5	Q	Do you see that this is the balance this is
6		based on the balance as of March 31 of 2021?
7	A	That's correct.
8	Q	If we go back to Exhibit 1, what would the table
9		of Exhibit 1 look like if we started from the
10		March 2021 balance?
11		MR. MERVIS: I object to the form.
12	A	I don't know. I can't calculate that just
13		sitting here today.
14		MR. MERVIS: I don't know what happened.
15		THE WITNESS: Yes. Sorry.
16		MR. MERVIS: The screen went blank.
17		MR. JOSEPH: You disappeared for a second.
18		Did you get his answer?
19		MR. GILLESPIE: I got the answer.
20		MR. KOFF: The screen's still blank here.
21		MR. JOSEPH: You know, just five seconds,
22		it went dark, and you guys are back.
23		So go ahead, Noah.
24		MR. GILLESPIE: We're back.
25		

Page 128 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 1 2. Here in Exhibit 1, let's go ahead to page 26. MR. GILLESPIE: Mr. Thomas, I don't know if 3 we can navigate to page 26. 4 THE TECHNICIAN: I thought you said 5 6 Exhibit 6. I'm sorry. MR. GILLESPIE: 8 THE TECHNICIAN: There you go. 26. 9 MR. GILLESPIE: Thank you. BY MR. GILLESPIE: 10 So, Mr. Shah, let's look here on page 26 at what 11 12 you've called Exhibit 16. 13 Α Okay. Can I direct your attention to the Starting Cash 14 15 figures in the --16 Α Okay. 17 How did you calculate the Starting Cash amounts Q 18 here? 19 These amounts come from that table we were just Α 20 looking at. Maybe we should go back to that table and you 21 22 can just walk us through. 23 THE TECHNICIAN: And what page is the last table on? 24 25 MR. GILLESPIE: It's on page 6.

Page 129 THE TECHNICIAN: Thank you. 1 2. Α Okay. So your question is to walk through this 3 calculation? 4 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 5 Let's take it piece by piece. 6 7 I see here, in what you've labeled Exhibit 1 on page 6 of Shah Exhibit 1, we can 8 start with just the Unrestricted, CW Cash 9 10 Balance. 11 And as of June 30, 2021 --12 Uh-huh. Α 13 -- that is listed as 11 thousand 4, which means 11 billion, 4 million dollars? 14 15 Α That's correct. And so then why don't you walk us down the table 16 0 17 from there, just the different steps that gets us to the cash available. 18 19 Α So the 11 billion 4 is adjusted for the minimum 20 cash requirements required for the Commonwealth, 21 which are estimated between one two and 1.7; and 2.2 the nine three O four to nine eight O four is 23 simply the eleven zero zero four subtracting the one seven zero zero and the one two zero zero. 24 25 Q Understood.

Page 130 So if we go back to page 26. 1 2. Α Mm-hmm. Yes. 3 You see the starting cash there is either of 0 those two values. There's the left column, the 4 starting cash is equal to 9.3 billion, and the 5 6 column to the right of that, we're starting cash at 9.8 billion; is that right? 8 Α Correct. 9 If we go down to page 28. Page 28. Okay. 10 Α 11 This is called Appendix 4. 0 12 So, you know, could you tell us how you 13 arrived at the 1.2 to 1.7 range for the minimum cash requirements? 14 15 MR. MERVIS: Objection to the form. 16 The 1.2 to 1.7 is per the analysis that's Α 17 depicted here, looking at benchmarks, and this analysis is -- it was also included in the 18 19 FOMB's cash report that we discussed previously 20 and where the cash analysis is contained. 21 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 2.2 So let's look at I think it's the fourth item, Q 23 Community Disaster Loans. 24 Do you see that? I do, yes. 25 Α

Page 131 The description there is that U.S. Treasury gave 1 0 2. Puerto Rico access to CDLs as needed. this is a measure --3 Is it fair to say this is a measure based 4 on Puerto Rico? 5 6 MR. JOSEPH: Objection to form. 7 MR. MERVIS: I object to the form. I can't comment on what U.S. Treasury based its 8 Α 9 analysis on. BY MR. GILLESPIE: 10 The description says here: 11 12 "The U.S. Treasury gave Puerto Rico access 13 to CDLs." And that's what that row of this Exhibit 19 14 15 is representing; is that right? 16 That's correct. What that row represents is, as 17 it says there, where the U.S. Treasury, with respect to accessing CDLs, had kind of set its 18 19 target. In here, the range, based on CDLs, is from 20 800 million to \$1.1 billion? 21 2.2 MR. MERVIS: Object to the form. 23 That's what the chart says, yes. BY MR. GILLESPIE: 24 And if we're --25

Page 132 Actually, no, that's not. 1 2. Q Okay. So what the chart says is that the Treasury set 3 Α the reserve target at 1.1 billion. It was 4 increased from 800 -- at some point, there was 5 6 probably an initial target at 800, which was then raised to 1.1. That's what that says. And the row above it is labeled "Municipality 8 Q Comparable"? 9 That's correct. 10 Α And the description references the City of 11 0 12 Detroit? 13 Α That's correct. And based on this metric, the description says: 14 0 15 "Correspondingly, Puerto Rico would retain \$1 billion." 16 17 Is that right? That's what that line says; correct. 18 Α 19 MR. GILLESPIE: Jacque, if I could please 20 have you mark Tab 6, please. 21 THE TECHNICIAN: It's there already. 2.2 MR. GILLESPIE: Pull that up, please. I'11 23 direct your attention to page 18. 24 MR. JOSEPH: Identify for the record what this is. 25

		Page 133
1		MR. GILLESPIE: This is a presentation
2		available on the FOMB's website, which is dated
3		September 17 of this year.
4		(Deposition Exhibit 6 was presented for
5		identification.)
6		MR. JOSEPH: Thank you.
7	ВУ	MR. GILLESPIE:
8	Q	We're looking at page 18, and I would direct
9		your attention to the box that's on the bottom
10		right.
11		Let me know when you're there.
12	A	I am here.
13	Q	You'll see that there's an entry for Min Cash
14		Balance?
15	A	Min? Maybe you can draw I'm just not seeing
16		it.
17		MR. JOSEPH: Is it 18 of the slide or is it
18		18 of the
19	A	You said there's a box? No.
20	ВУ	MR. GILLESPIE:
21	Q	I'm looking at Shah Exhibit 6, and it's page 18.
22		When you look at it, there's an 18 on the bottom
23		right of the page.
24		MR. JOSEPH: Yeah. Got it.
25		

		Page 134
1	ВҮ	MR. GILLESPIE:
2	Q	I'm looking at the box on the bottom right that
3		starts with 4,900 million for CW Public Corps.?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	And a few lines down from that, there's an entry
6		for Min Cash Balance?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	The value there is \$1 billion?
9	A	That's that's there. Yes.
10	Q	We can return back it Exhibit 1. My question
11		is
12		Let's see. We looked at the starting cash
13		amounts that resulted from the minimum cash
14		requirements that we were talking about.
15		Do you remember that?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Has McKinsey looked into different starting cash
18		amounts?
19		MR. MERVIS: Objection to form.
20		MR. JOSEPH: Same objection.
21		Go ahead.
22	A	Again, you're asking have we done an analysis?
23		We have not done an analysis on cash.
24	ВУ	MR. GILLESPIE:
25	Q	Are you familiar with the emergency reserve

		Page 135
1		fund?
2	А	Can you help me understand what "familiar"
3		means.
4	Q	Have you heard of an emergency reserve fund for
5		Puerto Rico?
6	A	Yes. It's a piece of the fiscal plan.
7	Q	In your analysis, are these funds considered
8		restricted?
9	А	They are not.
10	Q	Look at Exhibit 1, page 4.
11	А	So we're going back to Exhibit 1. All right.
12		Okay.
13	Q	The third bullet point says:
14		"The transfer of 130 million per year
15		through fiscal year 2028 to the reserve for
16		emergency fund outlined in the fiscal plan is
17		assumed not to occur."
18	A	That's correct.
19	Q	If we look back at Exhibit 6, again on page 18,
20		I'll direct your attention to the line below the
21		Minimum Cash Balance.
22		Do you see there's an item for Disaster
23		Revolver?
24	A	I see that there's an item for Disaster
25		Revolver, yes.

Page 136 It says it has a value of \$750 million? 1 0 2. Α That's right. 3 And this box that we've been talking about on 0 page 18 is connected to a label that says: 4 "CW cash retained by the government"? 5 6 Α That's what it says, yes. 7 Mr. Shah, I want to take you back a little bit. 0 We're talking about one of the earlier projects 8 9 that you did for the Commonwealth. 10 MR. GILLESPIE: Mr. Thomas, we can take 11 down the Screen Share for this moment. 12 Okay. Α 13 BY MR. GILLESPIE: I don't know if you remember the testimony, 14 0 15 Mr. Shah, about one of the projects that you 16 worked on for the FOMB before was liquidity 17 monitoring? That's right. 18 Α And there may have been other liquidity analysis 19 Q 20 that you also worked on. I apologize, I forgot 21 the name of --2.2 Α Sure. Yes. 23 So I'm wondering has any of that work been 24 refreshed more recently by you and your team? 25 Α No.

		Page 137
1	Q	So do you know where the Commonwealth is in
2		terms of its liquidity position this fiscal
3		year, one way or another?
4	A	It's not something I track regularly.
5	Q	We've mentioned a few times in passing something
6		that you referred to as the "resource envelope"?
7	А	That's correct.
8		MR. GILLESPIE: It may help if we pull up
9		Exhibit 1 again and look at page 4.
10	ВУ	MR. GILLESPIE:
11	Q	Let me know when you're there.
12	A	Okay. Page 4. Yes.
13	Q	So there are bullet points for the Resource
14		Envelope, Outstanding Debt, and Priorities for
15		Distribution of Funds?
16	A	That's right.
17	Q	How did you know what the priorities for
18		distribution of funds should be?
19	A	This was a combination of the legal assumptions
20		here as well as conversations with counsel.
21	Q	Based on your analysis, what assets did you
22		consider available to creditors?
23		MR. MERVIS: I object to the form.
24		MR. JOSEPH: Yeah, I also object.
25	А	The analysis assumes the resource envelope, as

Page 138 it's defined here. 1 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 2. 3 I see. 0 Does the resource envelope in your analysis 4 include COFINA-related funds? 5 6 MR. MERVIS: Objection to the form. 7 Again, give me -- I need more information to Α understand what funds you're referring to. 8 9 BY MR. GILLESPIE: It might help if we look, for example --10 11 Start with this. 12 Mr. Shah, are you familiar with an agency 13 in Puerto Rico called COFINA? I am familiar with the term "COFINA." 14 Α 15 So what I'm trying to understand is whether or not the resource envelope here includes any 16 17 assets related to COFINA. MR. MERVIS: Objection to the form. 18 19 Α When you say "assets related to COFINA," can you 20 be a little more specific so I can answer the 21 question. 2.2 BY MR. GILLESPIE: I can ask it more generally. 23 When you are calculating the resource 24 envelope, did you include assets that belong or 25

Page 139 might be determined by court to belong to any of 1 the instrumentalities of Puerto Rico? 2. 3 MR. JOSEPH: Objection. Form. MR. MERVIS: Yeah, I object to the form. 4 Again, I have to know specifically what assets 5 6 you're referring to to be able to answer the 7 question. BY MR. GILLESPIE: 8 What does the resource envelope include? 9 0 So the resource envelope includes -- as we've 10 Α 11 outlined here, it includes CRIM revenues; it 12 includes conditionally allocable revenues; it 13 includes any appropriations that can get pulled back from IFCUs; and it includes the surplus 14 15 generated under the fiscal plan when excluding 16 these other three items because they are already 17 accounted for so we're not double counting. Plus there's an assumption that with the 18 19 confirmation of an ERS plan, there is a certain 20 amount of ERSs that will come back to the 21 Commonwealth as available. 2.2 So are there any assets of the Commonwealth that Q 23 are not included in the resource envelope? 2.4 MR. MERVIS: Objection to the form. 25 MR. JOSEPH: Same objection.

Page 140 I mean, I don't -- when you say "any assets," I 1 2. don't know what that refers to. BY MR. GILLESPIE: 3 First of all, is restricted cash included in the 4 0 resource envelope? 5 Is restricted cash -- no. 6 Α 7 As it states here, it's the cash on hand available for debt service, and we've just 8 walked through how we calculate that. 9 How would your conclusion change if the resource 10 Q 11 envelope included all of the Commonwealth's 12 assets except for earmarked federal funds? 13 MR. JOSEPH: Objection to form. Calls for speculation. 14 15 Α I don't know. I have no way to answer that question just sitting here today. 16 17 BY MR. GILLESPIE: You and your team conducted the analysis in 18 19 Exhibit 1 based on the resource envelope that 20 you've described; correct? 21 Α That's correct. Do you have an understanding of whether the 22 Q 23 resource envelope would be greater than the one that you assumed if it included all of the 24 Commonwealth's assets except for federal funds? 25

		Page 141
1		MR. MERVIS: Object to the form.
2		MR. JOSEPH: Same objection.
3		Go ahead.
4	A	I don't know. I would have to understand what
5		those assets are and what contribution they
6		would make to the resource envelope.
7	ВҮ	MR. GILLESPIE:
8	Q	Is there unrestricted cash in Puerto Rico aside
9		from earmarked federal funds?
10		MR. MERVIS: Objection to the form.
11	A	I don't understand. Can you repeat that
12		question. Is there what?
13	ВҮ	MR. GILLESPIE:
14	Q	My question is is there unrestricted cash beyond
15		earmarked federal funds?
16	А	I don't know how to answer that question. I
17		don't know.
18	Q	In your analysis, you reached an estimate of the
19		amount of unrestricted cash that the
20		Commonwealth holds?
21	A	Yes. We have an estimate for that number.
22	Q	Do you have an understanding of the components
23		that go into that total of unrestricted cash?
24	A	We have the components outlined in the board's
25		cash analysis.

Page 142 So when we look at the resource envelope --1 2. Mr. Shah, the resource envelope that you used in your analysis, that comes from -- strike 3 that. 4 So in order to conduct your analysis, you 5 6 based the resource envelope on the assumptions given to you by counsel? 8 MR. MERVIS: Objection to the form. 9 MR. JOSEPH: Same objection. Go ahead. 10 11 That's not what I said. So the resource Α 12 envelope is calculated exactly how it's outlined 13 here. MR. MERVIS: For the record, what are you 14 15 referring to as "here"? 16 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 17 This is -- you know, as it's outlined, the Α resource envelope is a component of the pieces 18 19 as outlined on page 4 of 109 in the bullet point 20 labeled "Resource Envelope." BY MR. GILLESPIE: 21 And so to determine the money available to 22 Q 23 satisfy Commonwealth creditor obligations, you 24 drew that from the cash report you referenced earlier? 25

		Page 143
1		MR. MERVIS: I'm sorry. Tara, can you read
2		that back, please.
3		(Reporter clarification.)
4		MR. MERVIS: Sure.
5		I did. Yeah, I did. I heard enough to
6		object. I object to the form.
7		(A discussion was held off the record to
8		correct technical issues.)
9	A	I don't think that's correct. I don't think
10		that's an accurate statement, what you just
11		said.
12	ВҮ	MR. GILLESPIE:
13	Q	I think you mentioned a few minutes ago a cash
14		report
15	A	That's right.
16	Q	you relied upon?
17		And so which cash report is that?
18	A	That's the board's cash analysis, which we
19		reference as a source of information for the
20		previous cash exhibit we looked at.
21	Q	And did you decide which components would be
22		included in the resource envelope?
23		MR. MERVIS: I object to the form.
24	A	Again, sorry. I didn't follow
25		Can you restate the question, or can

Page 144 someone read back what the question was. 1 2. trying to --Did I what? 3 MR. JOSEPH: If you don't understand it, 4 he'll rephrase it for you. 5 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 6 Let's look at page 5 of Exhibit 1. So there's a 0 heading that says "Resource Envelope"? 8 9 Α That's correct. 10 It lays out in the following pages a few 0 11 components of the resource envelope? 12 That's right. Α 13 0 My question for you is whether these numbered 14 components of the resource envelope were an 15 assumption given to you? 16 It was not an assumption directly given to us. Α 17 This was part of the process that we went 18 through to determine what the possible sources 19 of -- the possible sources available for this 20 analysis, and these were the sources that were 21 determined by virtue of the information in the fiscal plan as well as conversations with 2.2 23 counsel. And the cash report. 24 Q You mentioned the fiscal plan. Has McKinsey looked at what creditors would get if the 25

	Page 145
1	unadjusted fiscal plan was distributable to
2	creditors?
3	MR. JOSEPH: I'm just going to object
4	MR. MERVIS: Yeah.
5	MR. JOSEPH: Let me just get this out,
6	Mike.
7	Object. You can ask the witness what he
8	knows, but the broad question by McKinsey I
9	think is a little beyond what he's here for.
10	But go ahead.
11	MR. MERVIS: I object to the form but for a
12	different reason.
13	A Sorry. It's not something I've looked at.
14	BY MR. GILLESPIE:
15	Q Do you know if any of the members of the team
16	that work with you on the best interest test
17	reports have looked into what creditors would
18	get if the fiscal plan was distributable to
19	creditors?
20	A Just you asked a slightly different question;
21	so just so I just want to make
22	I think the prior question and maybe I
23	mean, the prior question was the if the
24	fiscal plan, you know, as is was
25	All right. Sorry, now I'm confused.

Page 146 But I think with the first question -- and 1 2. this question I thought was slightly different. Maybe you can just restate the question and I'll 3 answer it, but sorry. 4 MR. GILLESPIE: Madam Court Reporter, if I 5 6 could have you read back not the last question but the question before it. We'll start there. 8 (The requested text was read by the reporter.) 9 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 10 Mr. Shah, let's take it in the two versions that 11 12 you would like. Let's stick with this question, 13 but first, rather than McKinsey's work --14 Yes. Α 15 -- did you work? 0 16 Α I have not. 17 Then the members of your team that work on the Q 18 best interest test reports, have they worked on this issue? 19 20 I'm not aware of anyone working on that issue. Α 21 MR. MERVIS: Just before you ask another 2.2 question, we've been going a while. Would it be 23 okay to take a ten-minute break? Obviously it's up to Andrew, but I wouldn't 24 25 mind one myself.

	Page 147
1	MR. JOSEPH: I'm good with a break now.
2	MR. KOFF: Well, let's finish up the line
3	of questioning, if you want, and then take a
4	break; right? I mean, unless you're done.
5	MR. GILLESPIE: I think this is an
6	appropriate time to break.
7	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record at
8	2:24.
9	(A recess was taken.)
10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the
11	record at 2:38.
12	MR. GILLESPIE: I'll ask Jacque to
13	introduce Tab 7.
14	THE TECHNICIAN: Should be ready.
15	BY MR. GILLESPIE:
16	Q Mr. Shah, let me know when you have that
17	available to you.
18	A I have it here.
19	(Deposition Exhibit 7 was presented for
20	identification.)
21	Q I'll let you review it as much as you like. My
22	question for you is, you know, are you aware of
23	the Biden administration's potential
24	improvements to Medicaid funding for
25	Puerto Rico?

Page 148 This is the first I'm seeing this; so I'd have Α 1 2. to read it to try and understand it. 3 That was not a factor in your report? 0 I think he just said he has to MR. JOSEPH: 4 read it to understand what it is; so let's let 5 6 him do that. 7 MR. GILLESPIE: Sure. 8 Α Okay. 9 BY MR. GILLESPIE: Mr. Shah, now that you've had a chance to review 10 Exhibit 7, did you consider potential 11 12 improvements to Medicaid funding from the Biden 13 administration in your analysis? Did I consider potential improvements? 14 Α 15 haven't considered any potential improvements. 16 MR. GILLESPIE: We can take down the Screen 17 Share. BY MR. GILLESPIE: 18 19 Mr. Shah, I think you said earlier that your Q 20 analysis in what we are looking at today as Exhibit 1 deals with the --21 2.2 (Reporter request for clarification.) Mr. Shah, I think you were telling us earlier 23 0 24 today your analysis in what we've been looking at as Exhibit 1 deals with the scenario where 25

Page 149 the Title III case is dismissed? 1 2. Α That's correct. 3 And so that's essentially a nonbankruptcy 0 scenario? 4 That's correct. 5 Α So in the nonbankruptcy scenario, if Puerto Rico 6 0 7 has a bigger surplus in the future, what will that mean for creditors' recoveries? 8 9 MR. JOSEPH: Objection to form. In a nonbankruptcy scenario, if the creditors 10 Α 11 have -- or if the Commonwealth, you said, has 12 more surplus? Is that what you said --13 BY MR. GILLESPIE: Yes. 14 0 15 Α -- in the future? It should mean that that surplus will 16 17 benefit some creditor. What is your understanding of the best interest 18 test? 19 20 MR. JOSEPH: Objection to form. 21 (Reporter clarification.) 2.2 MR. MERVIS: Yeah. I object to the form. 23 I don't understand the question. Maybe you can Α provide a little bit of context. 24 Is it a 25 general question? What is concept? Context?

Page 150 It's hard to answer. 1 2. BY MR. GILLESPIE: 3 In Exhibit 1 that we were looking at throughout 0 the course of the day, it's entitled "Best 4 Interest Test Report"; right? 5 The report I've prepared is an analysis of 6 Α 7 creditor recoveries, which is what the title says. The exhibit here is titled "Best Interest 8 9 report." Yes. In your view, were you looking at whether or not 10 Q 11 the best interest test was satisfied? 12 MR. JOSEPH: Objection. Form. 13 Go ahead. Α This report is a report estimating what 14 15 recoveries would be available if the Title III was dismissed. That's what this report does. 16 17 BY MR. GILLESPIE: Did you consider whether or not the plan of 18 adjustment in this case satisfies the best 19 20 interest test? 21 MR. JOSEPH: Object to the form. 2.2 MR. MERVIS: Yeah, object to the form. 23 Again, this report outlines the recoveries -- an estimate of likely recoveries should the 24 Title III cases be dismissed. 25

Page 151 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 1 Okay. And, Mr. Shah, I think we've talked 2. Q throughout the course of the day about Exhibit 1 3 and how you had conversations with attorneys 4 about the report that is Exhibit 1. 5 Do I recall that correctly? 6 7 We discussed conversations with counsel Α regarding the legal assumptions that are 8 9 pertinent for us to prepare this analysis. I see. And --10 0 11 And if that's what you're --Α 12 If that's what you're referring to, then 13 that would be accurate. 14 Yeah. 0 15 And I guess to be clear, I'm also asking 16 you about any other -- if there were 17 conversations that you had with counsel about 18 any other portions of Exhibit 1, even beyond the 19 appendices showing the assumptions. 20 MR. JOSEPH: Objection. Asked and 21 answered. 2.2 Α I'm not -- I don't know where you're making the distinction, but whether it's -- there were 23 24 conversations with regards to the legal assumptions but -- you know, that are described 25

Page 152 in the appendix, but it would also potentially 1 2. extend to the application of those assumptions to ensure we're applying them consistently as we 3 prepared the analysis. 4 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 5 6 So could you please --7 I would like to know who were the attorneys 8 that you spoke with about any aspect of Exhibit 1. 9 10 MR. MERVIS: Hold on. Can you exclude from that the prep sessions? In other words, you're 11 12 talking about communications or conversations, 13 whatever your word was, that predate the finalization of Exhibit 1. 14 15 MR. GILLESPIE: Yes. Let me clarify. BY MR. GILLESPIE: 16 17 So I'd like to know who all the attorneys are that you communicated with in preparing and 18 19 finalizing what's now Exhibit 1. 20 MR. JOSEPH: I'm also going to object and 21 ask you to exclude any conversations he may have 2.2 had with, you know, attorneys representing 23 McKinsey with respect to the report. 24 I don't imagine that you're looking for that either, but if there were any such 25

Page 153 discussions, I want to make sure that's excluded 1 2. as well. MR. GILLESPIE: Mr. Joseph, right now I'm 3 just asking who the attorneys are. 4 MR. JOSEPH: Who the attorneys are is fine. 5 6 THE WITNESS: Sorry. 7 Α Then can I --All right. One clarification is you're 8 9 talking about the work not just to prepare the 10 actual exhibit but the work that we've done as 11 we've collected and analyzed data, you know, as 12 we got assumptions to try and make sure we're 13 applying it appropriately. 14 More broadly, the overall work product that 15 we -- the overall work that we did. Is that what you're asking? 16 17 BY MR. GILLESPIE: I'm talking about the work that created this 18 19 best interest test report. 20 Sure. Okay. Α 21 Are you asking firms? Names of 2.2 individuals? As best as you can remember, the firms and the 23 24 individual attorneys. Okay. In terms of the firms, it would have been 25 Α

Page 154 Proskauer and O'Neill & Borges. 1 2. Individual attorneys, let me -- Josh. Josh's last name I don't -- Esses maybe, starts 3 with an E. Jeff Levitan from Proskauer. 4 Let's see, who else? 5 6 Paul Possinger at some point from Proskauer. Ehud Barak at some point. Mia Zargel, when she was at Proskauer. 8 9 I'm trying to think who I'm missing. I'm not -- I can't -- that's who I can 10 recall from Proskauer. Just I'm not -- drawing 11 12 a blank on if there was anybody else. 13 And with regards to O'Neill, there was Hermann, and I don't know Hermann's last name. 14 15 And there was another attorney from O'Neill, and I'm drawing a blank on his name at the moment. 16 But that would be the majority that I can 17 remember sitting here right now. 18 19 Thank you. Q 20 So we mentioned a number of individuals at 21 Proskauer and at O'Neill Borges. 2.2 Are there any other firms that you communicated with about the best interest test 2.3 24 analysis? 25 Α I mean, the only other one would have been

Page 155 Andrew, who's here with me. 1 2. Q Yes. How did you communicate with these 3 attornevs? 4 For the -- I believe for the most part by 5 Α 6 phone. Maybe there was a video here or there, but I don't -- I don't recall the exact -- the exact mix. 8 9 In most cases, it was asking questions and 10 being able to try and make sure we understood 11 some of these -- the assumptions that were 12 shared with us from Proskauer. 13 How many times did you have these phone or Q possibly a few video conversations? 14 15 MR. MERVIS: Object to the form of the 16 question. 17 I don't know but -- I couldn't tell you. Ι haven't been keeping track. 18 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 19 What was the substance of these conversations? 20 21 MR. MERVIS: Object to the form. 2.2 And I'll certainly object to MR. JOSEPH: 23 the form of any conversations with me, that would be privileged, and instruct you not to 24 25 answer.

Page 156 So conversations with Proskauer and 1 2. O'Neill, for that matter, would have related to the legal assumptions for, you know, if there 3 was a question that we've encountered as we were 4 working on our analysis that had a legal 5 6 component to it that wasn't addressed in the assumptions that we had at that time. 8 hence, you see a lot of the questions that we've asked, and that legal memo has grown. 9 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 10 Did you also have any emails with Proskauer or 11 0 12 O'Neill? 13 Α I mean, we certainly shared this legal assumption memo via email, and there's probably 14 15 some back-and-forth regarding that. 16 0 Did you have any text messages with any 17 attorneys at Proskauer or O'Neill? MR. MERVIS: About? Objection to form. 18 Is there a --19 Α 20 I mean, you're asking with regards to this 21 topic? 2.2 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 23 To the best interest test report. 24 Α No. You mentioned that there were discussions about 25 Q

Page 157 certain of the assumptions in --1 2. You know, we have the assumptions --MR. JOSEPH: Noah, sorry, you cut out. 3 Maybe you can start over. It's hard to hear. 4 MR. GILLESPIE: I'm sorry. Yeah. 5 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 6 I just want to understand. You said that these conversations dealt with the assumptions that 8 9 are listed, for example, in the appendices we've 10 looked at before; is that right? 11 I said they were related to the legal Α 12 assumptions. 13 Are there any that you can remember that are not Q listed in the appendix? 14 15 Α Sorry, I didn't --16 MR. JOSEPH: Objection to form. 17 You mean the appendices? I think there's a 18 few. MR. GILLESPIE: That's fair. 19 20 Yes. Can I remember what? You're asking Α 21 assumptions? 2.2 BY MR. GILLESPIE: 23 Assumptions that were the subject of these conversations that are not included in the 24 appendices we identified earlier. 25

Page 158 I don't -- I don't know. I don't know the 1 2. answer to that. 3 Thank you. Q MR. GILLESPIE: And so the DRA parties will 4 reserve the right to ask any follow-up 5 6 questions. And at this point, I finished my initial questioning; so I will turn it over to the other parties, if they have questions at 8 9 this time consistent with the procedures 10 governing depositions in this case. 11 MR. MERVIS: Does anybody have any --12 Does any party have any questions for the 13 witness? Hearing none, I guess we're adjourned. 14 15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record at 16 2:58. Please stand by. 17 MR. MERVIS: I do want a rough of this 18 transcript, but that's all I can say right now. 19 Review and sign to Andrew Joseph at Faegre. 20 (Time noted: 3:03 p.m.) 21 AND FURTHER THE DEPONENT SAITH NOT. 2.2 23 24 25

Page 159 1 STATE OF INDIANA SS: COUNTY OF HANCOCK 2. 3 I, Tara Gandel Hudson, RPR, CRR, a Notary 4 Public in and for the County of Hancock, State of Indiana at large, do hereby certify that the 5 deponent, OJAS N. SHAH, was by me remotely sworn to 6 tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but 7 8 the truth in the aforementioned matter; 9 That the foregoing deposition was taken on behalf of Cantor-Katz Collateral Monitor LLC, with 10 11 the witness located in Florham Park, Morris County, 12 New Jersey, on the 6th day of October, 2021, 13 scheduled to commence at 9:30 a.m., pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; 14 That said deposition was taken down 15 16 stenographically and transcribed to English under 17 my direction, and that the transcript is a true 18 record of the testimony received remotely of said 19 deponent; and that the signature of said deponent 20 to his deposition was requested; That the parties were represented by their 21 counsel as aforementioned. 2.2 23 I do further certify that I am a disinterested person in this cause of action; that I am not a 24 2.5 relative or attorney of either party, or otherwise

Page 160 interested in the event of this action, and am not 1 in the employ of the attorneys for either party. 2 3 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this 10th day of 4 5 October, 2021. Tara Gandel Had son 6 7 Tara Gandel Hudson 8 Seal 9 Notary Public, State of Indiana Commission No. 682534 10 My Commission Expires March 27, 2024 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 2.1 2.2 2.3 24 25

```
Page 161
                              Veritext Legal Solutions
1
                                 1100 Superior Ave
                                     Suite 1820
                               Cleveland, Ohio 44114
                                 Phone: 216-523-1313
3
4
      October 11, 2021
5
      To: Mr. Joseph
6
      Case Name: In Re: The Financial Oversight And Management Board For
7
      Puerto Rico v.
8
      Veritext Reference Number: 4833795
      Witness: Ojas N. Shah Deposition Date: 10/6/2021
9
10
      Dear Sir/Madam:
11
      Enclosed please find a deposition transcript. Please have the witness
12
      review the transcript and note any changes or corrections on the
13
      included errata sheet, indicating the page, line number, change, and
14
      the reason for the change. Have the witness' signature notarized and
15
      forward the completed page(s) back to us at the Production address
16
      shown
17
      above, or email to production-midwest@veritext.com.
18
      If the errata is not returned within thirty days of your receipt of
19
      this letter, the reading and signing will be deemed waived.
20
21
      Sincerely,
22
      Production Department
23
24
25
      NO NOTARY REQUIRED IN CA
```

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

	Page 162
1	DEPOSITION REVIEW
_	CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS
2	
_	ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 4833795
3	CASE NAME: In Re: The Financial Oversight And Management
	Board For Puerto Rico v.
	DATE OF DEPOSITION: 10/6/2021
4	WITNESS' NAME: Ojas N. Shah
5	In accordance with the Rules of Civil
	Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of
6	my testimony or it has been read to me.
7	I have made no changes to the testimony
	as transcribed by the court reporter.
8	
9	Date Ojas N. Shah
10	Sworn to and subscribed before me, a
	Notary Public in and for the State and County,
11	the referenced witness did personally appear
	and acknowledge that:
12	
	They have read the transcript;
13	They signed the foregoing Sworn
	Statement; and
14	Their execution of this Statement is of
	their free act and deed.
15	
	I have affixed my name and official seal
16	
	this, day of, 20
17	
18	Notary Public
19	
	Commission Expiration Date
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 163
1	DEPOSITION REVIEW
	CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS
2	
	ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 4833795
3	CASE NAME: In Re: The Financial Oversight And Management
	Board For Puerto Rico v.
	DATE OF DEPOSITION: 10/6/2021
4	WITNESS' NAME: Ojas N. Shah
5	In accordance with the Rules of Civil
	Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of
6	my testimony or it has been read to me.
7	I have listed my changes on the attached
	Errata Sheet, listing page and line numbers as
8	well as the reason(s) for the change(s).
9	I request that these changes be entered
	as part of the record of my testimony.
10	
	I have executed the Errata Sheet, as well
11	as this Certificate, and request and authorize
12	that both be appended to the transcript of my
13	testimony and be incorporated therein.
13	Date Ojas N. Shah
14	bacc Ojas N. Shan
	Sworn to and subscribed before me, a
15	Notary Public in and for the State and County,
	the referenced witness did personally appear
16	and acknowledge that:
17	They have read the transcript;
	They have listed all of their corrections
18	in the appended Errata Sheet;
	They signed the foregoing Sworn
19	Statement; and
	Their execution of this Statement is of
20	their free act and deed.
21	I have affixed my name and official seal
22	this, day of, 20
23	
	Notary Public
24	
	<del></del>
25	Commission Expiration Date

	Page 164	
1	ERRATA SHEET	
	VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST	
2	ASSIGNMENT NO: 4833795	
3	PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	Date Ojas N. Shah	
21	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS	
22	DAY OF, 20	
23		
	Notary Public	
24		
25	Commission Expiration Date	

Veritext Legal Solutions

888-391-3376

www.veritext.com

[& - 212.756.2000] Page 1

&	<b>1.7</b> 129:21 130:13	<b>17</b> 1:2 93:6,8,11,24	39:6 96:22
<b>&amp;</b> 2:5,10 3:9 4:9	130:16	94:16 133:3	<b>202.383.5302</b> 6:7
4:15,22 5:4,10 6:5	<b>10</b> 91:25 97:22,23	<b>17628</b> 123:5	<b>202.729.7470</b> 2:7
6:9,15 8:15 19:16	100:20 101:20	<b>17628-16</b> 49:10	<b>2020</b> 87:14,17
22:15,18 24:3,6,10	104:16 120:3,8	<b>178</b> 126:13,15,18	126:9
24:12 25:21 63:15	124:17,20 125:1	126:20	<b>2021</b> 1:21 8:3
64:11 68:1 154:1	125:17	<b>17th</b> 6:10	38:12 58:9,11,21
0	<b>10/6/2021</b> 161:9	<b>18</b> 132:23 133:8,17	62:5,9,19 87:18,22
	162:3 163:3	133:18,21,22	104:25 108:5
001 47:22	<b>10001-2163</b> 3:16	135:19 136:4	126:6 127:6,10
00918 5:12	<b>10022</b> 2:11	<b>1820</b> 161:2	129:11 159:12
<b>00918-1813</b> 3:10	<b>10036</b> 2:18	<b>19</b> 34:24 38:10,11	160:5 161:4
<b>02110-2600</b> 3:6	<b>10153-0119</b> 4:16	131:14	<b>2022</b> 104:25 107:1
06103-3178 5:5	10166 4:5	<b>1996</b> 27:23	108:5 109:4
<b>07932</b> 5:17	<b>10281</b> 4:23	<b>1999</b> 28:7	110:11
1	<b>104</b> 7:14 54:8 84:9	<b>1:11</b> 104:14	<b>2024</b> 160:10
1 7:10 49:4,8 52:6	<b>105</b> 7:15	2	<b>2025</b> 114:6
53:18 54:2,7,8,16	<b>109</b> 142:19	<b>2</b> 7:12 60:17,19	<b>2026</b> 105:1,6 107:5
55:11 56:7 62:25	<b>10:42</b> 47:11	61:6,8,11,21 62:1	107:9 108:6,11
63:2 65:18 68:16	<b>10:56</b> 47:14	62:8 63:2 64:25	112:3,9
70:6 76:8,9,20	<b>10th</b> 160:4	65:6 67:22 76:10	<b>2027</b> 105:4 107:12
80:24,25 81:2,5	<b>11</b> 7:4 126:5 127:2	<b>2,000</b> 99:10	107:15 108:11,13
82:19,22 85:14,17	129:13,14,19	<b>20</b> 162:16 163:22	110:23 112:20
87:1 88:18 96:2,8	161:4	164:22	<b>2028</b> 135:15
97:22 104:16	<b>1100</b> 161:1	<b>200</b> 4:5,22	<b>2029</b> 2:22 114:15
106:8 108:2	<b>118.4</b> 107:2	<b>20005</b> 2:7	114:20
109:10,24 110:2	<b>121</b> 7:16	<b>20006</b> 6:6	<b>2031</b> 105:7,14
115:17 119:9	<b>125.4</b> 107:10	<b>2001</b> 28:11,16	107:17 108:16
120:3 124:12	112:10	<b>2004</b> 27:12,14	109:13 110:25
125:4 127:8,9	<b>12:30</b> 104:7	28:16,20,23	111:2 112:20
128:2 129:8,8	<b>12:33</b> 104:12	<b>2007</b> 28:24	114:12
132:16 134:8,10	<b>130</b> 100:3 135:14	<b>2008</b> 29:2,5,6	<b>2049</b> 120:17
135:10,11 137:9	<b>133</b> 7:18	<b>2014</b> 29:6,8,12,14	<b>208</b> 5:10
140:19 144:7	<b>14</b> 94:7	29:15	<b>21</b> 97:2
148:21,25 150:3	<b>1400</b> 3:21	<b>2016</b> 40:15	<b>21162</b> 160:6
151:3,5,18 152:9	<b>147</b> 7:20	<b>2017</b> 32:4 34:24	<b>212.310.8000</b> 4:17
152:14,19	<b>15</b> 94:7 123:16	35:4 36:7,21	<b>212.318.6043</b> 4:6
<b>1.1</b> 131:21 132:4	<b>16</b> 128:12	<b>2018</b> 29:17 30:17	<b>212.504.6193</b> 4:23
<b>1.1.</b> 132:7	<b>1625</b> 6:6	97:6,14	<b>212.530.5511</b> 3:16
<b>1.2</b> 130:13,16	<b>16th</b> 5:11	<b>2019</b> 35:4 36:7,21	<b>212.756.2000</b> 2:11
		36:24 37:2 38:22	

Veritext Legal Solutions 888-391-3376

# [212.969.3000 - adjourned]

Page 2

<b>212.969.3000</b> 2:18	129:19 130:11	<b>6th</b> 1:21 159:12	<b>able</b> 10:19 12:11
216-523-1313	135:10 137:9,12	7	12:18 48:9,18
161:3	142:19	7 7:20 147:13,19	57:11 58:17 73:6
<b>2400</b> 2:22	<b>4,900</b> 134:3	148:11	82:2 113:21 115:5
<b>250</b> 3:9	<b>40</b> 49:2	<b>70.2</b> 111:3	139:6 155:10
<b>26</b> 128:2,4,8,11	<b>419.680.5500</b> 3:22	<b>750</b> 136:1	absence 98:7
130:1	<b>421</b> 121:25 122:3,5	<b>750</b> 130.1 <b>75219</b> 3:22	absent 36:4
<b>27</b> 93:6,8,12,24	122:7,15 126:14		acasellas 5:13
94:16 160:10	<b>44.1</b> 109:4	<b>767</b> 4:16	accepted 51:16,18
<b>28</b> 106:18,20	<b>44114</b> 161:2	<b>787.281.1816</b> 5:12	64:11 70:24
107:20 130:9,10	<b>46204</b> 5:18	<b>787.764.8181</b> 3:11	access 9:2 60:19
<b>29</b> 54:4 75:3,14	<b>4833795</b> 161:8	8	131:2,12
108:22	162:2 163:2 164:2	<b>8</b> 79:14 88:20	accessing 131:18
<b>2911</b> 3:21	<b>49</b> 7:10	122:21 123:6,10	accomplish 115:3
<b>2:24</b> 147:8	5	123:17	account 20:2 98:7
<b>2:38</b> 147:11		<b>800</b> 2:6 3:10	111:23 123:19
<b>2:58</b> 158:16	<b>5</b> 7:16 53:22 54:3	131:21 132:5,6	accounted 139:17
3	63:22 72:24 73:3 73:6,12,19,25 74:9	<b>84</b> 7:14	accrual 98:25
<b>3</b> 7:14 83:25 84:1	74:19 75:2 76:5	<b>860.240.2580</b> 5:6	accumulation
84:7,12,21 93:16	119:25 121:19,22	<b>88</b> 54:7	87:17
<b>30</b> 49:2 75:4,4,6,8	126:11,18 144:7	<b>88825</b> 7:15 106:12	accurate 64:12
75:11,14,22 87:14	<b>50</b> 55:4	9	70:25 143:10
87:22 120:21	<b>55</b> 3:15	9 122:18,21 123:6	151:13
121:1,11 126:6,9		<b>9.3</b> 130:5	acknowledge 10:9
129:11	6	<b>9.8</b> 130:7	162:11 163:16
<b>31</b> 127:6	<b>6</b> 7:18 8:3 85:16	901 2:6	acknowledged 9:1
<b>310.557.2900</b> 2:23	86:25 87:5,6	<b>919</b> 2:10	act 83:20 162:14
<b>312.222.9350</b> 4:11	91:15 124:13,17	<b>9266</b> 6:11	163:20
<b>32</b> 79:2,6 82:20	125:3,4 128:6,25	<b>949.823.7138</b> 6:11	action 159:24
<b>3283</b> 1:2	129:8 132:20	<b>96</b> 27:17 28:2	160:1
<b>33</b> 79:12	133:4,21 135:19	<b>973.549.7000</b> 5:18	activity 71:21
<b>353</b> 4:10	<b>600</b> 5:17	<b>9:30</b> 1:22 159:13	actual 153:10
<b>36</b> 85:15	<b>609</b> 127:3	<b>9:41</b> 8:1,4	ad 42:21
<b>3:03</b> 158:20	<b>61</b> 7:12	,	adapt 86:3,16,21
	<b>610</b> 6:10	a	addition 19:2
4	<b>617.526.9429</b> 3:6	<b>a.m.</b> 1:22 8:1,4	additional 111:24
<b>4</b> 7:15 72:25 79:2	<b>63.6</b> 107:13	159:13	address 94:5
79:7 80:24,25	<b>65</b> 110:14	aafaf 60:8,12	161:16
81:2 93:15 105:19	<b>65.0</b> 110:12,21	67:10	addressed 156:6
105:21 108:13	<b>682534</b> 160:9	ability 14:15 48:24	adjourned 158:14
110:10 129:13,14		101:25 102:1	_

Veritext Legal Solutions

[adjusted - apavel] Page 3

1	1 12 1 16 16	76.04	140.04.150.6
adjusted 98:14	ahead 13:1 16:16	amounts 76:24	148:24 150:6
100:8 129:19	19:14 20:12 21:8	128:17,19 134:13	151:9 152:4
adjustment 7:17	22:20 24:8,18	134:18	154:24 156:5
7:19 98:9 100:12	33:9 36:11 45:25	analyses 14:23	analyzed 153:11
101:19,25 102:6	51:3 55:13 59:24	32:6,9 94:4 96:10	andrew 5:16 15:21
103:20 118:23	65:13 66:2 69:19	analysis 7:10	16:17 23:17 48:6
123:3 150:19	70:16 71:4,9	17:16,19,22 18:4,8	56:17 146:24
adjustments 98:20	72:18 74:22 75:2	18:10,11,18,19,22	155:1 158:19
99:13 102:8,19,21	75:4 77:8,22	19:1,4 20:10	andrew.joseph
102:22 103:1,3	78:21 83:22,24	21:19 23:9 24:4	5:19
administration	84:7 94:19 95:19	24:11,13 25:25	andy 9:15 56:18
148:13	96:15 97:17 112:5	30:5 31:22,25	56:22
administration's	112:15 113:25	34:20 36:18 38:19	annual 45:12
147:23	118:12 119:5	42:19 43:10 49:16	73:12
adopt 72:3,12	127:23 128:2	49:23 50:3,5,16	answer 11:23,24
76:11	134:21 141:3	53:14 56:6,11	12:13 13:16,17,23
adsuar 5:10	142:10 145:10	58:2,13,16,16 63:6	15:19 18:13 30:2
adviser 20:16	150:13	63:17 64:4 67:11	30:19 31:1 40:4
30:15	aid 118:12	67:12 68:15,19,23	51:25 53:3,8 57:8
advisers 19:6,9,10	aimed 86:2	69:6 73:11 74:10	58:8,18 62:3
19:15,15,20 20:6	<b>al</b> 1:8 7:17	76:12,15 87:9	69:19,20 78:3,9,23
35:7 51:16,19	alexandra 3:14	88:1,7 89:15,23,24	79:17,25 80:8
63:14 64:1,20	5:9	90:2,25 91:10,11	82:17 85:9 86:8
65:20 67:17 70:23	alexpartners 29:5	91:15,18 93:20,25	94:13 95:5 97:18
73:11 98:5	29:5	95:25 96:7,8 98:5	115:6 127:18,19
advisory 6:3	allocable 81:8,22	98:23 99:4,9,14	138:20 139:6
<b>affect</b> 14:14 78:9	82:13,23,25	101:10 102:3	140:15 141:16
affixed 160:4	139:12	103:10 106:7	146:4 150:1
162:15 163:21	alvarez 20:16 26:3	115:12,14,20	155:25 158:2
aforementioned	26:5 67:3 69:3	116:8 119:9,22	answered 16:15
159:8,22	ambac 3:13	120:11,12,14,15	25:22 45:24
afterward 9:11	ambiguous 119:4	120:19,22,24	119:20 151:21
agency 6:3 138:12	amended 7:16	121:6,9,13 123:21	answers 12:10
aggregate 123:15	amgprlaw.com	124:4,9 125:3,15	anticipated 35:21
ago 16:23 33:21	5:13	130:16,18,20	anybody 14:6
143:13	amount 18:23	131:9 134:22,23	154:12 158:11
agree 25:6,11,14	46:14,23,24 57:24	135:7 136:19	apart 92:14 95:2
117:2	87:21 88:2,15	137:21,25 138:4	102:18
agreeable 10:3	123:15 125:5	140:18 141:18,25	apaslawsky 3:17
agreements	139:20 141:19	142:3,5 143:18	apavel 6:12
123:14		144:20 148:13,20	•

[apologize - ave] Page 4

apologize 19:21,25	approximately 8:4	112:25 114:8	assurance 3:13
73:4 136:20	arrive 117:19,23	assumed 87:22	assurance 3.13
appear 162:11	118:6	89:9,11 90:18	attached 17:16
163:15	arrived 108:8	100:4 104:24	18:4 31:23 38:3
	109:9 130:13	105:5,11 108:4	163:7
<b>appearances</b> 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1 9:7	arrow 60:22	110:7 119:22	attention 61:23
	ashley 6:8	121:10 135:17	63:4 93:11 106:14
appeared 61:2	aside 9:25 20:5	140:24	106:20 120:5
<b>appearing</b> 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1		assumes 73:11	124:16 128:14
	68:21 71:2,3,5,10 89:25 141:8	99:9 137:25	132:23 133:9
appears 79:14			135:20
<b>appended</b> 163:11 163:18	asked 16:8,14 23:19 24:22 32:8	assuming 115:16 120:24	
			attentive 14:4
<b>appendices</b> 54:4	33:13 34:5,18	<b>assumption</b> 53:16 68:18 70:17 72:3	attorney 8:14 9:7
54:10,17 151:19	43:21,22 44:7,14		15:11 16:18 54:22 72:13 112:6
157:9,17,25	45:24 49:16 69:12	72:12,14 76:1,9,9	
appendix 53:16,22	72:13 76:6 125:25 145:20 151:20	76:10,13,19,20	154:15 159:25
54:3,7,8 63:22		77:6 78:1,11	attorneys 9:8 14:5
68:18 71:14 75:2	156:9	79:20,21,24 80:8	14:24 15:3,15
76:5 93:16 130:11	asking 11:21,22	80:15,23,25 81:2,5	16:3,19 20:5
152:1 157:14	50:1 52:20 57:5	81:17 82:19,22,22	53:11,20,23 54:1
appliance 28:8	57:10 58:4,5,8	85:17 102:12,20	54:11,15,24 68:13
application 152:2	68:24 86:13 88:14	111:23 113:3	69:9,15 112:2
applies 78:12	96:9 105:25	121:15 139:18	113:21 114:2
applying 152:3	117:24 122:23	144:15,16 156:14	151:4 152:7,17,22
153:13	134:22 151:15	assumptions 18:24	153:4,5,24 154:2
appreciate 11:21	153:4,16,21 155:9	51:16 53:21 54:9	155:4 156:17
23:21	156:20 157:20	54:19 63:16,21	160:2
approach 34:7	aspect 36:14 50:24	64:13 68:3,7,21,24	authority 2:3 6:3
116:14 125:22	152:8	69:7,13,24,25 70:2	authorize 163:11
appropriate 9:5	aspects 44:2	70:4,9,9,10,13	availability 81:25
64:12 74:6 86:4	assert 82:24	71:5,13 72:16,21	available 40:2
86:16,21 147:6	assets 137:21	76:11,14,15,16,17	45:9 66:15 71:12
appropriately	138:17,19,25	77:15,20 78:13,19	84:3 88:2 105:19
52:1 153:13	139:5,22 140:1,12	78:22,24 80:2	105:20 121:19,20
appropriation	140:25 141:5	81:18 102:24	129:18 133:2
76:24	assignment 49:14	103:2,4,9,13 104:4	137:22 139:21
appropriations	162:2 163:2 164:2	111:18 137:19	140:8 142:22
77:4,12 139:13	assist 99:10	142:6 151:8,19,25	144:19 147:17
approve 52:12	associated 64:24	152:2 153:12	150:15
approved 7:20	assume 13:10	155:11 156:3,7	ave 161:1
	85:18 101:10	157:1,2,8,12,21,23	

[avenue - break] Page 5

		I	
avenue 2:10 3:9	98:4 116:15	benefit 17:10	74:3 82:21 93:9
4:5,16 5:10	118:10 122:1	48:10 149:17	123:9 136:7
avoid 10:13 23:22	126:8 127:6 131:4	benefits 7:19	149:24
aware 17:15 22:13	131:8,20 132:14	98:24,25	<b>bk</b> 1:2
56:2,4,18,20,22	137:21 140:19	berezin 4:14	<b>blank</b> 127:16,20
57:4,13 59:10	142:6	berman 6:15	154:12,16
60:4,6 67:8 96:16	baseline 109:21	<b>best</b> 13:9 14:23	blaskowski 2:5
146:20 147:22	111:21	17:16,22 18:4,18	8:20 10:17
b	basically 120:10	20:9 24:4 26:20	block 4:9
<b>b</b> 5:16	basis 22:22 23:5	31:21,25 32:25	<b>blue</b> 75:19 122:2,5
	31:18 34:5 45:12	33:6,10 35:25	<b>blvd</b> 3:21
back 27:7 33:12	57:18 77:3 79:11	36:8,17 37:2,11,22	<b>board</b> 1:6 2:14 3:3
39:9 42:15 47:13	85:23 86:14 115:5	38:2,8,24 39:6	14:5 33:16,18,21
55:2 59:1 60:22	<b>bates</b> 106:12	40:5 41:1 42:6,19	33:21 34:3,12
62:25 66:4 67:22	beach 6:11	43:3,9,18 44:9	44:20 45:11 47:1
86:25 91:14 96:22	bear 28:25 29:2	65:14,17 66:5,19	56:19 57:24 89:20
103:22,25 104:8	bearing 106:11	66:21 69:20 70:11	161:6 162:3 163:3
104:13,16 108:2	bears 49:8	88:9 89:17,25	<b>board's</b> 20:15
108:20 109:16,24	beginning 29:17	90:24 91:19 92:14	24:11 141:24
110:1 111:13	73:21 93:14	92:19,24 95:2,12	143:18
113:11 119:1	110:23	95:15 96:3,6,10,18	<b>boat</b> 28:15 41:13
120:2 121:12	begins 64:10 92:2	97:9,13,15 106:5,7	bockius 5:4
124:19 126:17	110:24	145:16 146:18	<b>body</b> 54:24
127:8,22,24	<b>behalf</b> 1:20 80:8	149:18 150:4,8,11	<b>bold</b> 61:23,25 62:8
128:21 130:1	159:10	150:19 153:19,23	<b>bond</b> 64:6 66:6
134:10 135:11,19	believe 20:14 21:4	154:23 156:23	bondholders
136:7 139:14,20	23:12 25:22 28:23	<b>beyond</b> 16:3 71:24	82:24
143:2 144:1 146:6	34:15 38:10,12,13	141:14 145:9	<b>borges</b> 3:9 154:1
147:10 156:15	45:23 47:16 51:14	151:18	154:21
161:16	62:9,16 68:17	biddle 15:16	boston 3:6
background 19:22	71:21,25 73:21	<b>biden</b> 147:23	<b>bottom</b> 104:19
26:15,23 38:21	82:20 93:4 97:2	148:12	107:23 124:17
balance 127:5,6,10	106:2 112:21	big 34:25 35:23	133:9,22 134:2
129:10 133:14	120:15,17 121:2	42:20	box 122:8,10
134:6 135:21	120:13,17 121:2	<b>bigger</b> 34:25 149:7	133:9,19 134:2
<b>balances</b> 64:7 65:1	123:24 155:5	<b>billion</b> 126:5 127:2	136:3
65:3,4	bell 34:1	129:14,19 130:5,7	break 13:21,24
banker 28:25	belong 138:25	131:21 132:4,16	47:4 72:11 102:18
<b>barak</b> 154:7	139:1	134:8	104:7 146:23
base 31:4 76:12	benchmarks	bit 24:12 31:7	147:1,4,6
<b>based</b> 35:16 45:12	130:17	38:15 58:7 61:19	17/.1,7,0
45:21 73:10,22	130.1/	30.13 30.7 01.13	
	X7 '4 4 T	1	1

[breaks - claims] Page 6

breaks 13:20	125:4 128:12	87:8,13,17,21 88:2	certified 85:19
briefly 9:6	130:11 138:13	88:16,17,19 91:16	certify 159:5,23
<b>bring</b> 48:12 120:2	calls 140:13	91:20,23 123:20	cetera 40:25 41:6
bringing 40:24	campus 5:17	124:4,22,24 125:6	challenges 32:10
<b>broad</b> 39:25 41:2	cancellation 7:21	125:9,11,11,15,24	41:12
71:23 72:8 145:8	candice 3:20	128:14,17 129:9	<b>chance</b> 148:10
broader 30:10	candice.carson	129:18,20 130:3,5	<b>change</b> 30:8 56:24
43:13	3:23	130:6,14,19,20	57:7,15 103:16
<b>broadly</b> 40:6 42:7	<b>cantor</b> 1:20 2:3	133:13 134:6,12	140:10 161:14,15
153:14	159:10	134:13,17,23	163:8 164:3
brought 44:12	cap 46:11,16	135:21 136:5	changed 30:10
<b>budget</b> 83:19 86:3	capability 10:20	140:4,6,7 141:8,14	39:18 66:8 103:25
86:16,21 109:21	care 9:10 40:22	141:19,23,25	changes 33:18
building 5:11	99:18 103:24	142:24 143:13,17	34:21 56:13
<b>bullet</b> 98:2,3 99:17	career 27:20,25	143:18,20 144:23	161:13 162:7
99:21,24 100:1	32:1	<b>catch</b> 74:3	163:7,9
102:23 104:18	carry 106:25	categories 65:21	changing 34:16
110:5 120:6	114:23	71:19 124:23	charlie 84:15
122:18 135:13	carrying 114:9	125:1,9,20	<b>chart</b> 131:23
137:13 142:19	carson 3:20	category 70:1	132:3
<b>burst</b> 28:12	case 1:2 7:10 16:1	109:19	check 121:12
business 28:10	16:5,13 26:9 40:7	cause 159:24	chicago 4:10
butler 3:20	40:8,9 41:9 44:4	ccda 123:12,20	<b>chief</b> 30:14
butlersnow.com	56:3,21 58:3 59:8	cdl 7:21	<b>choose</b> 116:14
3:23	59:21 60:5,8	cdls 131:2,13,18	chunk 53:4
c	76:12 105:12	131:20	circumstance
c 5:9 84:15,20	109:12 113:2	center 5:11 6:10	35:14 77:13
ca 6:11 161:25	118:23 123:3,14	century 2:22	circumstances
<b>cabrera</b> 5:9	124:5 149:1	certain 8:16,21	78:8
cadwalader 4:22	150:19 158:10	16:24 22:6 46:14	citi 73:23 74:6
calculate 125:8	161:6 162:3 163:3	46:21,24 66:25	citibank 19:16
127:12 128:17	casellas 5:9	98:7 102:1 116:17	21:20,22 22:3,12
140:9	cases 40:7,11	117:16 118:13	city 74:8 132:11
calculated 142:12	49:18 150:25	123:13 139:19	civil 1:22 159:14
calculating 138:24	155:9	157:1	162:5 163:5
calculation 73:14	casey 4:21	certainly 37:4,7	<b>claim</b> 20:15 82:24
129:4	casey.servais 4:24	155:22 156:13	83:6,8,10
calculations 74:2	<b>cash</b> 24:11 25:23	certainty 43:20	claims 26:9 65:10
call 31:19	34:17,19,21 36:15	certificate 163:11	66:14 67:3,5 69:3
called 28:7,21	64:7 65:1,3,4,5	certification 162:1	76:24 118:17
76:13,16 93:15	66:15 71:11,21	163:1	
10.13,10 73.13			

# [clarification - consideration]

Page 7

clarification 23:10	collateral 1:20 2:3	81:25 82:10,25	144:11,14
42:8 63:10 73:13	2:3 159:10	83:1,11 88:8	comprehensive
75:18 81:7 143:3	colleague 10:16	89:12,16,20 90:4	69:21
148:22 149:21	47:16	90:20 92:4,11	<b>computer</b> 8:24,24
153:8	colleagues 8:21	100:21 101:3	27:1 48:14
clarified 39:22	collect 19:3	129:20 136:9	concept 149:25
<b>clarify</b> 13:9 42:10	collected 50:14	137:1 139:21,22	conciliate 20:16
51:4,24 52:19	153:11	141:20 142:23	concludes 87:20
53:13 54:13 60:11	collecting 19:9	149:11	conclusion 140:10
69:25 72:6 86:10	<b>collins</b> 17:8 43:5	commonwealth's	conclusions 49:19
90:12 91:6,8,17	53:1	74:17 140:11,25	49:22,25 50:1,2
95:21 96:9 113:18	<b>columbia</b> 27:2,11	communicate	56:14,24 57:7,15
116:3 117:23	28:18,19	15:25 17:21 18:1	conditionally 81:6
118:20 121:5	column 76:9	18:7 74:8 155:3	81:8,22 82:13
152:15	106:25 108:12	communicated	139:12
<b>clark</b> 4:10	130:4,6	16:4 18:17 20:9	conduct 21:18
classes 115:21	columns 75:25	58:1 152:18	142:5
116:9,11 119:7	110:18	154:23	conducted 140:18
classification	<b>com</b> 28:12	communications	conducting 10:10
118:17	combination	62:6 152:12	<b>conf</b> 7:15 106:12
<b>clean</b> 24:24	18:19 137:19	community	confirmation
cleaner 24:23 25:1	<b>come</b> 104:8 121:17	130:23	139:19
clear 12:9 78:17	128:19 139:20	companies 28:15	confirmed 104:5
121:9 151:15	comes 35:21 142:3	company 3:19	confused 90:11
clearer 12:2	<b>coming</b> 12:24	19:11 28:12 63:15	145:25
clears 12:25	commence 1:21	64:11 68:1	congress 7:20
clerk 6:15,16	159:13	comparable 132:9	conjunction 113:3
cleveland 161:2	comment 131:8	compared 55:15	connected 136:4
click 61:3	commentary	99:14 100:9	connection 23:9
<b>client</b> 31:4,8	23:22	compensated 45:5	24:3 26:19 35:11
<b>clients</b> 30:16 31:8	commenting 90:3	45:13	45:13 49:14 51:15
<b>clint</b> 6:17 26:12	comments 54:18	compensation	123:2
59:11 61:1	54:23	45:18,20 46:3	consent 119:10
<b>clutter</b> 23:16 25:4	commission 160:9	complement 22:7	consider 60:11
<b>cofina</b> 138:5,13,14	160:10 162:19	complete 51:7	83:19 119:9
138:17,19	163:25 164:25	completed 161:16	137:22 148:11,14
cognizant 10:11	committee 4:3,8	component 36:15	150:18
<b>colin</b> 4:14	commonwealth	142:18 156:6	considerable
colin.mcgrath	1:8 7:11,17 8:6	components	18:23 57:24
4:18	33:15 40:8,19	117:17 118:13	consideration
	74:14 81:9,14,21	141:22,24 143:21	113:7

Veritext Legal Solutions

# [considered - cwt.com]

Page 8

considered 68:11	contributions 99:4	135:18 137:7	<b>cover</b> 26:5 81:23
115:20 125:20	99:10,18 103:24	140:20,21 143:8,9	82:11,13
135:7 148:15	conversation	144:9 149:2,5	covered 26:21
considering 69:24	15:12 20:15 21:15	corrections 161:13	68:17 71:18 73:21
consistent 158:9	22:8 23:2 24:3	163:17	covering 23:8
consistently 75:20	26:2,6 55:23	correctly 43:16	<b>cr</b> 7:20
152:3	73:23	63:18 64:8,14	created 153:18
constant 110:21	conversations	66:18 70:21 83:4	credit 73:24
constitutes 95:22	15:14,21 16:11,17	98:10 151:6	creditor 7:10
consultant 28:14	20:18,20,24 21:2,5	correlates 34:17	34:15 94:16 95:4
consulting 28:3	21:10,22 22:15,17	correlation 36:12	95:17,23 115:11
consummation	22:25 23:8 24:15	correspondingly	115:13 116:7
123:12	25:20 26:6 58:12	132:15	119:11 124:4
contained 130:20	58:20 62:6 103:5	<b>cost</b> 114:10	142:23 149:17
content 75:3	113:4 137:20	costs 98:22 123:13	150:7
context 18:12	144:22 151:4,7,17	counsel 9:5 10:3,4	creditors 4:3 7:11
58:17 62:3 77:20	151:24 152:12,21	13:15,16 14:6	8:17 41:4 49:17
78:2,19,22 79:20	155:14,20,23	18:23 22:22 66:24	76:22 77:5 94:24
82:16 149:24,25	156:1 157:8,24	67:1,5,7 68:14,18	115:21,23 116:9
continuation 99:9	cooper 2:21	68:22 69:1 72:15	116:10,16,17,17
continue 35:22	<b>corp</b> 4:20,20	76:7 77:23 103:5	116:20 117:3,13
81:20 108:15	corporation 3:13	103:9 113:4	118:2,10,12 119:7
110:7 112:25	4:13 5:8	137:20 142:7	137:22 144:25
113:6 114:23	<b>corps</b> 134:3	144:23 151:7,17	145:2,17,19 149:8
120:11,25 121:3	<b>correct</b> 18:5 22:16	159:22	149:10
121:10	26:3,4,11 47:21	counseling 31:8	creek 3:21
continued 33:22	51:20 66:22 68:5	counting 139:17	crim 139:11
continues 23:14	68:9,25 71:1 74:7	<b>county</b> 1:19,21	crisis 29:2 34:13
110:24	75:12 87:15 91:21	159:2,4,11 162:10	criteria 121:2
continuing 32:14	93:23 94:2 95:10	163:15	<b>crr</b> 1:19 159:3
<b>contract</b> 45:1,7,8	96:19,23 97:8	<b>couple</b> 42:21	ct 5:5
45:10 46:2,7,8	98:11 99:16 100:7	course 9:15 62:9	<b>curve</b> 113:8
contracts 39:17	103:2 105:2,8,15	62:19 87:4 90:15	<b>cut</b> 74:3 93:9
40:17 41:17 44:18	106:9 107:5,8,10	150:4 151:3	105:5 108:10
47:2	109:14 110:15	<b>court</b> 1:1 8:8 12:4	157:3
contributed 54:2	111:4 115:19,21	14:19 17:10 25:10	<b>cuts</b> 103:20
54:12	115:22 117:5	111:12 118:25	cw 76:22 79:15
contributing	121:15 126:10	139:1 146:5 162:7	83:2 126:24 127:1
54:13	127:7 129:15	courteous 10:14	129:9 134:3 136:5
contribution	130:8 131:16	courtesy 23:21	<b>cwt.com</b> 4:24
141:5	132:10,13,18		

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

[d - distributable] Page 9

d	<b>debtors</b> 1:9 2:14	described 50:20	direction 159:17
<b>d</b> 3:4	3:3 7:12	82:5 103:14	directly 36:17
<b>d.c.</b> 2:7 6:6	decide 103:1	111:20 140:20	67:14,15 144:16
daily 88:8 89:16	143:21	151:25	director 28:8
89:25 90:23	decided 103:10	describing 109:10	directs 13:17
	declaration 59:8	description 95:22	disappeared
dale 2:16 9:9,22 dallas 3:22	59:10,20 60:5	131:1,11 132:11	127:17
dalsen 3:4	deed 162:14	132:14	disaster 130:23
dark 127:22	163:20	designation 44:6	135:22,24
data 50:14 51:18	<b>deemed</b> 161:20	designed 92:9	disclosure 7:16
	deficits 81:24	detail 27:8 50:9	17:17 18:5 27:12
64:7 65:6,8 66:6 68:10 69:24 70:17	82:10 89:10,12	detailed 100:16	31:23 38:4,14
	90:5,18,19	102:4	97:4 123:2 126:17
70:19,25 71:10 153:11	defined 138:1	detailing 64:5	disclosures 7:13
date 161:9 162:3,9	degree 26:24	determine 73:19	discount 22:9
162:19 163:3,13	deliverable 30:5	125:23 142:22	73:12,20 74:6,9,19
163:25 164:20,25	deliverables 42:25	144:18	discrete 37:5
dated 133:2	deloitte 28:3,6	determined 83:14	discussed 16:17
david 5:4 6:16	department	125:18 139:1	22:11 24:6,10
26:13	161:22	144:21	65:5 98:13 126:3
david.shim 5:6	dependent 31:18	detroit 132:12	130:19 151:7
day 1:21 29:23,23	depending 30:2,6	develop 32:15	discussion 8:23
30:1,1 31:18,18	depends 76:16	developed 113:3	26:10 47:20 77:16
42:5,5 150:4	116:24	development	93:22 101:21,24
151:3 159:12	depicted 130:17	50:15	143:7
160:4 162:16	deponent 158:21	different 16:8	discussions 73:10
163:22 164:22	159:6,19,19	19:10 23:3 36:22	74:5 153:1 156:25
davs 161:19	deposed 11:13	40:6 78:3 88:3,16	disinterested
de 5:10	deposing 14:25	94:7 95:12 115:20	159:23
deal 29:3	deposition 1:14,18	115:22 116:8,10	dismiss 121:2
deals 148:21,25	7:9 8:7 9:17 10:10	116:12 129:17	dismissal 103:6
dealt 157:8	11:20 12:16 16:20	134:17 145:12,20	105:12 109:11
dear 161:10	45:22 49:4 61:6	146:2	111:18 113:1
debating 24:25	84:1 105:21	difficulties 10:12	dismissed 7:11
debt 2:3 21:11,13	121:22 133:4	<b>direct</b> 7:4 11:8	49:18 103:17
22:6,9 64:6,21,22	147:19 159:9,15	63:4 93:11 106:14	149:1 150:16,25
64:24 65:9 81:10	159:20 161:9,12	106:19 120:5	distinction 151:23
81:14 83:2,2	162:1,3 163:1,3	124:16 128:14	distressed 29:10
85:19 94:22	depositions 158:10	132:23 133:8	distributable
137:14 140:8	<b>describe</b> 50:9 94:3	135:20	145:1,18

# [distributed - example]

Page 10

distributed 119:10	drive 5:17 6:10	emails 62:7 156:11	25:20
distribution	dual 26:25	emans 62.7136.11 emergency 100:2	errata 161:14,19
137:15,18	dua 20.23	134:25 135:4,16	163:7,10,18 164:1
<b>district</b> 1:1,1	duly 8:10	employ 160:2	ers 139:19
dive 10:22	duties 7:14 84:9		erss 139:19
diverse 31:4		employed 28:13	
doc 124:18 126:16	114:9,23,25	employees 4:8	essentially 28:13 33:13 149:3
	e	16:24 17:6 93:2,4 enclosed 161:12	
docket 49:8,9	e 4:9 17:13,13		esses 2:16 6:15
123:5	154:4	encountered 156:4	154:3
document 48:8	earlier 21:17	encourage 41:16	estimate 26:7,8
63:22 106:11	31:22 32:25 37:6	engaged 19:6	34:8 35:16 49:24
115:17 122:23	42:14,15,15 43:2	engagement 29:23	53:5 87:17 126:7
documents 68:14	52:24 65:5 66:21	31:18 44:12	126:8 141:18,21
68:22	82:21 92:23 93:21	engineering 27:1	150:24
<b>doing</b> 11:12 23:5	95:7 109:3 114:22	english 159:16	estimated 101:22
27:13 36:5,6,21	125:25 136:8	ensure 12:9 152:3	129:21
58:13,21 67:3	142:25 148:19,23	enter 122:7	estimates 64:6
85:4 97:20 112:2	157:25	entered 163:9	66:14
112:7 114:6,8,19	early 33:12 97:1	entire 121:11,14	estimating 87:20
dollars 127:3	earmarked 140:12	162:5 163:5	150:14
129:14	141:9,15	entirety 52:7	et 1:8 7:17 40:25
<b>dot</b> 28:12	easily 48:5	53:17 115:1	41:6
<b>double</b> 60:21 61:3	echo 12:23	<b>entities</b> 20:8 26:19	<b>event</b> 82:9 160:1
104:24 108:4	economy 92:10	entitled 150:4	everybody 8:13
121:12 139:17	edmond 6:15	<b>entity</b> 82:23	62:21
<b>doug</b> 8:19 23:19	educational 26:23	entry 123:5	evolved 29:13
douglas 2:9	efficiency 40:24	133:13 134:5	30:18 31:3,7
douglas.koff 2:12	efficiently 113:22	envelope 117:17	exact 20:23 21:1
dr 56:17,20	effort 32:13	118:14 137:6,14	21:24 28:5 44:9
dra 8:17 158:4	egnyte 10:16	137:25 138:4,16	53:3 155:7,8
<b>drafted</b> 53:11,19	47:18	138:25 139:9,10	<b>exactly</b> 13:3 30:2
80:9 81:3	ehud 154:7	139:23 140:5,11	112:6 142:12
drafting 50:18		140:19,23 141:6	examination 1:18
52:22 53:10,24	eight 129:22	142:1,2,6,12,18,20	7:2,4 11:8
54:2,12,14 55:3	either 130:3	143:22 144:8,11	examine 124:25
draw 133:15	152:25 159:25	144:14	125:2
drawing 154:11	160:2	environment	examined 8:12
154:16	eleven 2:17 87:22	44:23	11:5
drew 142:24	129:23	equal 130:5	<b>example</b> 12:11,18
drinker 5:16 15:5	email 156:14	ernst 19:16 22:15	13:3 33:25 66:13
15:16	161:17	22:18 24:3,6,10,12	67:2,4 79:12
		,.,,-	,=

Veritext Legal Solutions

# [example - finished]

Page 11

			8
103:19 138:10	137:9 140:19	<b>eye</b> 6:6	119:10,16 123:13
157:9	143:20 144:7	f	124:4
<b>excerpt</b> 106:2,11	147:19 148:11,21	faces 41:12	<b>fgic</b> 3:19
<b>exclude</b> 152:10,21	148:25 150:3,8	facilitated 67:7	fifteenth 2:6
excluded 153:1	151:3,5,18 152:9	facing 82:10	<b>fifth</b> 4:16
excluding 124:9	152:14,19 153:10	fact 70:6	<b>figure</b> 35:23 70:18
139:15	<b>exhibits</b> 7:8 10:15	factor 148:3	74:15 126:9
excuse 22:21	10:18,20 47:19	facts 19:3,9 21:18	figures 46:10
54:22 67:23 70:7	60:23 61:5	22:5 35:25 68:10	108:7,17 109:9
107:16	exist 65:10 94:23	factual 66:6 70:8	128:15
execute 82:1	110:7 111:19	faegre 5:16 158:19	<b>filed</b> 14:23 38:9,10
executed 163:10	121:4	faegredrinker.con	38:12 39:16,17
execution 162:14	existed 35:17	5:19	97:1 115:17
163:19	<b>expect</b> 15:1 62:14	failed 28:12 42:8	<b>final</b> 92:8
executive 27:12	62:17 114:5	fair 60:15 88:4	finalization
28:18	expected 113:5	94:15,20 131:4	152:14
executives 30:16	expenditure 99:24	157:19	finalized 52:8
<b>exhibit</b> 7:9,10,12	110:5	falls 70:1	finalizing 152:19
7:14,15,16,18,20	expenditures	familiar 55:20,25	finally 100:1
9:2 10:16 38:4	35:22 83:18 86:3	77:17 83:23 84:25	<b>finance</b> 4:13 5:8
47:16,22 49:4,8	98:7 120:6,8	85:3,10,11 105:25	27:1 28:9,25
52:6 53:18 54:2	expense 98:14	134:25 135:2	<b>financial</b> 1:5 2:14
54:16 55:11 56:7	expenses 81:23	134.23 133.2	3:3,19 6:3 29:2
59:13 61:6,8,11,21	82:11,14 85:18	far 27:7	36:14 51:19 64:1
62:1,8,25 63:2	86:1,20 100:22	<b>fashion</b> 41:7,14	64:3,4,7,13,18,19
65:18 68:16 70:6	experience 74:14	fast 49:1	64:20 65:2,6,8,11
84:1 85:14 87:1	74:17 113:23	federal 1:22	65:20,21,24 66:20
88:18 93:15 96:2	<b>expert</b> 7:12 60:12	140:12,25 141:9	66:23 67:6,9,12,16
96:8 97:22 104:16	expertise 40:21,23	141:15 159:14	67:17,18 68:7
105:17,19,21	experts 60:8	fee 45:10 46:1,20	70:22,23 73:11
106:8 108:2,13	expiration 162:19	123:20	85:19 161:6 162:3
109:10,24 110:2	163:25 164:25	feedback 54:20	163:3
110:10 115:8,17	expires 160:10	feel 13:21 79:9	<b>find</b> 13:6 115:24
115:18 119:9,23	explain 60:19	84:23 105:24	116:2,5 161:12
120:3 121:17,19	98:22	fees 46:19 99:21	fine 9:21 10:5,6
121:22 124:12	explanation 14:7	104:19,22,24	19:11 32:23 47:9
125:4 126:11,18	23:19 94:12	105:4,9,11 106:18	153:5
127:8,9 128:2,6,12	extend 152:2	106:20,21 108:4,7	<b>finish</b> 11:22,24
129:8,8 131:14	extent 19:4 50:20	108:10,23,23	14:8 81:20 147:2
133:4,21 134:10	81:10,21 82:15	109:11 111:6,17	finished 27:14
135:10,11,19		111:21,21,24	28:20 158:6

[firm - fully] Page 12

<b>firm</b> 8:16,20 15:4	<b>flag</b> 72:22	<b>fomb's</b> 39:16 40:2	143:6,23 145:11
28:21 31:17 40:5	<b>floor</b> 5:11 6:10	64:20 65:5 67:17	149:9,20,22
<b>firms</b> 15:4 153:21	<b>florham</b> 1:21 5:17	71:11 73:10 98:4	150:12,21,22
153:23,25 154:22	159:11	130:19 133:2	155:15,21,23
<b>first</b> 8:10 11:3,14	<b>flow</b> 25:8 117:16	<b>footnote</b> 124:17,20	156:18 157:16
13:23 31:9 44:3	<b>focus</b> 14:2 42:20	125:1,17,19	<b>format</b> 20:21,23
44:12 45:2 47:16	68:10	foregoing 159:9	forming 68:11
48:23 49:1 73:2,3	focused 21:10	162:13 163:18	69:8,14 70:5
73:8 79:6 85:23	29:10	<b>forgot</b> 136:20	<b>forms</b> 92:9
87:7 89:5 92:2	focuses 40:19	<b>form</b> 10:1 15:17	formulated 76:4
93:19 96:22 98:21	foerster 6:15	15:18 16:6 17:23	formulating 71:7
100:19 110:10,20	<b>folder</b> 47:19	19:13 20:11 21:7	<b>forth</b> 156:15
113:20 115:13,18	folks 9:13 18:20	21:23 22:4,19	forward 42:9
124:16 140:4	30:4 41:14 43:25	24:7,17 29:21	91:25 97:7,14
146:1,13 148:1	62:10 73:23	30:25 33:8 36:9	161:16
<b>fiscal</b> 6:3 40:20	<b>follow</b> 24:21 108:2	36:10 37:13 39:12	<b>found</b> 44:15
41:10 85:25 86:2	143:24 158:5	45:15,16 49:20,21	foundation 57:17
86:19 89:9 98:6	following 91:3	51:1 53:7 55:5,12	59:23
98:14 99:15 100:4	144:10	56:25 58:14 60:9	four 58:6 87:23
100:9,16,24	<b>follows</b> 8:12 11:6	65:12 66:1 69:18	129:22,22,23
101:19 102:2,8,19	<b>fomb</b> 7:15 18:23	70:15 71:8 72:5	<b>fourth</b> 67:23
104:4,24,25 105:1	19:5,9,16 20:5	72:17 74:21 77:7	130:22
105:4,5,7,13 107:1	25:24 32:2,4,9,25	77:21 80:3,16	frame 38:17 44:10
107:5,9,12,15,16	33:6 34:18 38:23	83:16,21 89:19	57:22 58:5 62:3
108:4,5,11,11,13	39:3,6 40:1,6,10	91:1,2 94:6,18	121:14
108:16 109:4,13	40:12,19 41:9	95:18 96:14 97:16	free 13:21 79:9
110:11,23,25	42:1 43:7,14,18	100:10 101:12	84:23 105:24
111:2,22 112:2,9	44:5,13 45:5 47:2	102:13,14 103:11	162:14 163:20
112:19,20 114:6	58:2,13,22,25	111:8 112:4,13	freeze 98:24
114:11 120:14,16	63:14 64:1 65:20	113:16,24 114:16	friedman 6:5
120:21 135:6,15	67:19,21 89:25	115:4,25 116:22	89:18,18
135:16 137:2	90:24 91:18 92:20	116:23 117:9,11	<b>front</b> 8:25 48:8
139:15 144:22,24	93:3 95:3,8,16	117:21,22 118:7	61:12 75:7,24
145:1,18,24	96:2,7,19 97:6	118:16,19 119:6	120:18
<b>five</b> 15:9 21:3 30:3	99:24 106:12	119:12,17 123:22	<b>froze</b> 19:23
74:18 110:20	109:21 110:5,7	124:6 127:11	full 27:12 39:23
112:19 113:20,23	114:4,5,7,10,14,19	130:15 131:6,7,22	40:17 41:18 76:25
114:6 127:21	114:22 115:2	134:19 137:23	79:23 92:2 97:20
<b>fixed</b> 45:10 46:1,7	120:6,8,11,25	138:6,18 139:3,4	100:19
46:13,15,19,20,23	121:2,3,10 125:16	139:24 140:13	<b>fully</b> 80:10
46:24	136:16	141:1,10 142:8	

[function - good] Page 13

function 104:3	gillespie 2:4 7:4	118:22,25 119:8	61:19 62:25 63:2
function 104.3	8:13,14 9:21,23	119:15,19,23	65:13 66:2 69:19
fund 100:2 135:1,4	10:7 11:9 13:2,5	120:4 121:16,24	70:16 71:4,9
135:16	15:23 16:9,21	120:4 121:10,24	72:18,24,25 73:3
<b>funded</b> 89:12	18:2,14 19:18,21	123:8,11 124:1,10	74:22 75:2,4
90:19	19:24 20:3,4,17	126:11,19,21	76:22 77:4,8,22
<b>funding</b> 7:20 90:5	21:14 22:1,10,21	127:19,24 128:1,3	78:21 81:9,13
147:24 148:12	23:7 24:1,14,19	127.19,24 128.1,3	83:1,22,24 84:7
funds 81:25 135:7	25:18 26:16 29:24	129:5 130:21	85:15 88:20 91:14
137:15,18 138:5,8	31:12 32:22 35:2	131:10,24 132:19	94:19 95:19 96:15
140:12,25 141:9	36:19 37:15,18	131:10,24 132:19	97:17 104:7
140.12,23 141.9	38:1 41:20 45:19	132:22 133:1,7,20	109:16 110:1
further 14:7 36:3	46:6 47:3,6,15	134.1,24 130.10	112:5,15 113:25
158:21 159:23	48:3,9,16,17 49:6	138:2,9,22 139:8	112:5,13 113:23
future 73:15 149:7	49:11 50:4 51:6	140:3,17 141:7,13	124:18 126:12
		140.3,17 141.7,13	
149:15	52:4 53:9 55:7,16 57:2,9,19 58:19	142:21 143:12	127:8,23 128:2,8 128:21 130:1,9
g	59:15,18 60:1,13		134:21 141:3,23
gabriel 3:8	60:16,20 61:14,18	146:5,10 147:5,12 147:15 148:7,9,16	134.21 141.3,23
gabriel.miranda	62:24 63:1 65:16	148:18 149:13	150:13
3:11			
gaby 17:8 43:5	66:17 70:3,20	150:2,17 151:1	goal 12:1
53:1	72:2,10,20,23 73:5	152:5,15,16 153:3 153:17 155:19	goes 63:20,25 83:8
gaby's 17:11	74:24 75:17,21		92:6,7 98:12 105:3 107:20
<b>gandel</b> 1:19 159:3	77:10,23 78:6,16	156:10,22 157:5,6	105:3 107:20
160:7	79:1,5,8 80:11,21	157:19,22 158:4	
gather 14:2	80:22 83:17,24	give 11:19 12:10	<b>going</b> 10:15 26:15 38:7 40:16 42:9
gathering 21:17	84:5,6,11,14,17,19	14:18 18:12 23:14 24:19 27:4 30:19	
gaurov 57:21,23	85:6,12 89:3,4,21 89:22 90:14 91:12	38:20 39:14 84:16	44:15 47:10,15,24
<b>gdb</b> 2:3			55:2 59:22 69:17
general 31:10	94:14 95:1,24	113:7 118:12	79:10 84:12,20
149:25	96:17 97:21 100:13 101:16	138:7 given 11:16 44:23	85:2,3 94:6 103:25 104:11
generally 93:6	100:13 101:10	79:21 89:11 112:7	111:6 112:12
115:10 124:2	102.17 104.0,13	142:7 144:15,16	114:19 124:14
138:23	,	·	126:17 135:11
generate 89:10	106:10,13,15,17	<b>go</b> 9:6 13:1,24	145:3 146:22
90:17	108:1 109:16,18	16:16 19:14 20:12	
generated 139:15	110:1,4,16,19	21:8 22:20 24:8	147:7 152:20
generation 92:11	111:12 112:1,8,18	24:18 27:7 33:9	158:15
getting 12:23	113:19 114:3,21	33:12 34:10 36:11	good 8:13 11:10
19:24	115:7,9 116:6	45:25 51:3 55:13	11:11 13:3 15:6
	117:1,14 118:1,15	59:24 60:22 61:5	47:9 48:16 53:3

# [good - improvements]

Page 14

122:11 147:1	guess 27:15	52:19 125:2 135:2	hurricanes 34:1
<b>gos</b> 76:25	151:15 158:14	137:8 138:10	i
gotshal 4:15	guidance 98:4	<b>helped</b> 28:9 67:1	idea 53:8 56:13,23
governing 158:10	guys 127:22	helpful 34:12	57:10
government 32:2	h	36:16 38:20,20	ideas 40:24
33:16 34:4,13	half 105:5 108:10	42:3,9 115:24	identification 49:5
35:6 36:4 40:23	halved 105:11	116:2,5	49:7 61:7 84:2
41:11 67:13,15	109:11	hereunto 160:3	105:22 121:23
71:20,22 86:3	hancock 1:19	<b>hermann</b> 154:14	133:5 147:20
136:5	159:2,4	hermann's 154:14	identified 52:24
government's 35:7	hand 61:4 140:7	herriman 59:6,7	54:17 104:2
governor 7:14	160:4	<b>hi</b> 9:9	
84:9	handful 15:9	<b>high</b> 111:7	125:14,17 157:25
<b>goyco</b> 5:10	21:25 41:13	<b>higher</b> 111:21	<b>identify</b> 69:7,13 70:11 117:3
<b>graduate</b> 27:11,16		highlights 27:20	
graduating 28:2	<b>happen</b> 35:16,19 35:20	41:19	132:24
great 122:16		historical 34:21	ifcus 88:23 89:2,10
greater 123:16	happened 127:14	history 27:5,25	90:5,17 139:14
140:23	happy 24:19 27:8	hit 34:1,2 41:19	iii 1:3 7:10,16 40:7
group 5:3 20:14	72:11	hm 44:16	40:11,13 49:18
28:4,5 29:7	hard 150:1 157:4	hmm 20:7 71:1	98:8 103:6,17
grouped 118:9	hartford 5:5	109:5 116:13	104:5 105:12
groups 34:16	hastings 4:4	118:4 126:20	109:12 111:18
94:24 115:22	he'll 52:2 144:5	130:2	113:2 149:1
116:10,12,20	head 12:11 42:23	hoc 42:22	150:15,25
117:3,13 118:2,6	46:10 59:4	hold 20:2 90:7	<b>il</b> 4:10
119:7	header 75:3,12,15	152:10	imagine 152:24
grow 28:9 33:22	heading 144:8	holds 141:20	impact 46:2
105:1,6,13 108:6	health 40:22 99:18	hole 35:23 36:4	100:23 103:6
108:15 109:12	103:24	hope 10:11	impacts 102:3
grown 156:9	hear 12:19 20:1	hopefully 41:19	implement 102:1
grows 109:6	32:19,20 80:12	host 71:23	implementation
growth 92:10	121:5 157:4	hours 15:7	100:15
101:10	<b>heard</b> 102:16	hta 40:9 41:14	implemented
	135:4 143:5	hudson 1:19 3:15	103:21,21
guarantee 4:13 5:8 76:22	hearing 10:7	12:4 159:3 160:7	implications 104:4
	91:13 121:16		implied 34:19
<b>guaranteed</b> 81:9	158:14	huh 61:22 106:23	42:18
81:14 83:2	held 26:10 27:21	129:12	implying 57:16
<b>guaranty</b> 3:19	47:20 143:7	hurricane 35:11	important 9:13
4:20,20	help 12:2,5 17:24	35:18	improvements
	36:2 38:17 51:23		147:24 148:12,14

Veritext Legal Solutions

# [improvements - joseph]

Page 15

148:15	individuals 26:18	44:9 64:23,23	issues 12:18 26:11
inaccessible	43:8,17 52:21	65:15,18 66:5,7,19	33:5,10 47:21
125:11	61:25 62:7,12	66:21 73:15 88:9	94:4 143:8
inaccurate 23:23	153:22 154:20	89:17 90:1,24	item 98:21 109:19
inappropriate	inflation 105:1,6	91:19 92:15,19,24	130:22 135:22,24
23:22 57:16 85:8	105:13 108:6,15	95:2,12,15 96:3,6	items 98:19
<b>include</b> 65:7 98:23	109:12	96:10,18 97:10,13	102:11 103:8,9,15
103:10 138:5,25	information 14:2	97:15 106:5,7	104:2 139:16
139:9	21:11 22:7 25:24	145:16 146:18	iterations 37:23
included 44:18	33:15 40:1 41:5	149:18 150:5,8,11	j
63:21 64:5 130:18	50:13 51:18 64:3	150:20 153:19	jacque 60:16
139:23 140:4,11	64:5,13,16,18,19	154:23 156:23	83:25 105:17
140:24 143:22	65:2,11,22,25 66:4	interested 160:1	119:24 132:19
157:24 161:14	66:9,11,15,20,23	interests 41:2	147:12
includes 138:16	66:25 67:4,7,9,13	international 3:5	jacqueline 2:5
139:10,11,12,13	67:15,16,18,21	internet 28:7	8:20 10:17
139:14	68:3,7 69:3,4	interruption 25:8	jacqueline.maer
including 62:6	70:22 116:15	introduce 147:13	2:8
68:12 69:9 107:5	125:15 138:7	introducing 98:3	jay 59:5,7 62:16
incorporate	143:19 144:21	inverse 30:22	62:16,23
100:22	informed 74:14	involved 15:22	jeff 154:4
incorporated	informs 36:17	17:19,20 18:3,21	jenner 4:9
54:20 163:12	initial 132:6 158:7	20:19 22:14 24:13	jenner.com 4:11
increase 92:11	insinuating 25:13	25:20 31:19 32:3	jersey 1:21 159:12
107:15 110:24	insolvency 8:5	36:13 37:1 41:21	jesses 2:20
increased 132:5	instruct 155:24	41:24,25 42:1,5,12	joeroth 6:12
increases 107:4	instrumentalities	42:13 43:8,9 44:2	john 4:21
114:11	139:2	50:13,18,21,24	joined 8:19 28:3,7
increasing 110:25	insurance 3:19	51:9,12 52:22	28:20 29:8,14,15
independent 28:14	interaction 55:17	53:24 97:5	joint 7:16
68:2	55:21 56:16 57:20	involvement 55:2	joseph 5:16 6:9
independently	59:5 60:7 61:24	involves 40:21	9:1 10:6 12:23
68:6 125:23	62:2,10,15,18	involving 20:19	15:21 16:6,14,18
index 7:2,8	interactions 41:11	26:3	17:2 18:9 19:23
indiana 1:20 159:1	interest 14:23	irma 34:2	20:1,11 21:7 22:4
159:5 160:9	17:16,22 18:4,18	<b>island</b> 35:19	22:19,24 23:17,17
indianapolis 5:18	20:10 24:4 26:20	issuances 22:6	24:7,17 25:1,4,7
indicating 161:14	31:21,25 33:1,6,11	66:7	29:21 30:25 32:17
individual 37:10	36:8,17 37:3,12,22	issue 88:11,13	33:8 36:10 39:12
55:8 153:24 154:2	38:2,9,24 39:7	90:25 146:19,20	45:16,23 47:8,22
	42:6,19 43:3,9,18		48:6,22 49:21
			<u> </u>

Veritext Legal Solutions

# [joseph - legislation]

Page 16

51:1 52:2 53:7	juan 3:10 5:12	95:7 97:18,19,19	large 31:16 41:24
55:12 56:25 57:4	july 87:17 97:3	97:25 101:25	159:5
57:12 58:14 59:22	jumps 71:17	103:16,20 105:18	largely 31:11
60:10,18,21 61:3,9	june 87:14,18,22	106:16 109:2	late 38:11 40:15
61:11 65:12 66:1	97:2 126:6,9	111:6,11,16	<b>latest</b> 96:24
69:17 70:15 71:8	129:11	118:11 120:7	laura 4:9
72:5,17 74:21	justin 17:7 43:4	121:18 122:3,13	law 6:15,16 8:15
75:9 77:7,21,25	53:1	127:12,14,21	14:19 15:3,4
78:14,20 80:3,17	k	128:3 130:12	25:10
83:16,21 84:8,12	k 5:4	133:11 136:14	lawyer 80:9
84:16 85:2,7 89:1	katz 1:20 2:3	137:1,11,17 139:5	lawyers 26:22
90:9 91:1,5 94:6	159:10	140:2,15 141:4,16	72:7
94:18 95:18 96:14	keep 62:5	141:17 142:17	lays 144:10
97:16 100:10	keeping 155:18	145:15,24 147:16	lead 27:19,24
101:13 102:14	keyboard 8:24	147:22 151:22,25	29:23 30:3
103:11 104:9	kind 34:17,19	152:7,17,22	leader 32:12
107:22 111:8	36:24 40:13 41:2	153:11 154:14	leah 4:4
112:4,14 113:10	46:12 48:14 69:2	155:17 156:3	leahlopez 4:6
113:15,24 114:17	103:14 131:18	157:2 158:1,1	learn 44:3
115:4 116:1,23	know 10:11,13	knowing 41:15	learned 113:23
117:11,21 118:8	12:20,24 13:8	knowledge 59:19	learning 113:7
118:19 119:4,12	14:25 18:24 22:8	60:3	led 43:1 50:12
119:17 121:20	23:19 28:11 29:1	knowledgeable	<b>left</b> 28:6 36:24
122:10 124:6	29:22 30:6,12	52:16	60:21 61:4 108:21
126:16 127:17,21	31:2,2,3,7,11	known 8:17	109:17 130:4
131:6 132:24	32:14,25 34:2,6,9	knows 145:8	legal 18:24 19:20
133:6,17,24	34:12,20,25 35:18	<b>koff</b> 2:9 8:19 23:11	51:16 53:15 54:19
134:20 137:24	35:19 36:1,2,3,16	25:3,6,12 47:24	63:14,15,21 64:12
139:3,25 140:13	39:23,25 40:24	127:20 147:2	68:17 70:7,9
141:2 142:9 144:4	42:4,5 43:14,20	kroll 6:15,16	71:13 77:9 80:8
145:3,5 147:1	44:6,17,19,21,22	1	82:17 85:4 98:5
148:4 149:9,20	44:22 45:3 46:14	1 6:9	103:18 104:24
150:12,21 151:20	46:16 47:6 48:11	label 108:21 136:4	105:4 106:21
152:20 153:3,5	58:16,17 59:7	labeled 108:22	108:4,7,10 111:5
155:22 157:3,16	60:14 61:24 62:16	124:23 125:10	113:8 137:19
158:19 161:5	66:11,12,13,15	129:7 132:8	151:8,24 156:3,5,9
josh 154:2	70:1,21 74:13,23	142:20	156:13 157:11
josh's 154:3	74:25 75:6,15	lack 59:23	161:1 164:1
joshua 2:16	77:9,25 78:4,10	language 7:21	legally 125:17
jpmorgan 29:3	80:1,18 83:14	51:21 52:10,12	legislation 7:20
	87:4 92:6 94:22	54:15	
			l .

Veritext Legal Solutions

# [legislative - mdale]

Page 17

legislative 76:23	136:7 138:20	91:16 92:1 104:18	margaret 2:16 9:9
77:3,11	145:9 149:24	105:25 106:8	maria 34:2 42:18
<b>length</b> 113:6	live 46:4	111:5 116:20	mark 47:16 60:17
<b>leon</b> 5:10	<b>llc</b> 1:20 2:3 3:9	128:20 130:17	83:25 105:17
<b>letter</b> 161:20	159:10	133:8,21 134:2	119:23,25 132:20
level 31:8 36:13	llp 2:5,10 3:15	148:20,24 150:3	marked 47:19
37:7	4:22	150:10 152:24	49:7 52:5 60:23
leverage 28:25	<b>loading</b> 84:5 120:1	looks 49:2 116:8	61:4 93:15 107:1
levitan 154:4	loans 130:23	lopez 4:4	market 28:21
lewis 5:4	located 1:20	<b>lot</b> 12:6 156:8	markets 73:24
<b>liaison</b> 67:2 69:2	159:11	<b>love</b> 70:10	marking 10:17
liberty 4:22	long 56:19 120:13	lpelanek 4:11	105:18
lifts 34:25	126:12	lts 1:2	marti 55:18
<b>limited</b> 29:3 35:25	longer 103:16	lunch 104:7	materials 19:5,7
line 9:6 10:4 67:24	look 41:17 75:2,4	m	matter 8:5,12 11:5
85:8 114:4,10	79:2,6 84:7,14	m 3:20	23:3 156:2 159:8
120:16 126:23	93:6,7 97:12,22	ma 3:6	matters 40:14
132:18 135:20	98:19 104:21	ma 5:0 madam 111:12	matthew 6:16
147:2 161:14	106:24 109:15,25	118:25 146:5	maturity 66:7
163:7 164:3	114:4 117:13	161:10	<b>mba</b> 27:2,14 28:18
lines 98:14 134:5	120:3 121:25	madame 39:9	28:20
linkage 45:17	122:18,20,21,24	maero 2:5 8:20	mcconnell 8:16,21
lips 32:20	123:6 124:13	10:17	mcgrath 4:14
liquidity 32:6,6	127:9 128:11	main 49:9 76:13	mckinsey 29:8,11
33:14,17,19 34:6,9	130:22 133:22	76:15,17,19 82:22	29:13 30:9,18,24
35:5,10,13,17	135:10,19 137:9	major 26:25 42:24	31:13 38:23,25
42:16 124:14	138:10 142:1	majority 103:3	39:3,23 40:5
136:16,19 137:2	144:7	154:17	41:25 42:7 45:5
<b>list</b> 9:19 44:6	<b>looked</b> 33:5,10	making 19:6 32:14	52:15 59:20 60:4
62:17	71:21 90:16	86:19 151:22	63:6,15 64:2,11
<b>listed</b> 62:7,11	116:12 117:3	malhotra 57:21	65:24 68:1 70:21
110:11 129:13	134:12,17 143:20	58:9,10,13,20 59:3	70:24 91:22,23
157:9,14 163:7,17	144:25 145:13,17	management 1:6	92:19 93:2 94:1
listing 163:7	157:10	2:14 3:3 83:19	97:12 106:6
literally 52:20	<b>looking</b> 34:3 35:10	161:6 162:3 163:3	134:17 144:24
litigation 111:19	47:23 48:23 52:5	managing 30:12	145:8 152:23
112:11,17,20,24	67:22 73:8 75:13	managing 50.12 manges 4:15	mckinsey's 29:9
112:25 113:6,21	75:22 76:8,19	manny 6:18	39:21 45:20 46:3
little 11:19 16:23	82:19 84:8,18,20	march 127:6,10	46:7 49:14 146:13
58:7 61:19 74:3	85:16 87:1,7 88:1	160:10	mdale 2:19
93:9 104:6 123:9	88:21,24 89:7	100.10	

[mean - multiple] Page 18

		I	T	
mean 18:1 30:21	46:1 51:10 52:13	mia 154:8	118:4 126:20	
31:2,6 33:4 42:4	102:7 125:1 137:5	mic 26:14	130:2	
44:14 54:13 80:2	143:13 144:24	michael 2:15	mmervis 2:19	
82:7 86:7,10 91:8	154:20 156:25	middle 28:11,21	<b>mmm</b> 44:16	
91:9 96:18 109:3	mentioning 101:7	84:21 88:21	<b>model</b> 30:5 106:2	
116:3 117:23	101:9	126:22	106:3,4,5,6	
118:23 125:2,12	mentions 65:6	midwest 161:17	modeled 76:18	
140:1 145:23	79:16	164:1	<b>modeling</b> 36:1,13	
147:4 149:8,16	mervis 2:15 9:14	mike 145:6	modifications 98:6	
154:25 156:13,20	10:5 15:17 17:23	milbank 3:15	moment 136:11	
157:17	19:13 21:9,23	milbank.com 3:17	154:16	
meaning 64:22	24:23 25:11 36:9	million 87:14,23	money 46:9 81:15	
81:4	37:13,17,18,20	100:3 110:14	142:22	
means 77:20 79:19	39:8,13 45:15	112:10 123:16	monitor 1:20 2:3,3	
80:24,25 81:2	49:20 51:2 55:5	127:3 129:14	8:24 33:14 35:5	
86:14 110:14	60:9 80:5,16 90:7	131:21 134:3	35:13 159:10	
113:17 120:24	91:2 95:20 100:11	135:14 136:1	monitoring 32:6	
121:13 129:13	101:12 102:13	min 133:13,15	35:15 42:16	
135:3	107:18,24 111:9	134:6	136:17	
meant 80:10	112:13 113:16	mind 146:25	month 46:9,15,24	
measure 7:21	114:16 115:25	mine 75:13 84:5	monthly 45:10	
131:3,4	116:22 117:9,22	107:20	46:1,8,13	
measures 86:2	118:7 119:13	minimum 46:20	morgan 5:4	
100:16 102:2	122:15 123:22	129:19 130:13	morganlewis.com	
mediation 41:5	124:7 127:11,14	134:13 135:21	5:6	
medicaid 7:20	127:16 130:15	minute 60:25	morning 8:13	
147:24 148:12	131:7,22 134:19	146:23	11:10	
medications 14:14	137:23 138:6,18	minutes 47:7,9	morris 1:21	
meet 15:10 81:11	139:4,24 141:1,10	143:13	159:11	
member 37:6	142:8,14 143:1,4	miranda 3:8	morrison 4:15	
members 15:13	143:23 145:4,11	missed 41:16	6:15	
17:7 18:20 96:5	146:21 149:22	101:17	move 23:11,13,24	
145:15 146:17	150:22 152:10	missing 39:20 72:1	25:10 57:18 91:25	
memo 54:21 81:3	155:15,21 156:18	154:9	108:20 110:16	
100:17 101:21	158:11,17	mistake 89:3	126:15	
111:20 117:18	messages 156:16	mistaken 120:17	<b>moving</b> 11:25	
156:9,14	met 121:3	misunderstood	32:20 107:7	
memory 14:15	methodology	46:17	multiple 30:12	
mentioned 12:16	50:15	mix 155:8	37:23 41:24 78:3	
16:23 20:6 22:14	metric 132:14	mm 20:7 71:1	81:18	
31:22 33:3 43:4,4		109:5 116:13		
Varitart Lagal Calutions				

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

# [multitude - objection]

Page 19

multitude 32:10	needed 131:2	<b>noted</b> 54:10	111:8 112:13
39:4	needs 42:18	158:20	113:16 115:25
municipal 4:20	negative 107:2,9	noteholder 5:3	116:22,23 117:9
22:9 73:24	107:12 110:12	notice 1:22	117:11,22 118:8
municipality	network 28:8	noticed 77:15	119:4,12,17
132:8	new 1:21 2:11,18	nuances 78:9	123:22 127:11
<b>muniz</b> 5:10	3:16 4:5,16,23	<b>number</b> 1:2 9:5,11	131:7,22 137:23
munoz 3:9	159:12	20:20 21:1,24	137:24 139:4
murray 55:18	<b>newport</b> 6:10,11	23:1,2 31:15	141:1 143:6,6,23
56:2	nine 129:22,22	43:14 51:10 74:11	145:3,7,11 149:22
<b>muted</b> 26:14	<b>ninety</b> 87:14	75:12 76:20 77:15	150:21,22 152:20
myers 6:5,9	<b>noah</b> 2:4 7:4 8:14	79:4,7 80:24,25	155:15,21,22
n	9:14 11:9 13:1	82:19 85:14,16,17	objected 23:20
<b>n</b> 1:14,18 4:10	23:11 25:22 39:14	93:14,25 95:7	25:15,16
5:15 11:2 159:6	47:24 59:13 60:18	98:2 117:7 122:18	objecting 39:12
161:9 162:4,9	69:11 78:15 79:3	123:5,17 124:12	objection 10:4
163:4,13 164:20	80:20 85:5 94:9	141:21 154:20	15:17,18 16:6,14
name 8:14 17:11	104:10 127:23	161:8,14	17:23 18:9 19:13
55:20,25 59:7	157:3	numbered 144:13	20:11 21:7,9 22:4
136:21 154:3,14	noah.gillespie 2:8	numbering 122:1	22:19,23 23:6,12
154:16 161:6	<b>nod</b> 12:11	numbers 54:6	23:15 24:7,17,20
162:3,4,15 163:3,4	<b>non</b> 40:13 76:22	75:19 117:19	25:2,5,12,14 29:21
163:21	76:22 77:4	122:2,6 123:6	30:25 33:8 36:9
named 61:25	nonbankruptcy	163:7	36:10 37:16,19,20
names 17:5 19:11	149:3,6,10	nw 2:6 6:6	45:15,16,23 49:20
43:4 61:23 62:13	nonbond 65:9	<b>ny</b> 2:11,18 3:16	49:21 51:1,2 53:7
153:21	nonfinancial 65:9	4:5,16,23 5:17	53:7 55:12 56:25
national 4:13 5:8	<b>nonlegal</b> 105:9,11	0	57:3,18 60:10
nature 37:15,19	108:23 109:10	o 129:22,22	65:12 66:1 71:8
37:20 45:6 47:1	nonpriority 83:7	o'melveny 6:5,9	72:5,17 74:21
57:20 13:0 17:1	83:10	o'neill 3:9 154:1	77:7,21,24 78:20
navigate 128:4	<b>nope</b> 61:4	154:13,15,21	83:16 91:1,2
navigated 32:10	notarial 160:4	156:2,12,17	94:18 95:18,20
near 73:21	notarized 161:15	oath 14:17	97:16 100:10,11
nearly 56:19	<b>notary</b> 1:19 159:3	object 13:15 21:23	101:12,13 102:13
necessary 19:3	160:9 161:25	37:13 55:5 58:14	102:14 111:9
21:18 77:4,12	162:10,18 163:15	59:22 60:9 69:17	112:4,14 113:24
need 12:4 13:21	163:23 164:23	70:15 78:14 80:3	114:16,17 115:4
34:9 47:18 48:24	<b>note</b> 8:23 39:20	80:16 83:21 85:7	116:1 117:21
70:18 78:8 81:22	49:6 161:13	89:19 90:8,9 94:6	118:7,19 119:13
138:7		96:14 103:11	124:6,7 130:15
155.7		70.1.103.11	

Veritext Legal Solutions

# [objection - participant]

Page 20

131:6 134:19,20	88:20 89:6 91:7	139:11 141:24	91:15,25 92:1,2
138:6,18 139:3,24	91:24 92:5 93:13	142:12,17,19	93:6,8 97:22,23
139:25 140:13	107:3,24,25 120:8	outlines 150:23	100:20 101:2,20
141:2,10 142:8,9	122:19 123:4	<b>output</b> 50:17	103:14 104:16,19
149:9,20 150:12	124:15,20 126:20	94:12 116:24	106:4 120:3,8
151:20 156:18	127:4 128:13,16	outputs 49:23 50:2	121:25 122:2,3,5,8
157:16	129:2 130:10	50:6 93:21,25	122:10 124:13,17
objections 9:25	132:2 135:12	94:15 116:19	125:3,4 126:4,13
10:1,8 24:25 25:9	136:12 137:12	117:2,20 118:3	126:14,15,18,22
obligations 81:11	146:23 148:8	outside 19:20	128:2,4,11,23,25
81:14 142:23	151:2 153:20,25	26:21 54:16 68:24	129:8 130:1,9,10
obtain 77:5	156:1	71:15 88:8,11,18	132:23 133:8,21
obviously 9:13	omitting 39:19	89:16 90:24 91:19	133:23 135:10,19
19:6 35:8 36:15	omm.com 6:7,12	95:14 96:2,10	136:4 137:9,12
42:19 43:21 44:19	6:12	outstanding 21:13	142:19 144:7
46:4 146:24	once 76:25	26:9 64:6,21	161:14,16 163:7
occasion 58:11	oneillborges 3:11	137:14	164:3
occur 103:16	ones 96:1	overall 15:8 30:11	pages 49:2 75:19
135:17	ongoing 40:7,8,9	32:13 33:14 44:17	93:11,24 94:16
occurred 23:1	onward 37:2	78:10 117:7	144:10
ochoa 5:10	opening 7:12	120:22 153:14,15	paid 35:23 76:25
october 1:21 8:3	operate 114:2	overlooked 51:14	85:19
159:12 160:5	120:25 121:10	overlooking 51:11	paragraph 63:5
161:4	operating 81:23	oversee 31:14,16	64:10 67:22,23
<b>office</b> 83:19	85:18 86:1,20	oversight 1:5 2:14	73:3,8 87:1,7,20
officer 30:15	89:10,11 90:5,18	3:3 14:5 89:20	88:5,22,25 89:8
official 4:3,8	90:19	161:6 162:3 163:3	90:17 92:1,2,6
162:15 163:21	opinion 102:11	overview 11:19	98:3 100:19,19
<b>oh</b> 96:4	114:19 119:6	р	101:2 103:15
<b>ohio</b> 161:2	opinions 68:12	1 - 10 00 1	104:22
ojas 1:14,18 5:15	69:8,14 70:5,7,7	<b>p</b> 17:13 38:4 <b>p.m.</b> 158:20	paragraphs 89:2
8:7,9 9:15 11:2	opposed 103:9	page 7:3,8 48:23	126:3
159:6 161:9 162:4	<b>oral</b> 1:18	54:4,6,7,8 63:2	park 1:21 2:22 4:5
162:9 163:4,13	order 82:1,12	64:25 65:6 67:22	5:17 159:11
164:20	116:18 142:5	72:24,25 73:3,6	part 29:9 32:7
okay 8:2 9:22 10:7	organic 83:20	75:3,4,4,6,8,11,11	44:11,17,19,25
15:20 16:22 47:5	original 38:8 66:5	75:14,14,16,22	56:11 97:3 106:6
48:6 54:9 60:18	outlined 24:16	79:2,4,6,11,12	124:8 144:17
61:10,13 63:3	81:2 85:18 100:4	82:20 84:7,12,21	155:5 163:9
71:3,4,10 84:4,22	100:23 103:13	85:15 86:25 87:2	participant 9:19
85:13 87:6,19	117:18 135:16	87:5,6 88:20,21	
		07.3,0 00.20,21	

Veritext Legal Solutions

# [participants - possinger]

Page 21

participants 99:11	perez 5:10	piere 17:8 43:5	113:12 119:1,25
participated 17:6	perform 89:15,24	53:1	120:7 121:25
participation	91:18 96:9	<b>pinos</b> 6:16	124:13 126:12
45:21	performed 88:7	<b>pjt</b> 19:16 20:18,19	132:19,20,22
particular 29:22	94:1 95:25 96:7	20:24 21:2,6,16	143:2 152:6
58:4 103:8	115:12	22:7	158:16 161:12,12
parties 8:18 9:12	performing 58:2	place 1:23 3:5	plus 139:18
158:4,8 159:21	68:15,23	112:21	point 9:4 12:17
partner 29:16	period 28:17 32:5	places 53:23,25	13:6,21 19:19
30:9,17,24 31:3,6	34:24 35:4 108:8	plan 7:17,18 85:19	28:24 34:14 47:4
31:10,10,13,15,16	109:3 112:22	85:25 86:19 89:9	55:23 69:23 99:17
43:22	115:3 120:12,19	98:6,8,14 99:15	100:1 105:16
partners 41:25	120:23 121:11,13	100:4,9,24 101:19	112:12 132:5
42:25	person 11:21	102:9,19 103:20	135:13 142:19
party 158:12	52:15 55:9 159:24	103:24 104:4,5	154:6,7 158:6
159:25 160:2	personal 55:2	111:22 118:18,20	pointing 13:2
paslawsky 3:14	92:14 95:15	118:21,23 119:7	points 88:3,16
passing 137:5	personally 36:6	119:10,21,22	98:2,3 102:23
paul 4:4 154:6	41:21 42:12,13	120:16,21 123:2	137:13
paulhastings.com	43:8 50:21,24	123:13 124:8,9	<b>police</b> 77:16,19
4:6	51:9,12 91:18	135:6,16 139:15	78:2,11,18 79:15
pavel 6:8	92:15,17 95:3,25	139:19 144:22,24	79:16,19,24 80:7
pay 76:24 81:9,13	97:5 162:11	145:1,18,24	80:10 82:1,7,12,16
83:1	163:15	150:18	ponce 5:10
<b>paygo</b> 99:10	persons 20:8	<b>plans</b> 40:20 41:10	popular 5:11
paying 61:23	perspective 34:7	platform 9:2	population 86:4
payments 73:16	34:11 36:2 46:4	47:18	86:17,22
<b>pdf</b> 75:13 122:1	103:18 112:16,23	<b>play</b> 76:15	portion 53:15
pelanek 4:9	perspectives 64:6	playing 30:13	<b>portions</b> 53:11,18
pending 13:23	pertain 58:12	please 12:9,12	53:19 54:11
<b>penn</b> 27:16	pertinent 46:17	13:8 14:4 17:11	151:18
pennsylvania	151:9	23:11,24 47:11	position 68:2
26:25	peter 6:5 89:18	50:9 57:18 60:17	137:2
<b>pension</b> 98:21,24	pfriedman 6:7	60:20 61:20,24	positions 27:20
103:19	<b>phone</b> 155:6,13	62:25 72:24 75:5	possibility 44:25
people 18:17	161:3	78:15 79:9 81:20	possible 114:1
31:13,16,17 32:15	phrase 38:2	83:25 85:14 86:25	144:18,19
33:23	<b>piece</b> 129:6,6	87:4 88:20 91:25	possibly 57:8
<b>percent</b> 55:4 73:12	135:6	97:24 101:18	62:20 155:14
73:19,25 74:9,19	pieces 66:25	104:12,16 105:17	possinger 154:6
	142:18	110:18 111:13	

Veritext Legal Solutions

[post - puerto] Page 22

post 34:1 42:18	preparing 17:20	procedure 1:22	proposed 7:18
postmeasures	18:22 24:13 51:9	159:14 162:5	proposed 7.18 proskauer 2:17,21
109:21	51:15 88:12,18	163:5	3:5 15:5,15 16:19
potential 16:1,5,12	152:18	procedures 158:9	63:9,13 154:1,4,7
147:23 148:11,14	present 6:14 10:12	proceed 8:8 9:3,16	154:8,11,21
148:15	14:6 15:16 17:2	proceeding 8:17	155:12 156:1,11
potentially 30:12	38:22 39:6 73:15	49:9	156:17
34:8 35:23 124:24	74:1 94:21	proceedings 8:6	proskauer.com
125:10,11 152:1	presentation	process 11:20	2:19,19,20,23 3:7
power 77:16,19	133:1	33:14 144:17	prospectives 64:25
78:2,11,18 79:15	presented 49:4	produced 37:8	protections 98:8
79:16,19,24 80:7	61:6 84:1 91:11	product 37:8	provide 33:18
80:10 82:16	102:12,20,25	153:14	41:18 44:7 46:15
powers 7:14 82:1	105:21 116:20	production 161:16	46:25 67:1 149:24
82:7,12 84:9	118:3,6 121:22	161:17,22	provided 19:5
pr 5:12	133:4 147:19	professional 23:21	23:20 63:14 64:1
pr's 86:4	presenting 93:20	27:5,25 57:23	64:13 65:21 66:20
<b>practice</b> 29:9,14	president 29:15,20	99:21 104:19,22	68:8,11,12,15,18
29:16 40:24	30:1	106:18,20 108:23	69:9,14 70:19
prager 6:16 26:13	previous 143:20	111:5,17	71:6,10 72:4,15
pre 35:17	previously 98:13	<b>profile</b> 64:22	98:4 116:15
precise 37:22	130:19	program 27:13	provides 71:23
predate 152:13	primary 19:15	28:18	psc 5:10
prep 152:11	37:4	project 94:16	public 1:19 4:13
prepa 40:9 41:9,12	principal 64:22	projected 111:22	5:8 40:22 41:17
preparation 15:10	73:15	projecting 112:11	45:8 134:3 159:4
18:11,16 21:18	prior 145:22,23	projection 126:8	160:9 162:10,18
30:4 40:20 41:10	priorities 118:11	projections 35:17	163:15,23 164:23
50:21,25 63:16	137:14,17	87:16 92:4 98:6	<b>publicly</b> 39:16,17
64:4 68:19 69:5	prioritizing 83:18	100:21 101:3	71:12
prepare 14:21	priority 116:18	120:21	published 25:23
15:7 18:17 19:3	117:16 118:12	projects 32:24	publishing 10:18
20:9 32:8 36:1	privilege 10:1	37:1,5,10 39:5	puerto 1:1,6,8
49:16 50:12 151:9	privileged 155:24	41:22 42:11,13,14	3:10 6:3 7:11,17
153:9	probably 31:7	42:17 43:7,17	7:18,21 8:6 32:2,9
prepared 35:7	34:24 38:13 41:16	85:25 86:20 95:8	32:13,16 34:2
44:5 56:4,22 57:5	55:6 58:23 62:14	97:6 136:8,15	67:13 83:20 86:17
57:13 63:6 66:6	62:18 108:20	promesa 1:3	86:22 87:8 131:2
66:10,13 106:6	122:20 132:6	promote 92:9	131:5,12 132:15
125:16 150:6	156:14	properly 12:19	135:5 138:13
152:4			139:2 141:8

# [puerto - referenced]

Page 23

147:25 149:6	117:10,12 118:24	86:11,18 98:10	receiving 33:16
161:7 162:3 163:3	119:19 120:13	111:13,14 113:11	recess 47:12 147:9
<b>pull</b> 132:22 137:8	123:19,23 129:3	113:13 119:1,2	recollection 69:21
<b>pulled</b> 139:13	134:10 138:21	143:1 144:1 146:6	reconcile 34:20
purpose 18:25	139:7 140:16	146:8 148:2,5	record 8:3,22 9:7
21:15 22:2 24:15	141:12,14,16	162:5,6,12 163:5,6	9:24 17:12 24:24
25:19	143:25 144:1,13	163:17	25:13 26:10 47:10
pursuant 1:22	145:8,20,22,23	reading 161:20	47:14,20 49:7
159:13	146:1,2,3,6,7,12	reads 82:22 83:5	104:11,14 106:10
<b>put</b> 9:24 34:6,10	146:22 147:22	89:8 104:23	132:24 142:14
34:18 48:3 61:15	149:23,25 155:16	ready 147:14	143:7 147:7,11
104:15	156:4	really 16:2 49:1	158:15 159:18
q	questioning 85:8	53:17 66:8 122:20	163:9
	147:3 158:7	reason 14:11	recorded 1:14,18
qc 37:7	questions 7:4 9:18	75:13 145:12	recourse 76:23
<b>qtcb</b> 5:3 <b>qualify</b> 70:17	11:9,21,22 13:7,11	161:15 163:8	recoveries 7:10
	14:3,4 25:15 35:8	164:3	49:17,24 94:17,22
question 11:25	35:9 76:4,6,6 94:4	reasonable 22:9	95:4,17,23 149:8
12:19 13:8,16,22 13:24 16:7,8	155:9 156:8 158:6	73:14,20 74:1,9,12	150:7,15,23,24
17:25 18:13 24:5	158:8,12	74:19	recovery 2:3 77:5
24:21,21 25:16,16	quick 75:9	reasonably 113:5	94:24 101:23
25:16,17 30:22	quickly 109:25	recall 19:19 20:13	115:11,14 116:7
37:21 38:8 40:4	quite 45:1	20:20,21,23 21:1	119:11
42:10 44:14 46:18	r	21:21,24 26:19	reduce 113:8
51:5,23,24,25 53:8	r 17:13	37:5 45:1 46:11	reducing 86:2
57:8,9,14 58:8,18	rafael 17:8 43:5	55:21,24 59:2	reduction 98:24
59:25 60:3 62:4	53:1	71:18 120:19	reductions 86:1,20
	raised 132:7	151:6 154:11	refer 38:3 109:24
69:18 70:2 72:9	ran 42:11	155:7	reference 38:8
74:4 75:10,25	range 49:24 94:21	<b>recap</b> 70:18	42:4 53:13 54:5
76:8,19 77:9	130:13 131:20	<b>receipt</b> 161:19	57:22 58:7 65:9
78:15,17,23 79:2,7	rate 22:9 45:10	receipts 35:20	125:14 143:19
79:7,10,17,18,25	46:2,8 64:23	receive 49:17	161:8 162:2 163:2
80:4,6,18,20,24,25	73:12,20 74:1,6,9	54:22,23 64:19	referenced 18:21
82:17 84:25 85:16	74:20	65:2,11,24 66:23	21:17 24:12 37:6
86:8 88:16 90:8	reach 49:19	67:9,12,18,20,20	42:14 54:3 69:1
90:10,13,23 91:3,6	reached 116:19	68:21	73:22 101:22
92:21 95:5 96:13	141:18	received 66:4,10	103:7,15 114:22
101:14,15 102:15	read 39:8,10 56:7	67:17 70:22	142:24 162:11
103:12 111:10,13	56:9 63:18,23	159:18	163:15
113:11 115:6	64:8,14 83:3 86:9		
113.11 113.0	07.0,17 03.3 00.9		

Veritext Legal Solutions

# [references - resource]

Page 24

references 54:19	138:5,17,19 156:2	report 17:22 25:23	97:10 106:8
64:25 132:11	157:11	26:20 33:1,7 36:8	145:17 146:18
referred 137:6	<b>relating</b> 8:11 11:4	37:3,12,22 38:3	represent 8:16
referring 18:11	relation 42:10	48:21 49:3,12,15	122:22 123:1
53:21 62:12 75:18	relationship 58:24	50:6,10,12,18,22	representation
91:9 101:6 115:15	relative 31:5	50:25 51:9,13,15	94:20
115:16 116:24	104:25 105:5	51:22 52:7,9,10,12	representative 1:7
138:8 139:6	108:5,11 159:25	52:13,16,18,22	2:14 3:3
142:15 151:12	released 66:11	53:2,5,10,12,14,16	represented 96:1
refers 140:2	relevant 18:25	54:11,24 55:3,4	159:21
refiled 38:16	46:16 74:18 76:17	56:3,4,7,9,11,13	representing
reflects 98:5	<b>relied</b> 66:19 68:19	56:21,22,24 57:5,7	131:15 152:22
111:23 127:2	68:22 69:8 70:5	57:14 63:8 65:5	represents 131:16
<b>reforms</b> 92:8,16	70:13 71:6 143:16	65:15,18 66:12,12	request 13:22
92:20 93:3,5	reload 47:18	66:19 67:24 71:7	34:14,15 43:11
100:16,23 101:11	rely 68:14	71:11 72:22 88:12	63:10 73:13 81:7
102:2	remainder 108:17	91:19 97:1,3,13,15	148:22 163:9,11
refresh 60:23	110:18	102:7 130:19	requested 39:10
refreshed 136:24	remained 30:23	142:24 143:14,17	111:14 113:13
refuse 72:3,12,14	remember 17:3	144:23 148:3	119:2 146:8
regarding 15:25	28:4 31:21 40:16	150:5,6,9,14,14,16	159:20
16:4,12 17:21	44:9 93:22 134:15	150:23 151:5	requests 33:25
18:8,10 74:6	136:14 153:23	152:23 153:19	41:3,4,5
151:8 156:15	154:18 157:13,20	156:23	required 13:16
regards 16:19	remembering	<b>reporter</b> 8:8 12:4	19:3 30:7 44:21
21:12 22:8 25:23	42:22	17:10 23:10 39:9	81:10,13,22
32:5 38:18 40:13	remind 52:25	39:11 63:10 73:13	129:20 161:25
42:24 44:8 54:18	remote 1:14,18	81:7 111:13,15	requirements
65:4,14 69:2 80:7	12:16	113:14 118:25	129:20 130:14
102:1 116:16	remotely 1:19 2:1	119:3 143:3 146:5	134:14
118:13 151:24	3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1	146:9 148:22	reserve 132:4
154:13 156:20	11:3 159:6,18	149:21 162:7	134:25 135:4,15
regular 13:20	renegotiated	reporting 35:6	158:5
regularly 137:4	45:11	71:20	reserved 9:25
relate 36:7 58:21	repeat 59:25 80:20	reports 7:12 24:5	100:1
97:9,14	92:21 102:15	33:17 34:25 38:24	resource 117:17
<b>related</b> 22:5 24:11	111:10 118:24	39:7 43:3,19 50:7	118:14 137:6,13
26:7 42:16 62:19	141:11	66:21 71:22 88:9	137:25 138:4,16
64:21 81:18 91:19	rephrase 16:10	89:17 90:1,25	138:24 139:9,10
95:3,16 99:15	52:3 89:21 144:5	92:15,19,25 95:2	139:23 140:5,10
100:15 119:21		95:12,16 96:3,6,19	140:19,23 141:6

Veritext Legal Solutions

[resource - schulte] Page 25

		I	I
142:1,2,6,11,18,20	returned 81:15	107:11,14 108:14	<b>rpr</b> 1:19 159:3
143:22 144:8,11	161:19	108:14,19,24,25	rules 1:22 159:14
144:14	revenue 82:23	110:13,17,22	162:5 163:5
resources 33:22	92:11	111:1 114:12	run 45:10 46:1,8
82:11	revenues 81:8,13	115:17 121:4	120:22
respect 20:18	81:23 82:13,25	122:6,7,8,25 125:7	runs 79:11 120:15
29:25 50:10 68:2	92:4 100:22 101:4	125:22 130:6,7	121:8
79:24 131:18	139:11,12	131:15 132:17	S
152:23	review 33:17 35:8	133:10,23 134:2	s 161:16 163:8,8
responding 23:18	39:14 51:21 52:7	135:11 136:2,18	164:3
<b>response</b> 14:3 34:5	52:9,10 79:10	137:16 143:15	saith 158:21
responsibilities	84:23 125:9,12	144:12 145:25	san 3:10 5:12
29:25 30:11,18,23	147:21 148:10	147:4 150:5 153:3	satisfied 150:11
responsibility	158:19 161:13	153:8 154:18	satisfies 150:19
37:4	162:1 163:1	157:10 158:5,18	satisfy 142:23
rest 54:24 108:16	reviewed 14:23	<b>rights</b> 116:17	saying 18:3 64:10
restate 101:15	103:15 124:23	118:11	74:5 80:1 86:14
143:25 146:3	125:10	rings 33:25	91:13 110:6
restricted 125:18	reviewing 50:13	risk 39:19 41:15	says 63:13 75:14
125:18,24 135:8	50:16	rivera 3:9 17:9	75:25 76:1,21
140:4,6	revolver 135:23	43:5 53:1	79:13 83:9 85:17
restriction 119:16	135:25	roads 28:21,22,23	85:23 86:15,23
123:13,20	<b>rico</b> 1:1,6,8 3:10	robert 4:14	92:8 98:3 100:2
restrictions	6:3 7:11,17,21 8:6	robert.berezin	100:20 105:10
124:22	32:2,9,13,16 34:2	4:17	109:20 110:12
restructuring 28:4	67:13 83:20 87:8	role 29:13,19 31:6	120:10,11 126:23
28:21 29:6	131:2,5,12 132:15	31:10 50:9 76:14	131:11,17,23
restructurings	135:5 138:13	roles 30:14	132:3,7,14,18
29:11	139:2 141:8	roll 103:22	135:13 136:1,4,6
result 103:4,17	147:25 149:6	rolled 103:25	144:8 150:8
108:17 111:20	161:7 162:3 163:3	rose 63:9,13	scenario 76:17
resulted 134:13	rico's 7:18 86:17	roth 2:5,10 6:9	148:25 149:4,6,10
results 50:5	86:22	8:15	scenarios 94:17,21
retain 82:12	ridiculous 23:12	rough 158:17	94:23 117:8
132:15	right 17:18 18:6	roughly 126:5	schedule 21:11
retained 60:8,12	41:4 43:6 50:8,23	row 106:18,20,25	scheduled 1:21
83:1 136:5	51:17 55:6 59:16	108:18,21,22,22	50:2 159:13
retired 4:8	63:19,23 64:9	109:15 131:14,16	schedules 50:6
return 85:14	65:23 73:2 84:10	132:8	64:5,21,23 66:7,8
110:10 124:12	84:11 95:13 99:23	<b>roy</b> 6:15	schulte 2:5,10 8:15
126:11 134:10	102:16 104:21		, : : -2

[scooper - similar] Page 26

		I .	I
scooper 2:23	87:24 89:13 90:21	servais 4:21	115:10 120:5
scope 32:7 39:15	92:12 93:14 98:17	serve 19:16 31:4	121:19 122:4
39:17,21 40:17,18	99:1,6,19,22 100:6	served 39:3 56:18	128:11 129:8
41:18 44:18,19,20	100:18,25 102:5	69:1	133:21 136:7,15
45:2,12 46:5,25	104:6 106:22	serves 40:6 57:23	138:12 142:2
scopes 39:15,18	107:18,19 108:16	service 85:20	146:11 147:16
scott 2:21	108:21 109:1,3,6,7	140:8	148:10,19,23
screen 8:24 10:21	109:22 110:8,10	services 28:4	151:2 159:6 161:9
12:20 47:25 48:2	110:17,20,20	40:18 41:18 46:15	162:4,9 163:4,13
48:4,8,10,15,18,24	112:9 114:10	46:25	164:20
59:14 61:16 84:4	119:24 122:13	serving 43:14	<b>share</b> 9:2 10:16,21
107:6 122:14	123:9,17 124:3,21	session 73:22	27:8 47:24 48:4
126:15 127:16	125:4 126:25	sessions 41:5	48:10,18 61:16
136:11 148:16	127:5 129:7 130:3	152:11	73:25 78:11
screen's 127:20	130:24 133:13	set 19:2 31:15	126:15 136:11
scroll 48:22 61:20	134:12 135:22,24	33:13 63:15	148:17
109:1 123:8	138:3 151:10	120:21 131:18	<b>shared</b> 19:7 21:12
scrolled 49:1	154:5 156:8	132:3 160:3	69:4 122:14
seal 160:4,8	seeing 35:9 107:21	sets 99:4	155:12 156:13
162:15 163:21	108:12 126:14	settlements 124:5	<b>sheet</b> 161:14 163:7
second 24:24 45:2	133:15 148:1	124:8	163:10,18 164:1
48:15 63:12 82:20	seek 14:7	seven 129:24	shim 5:4
84:16 87:1,7 92:1	self 28:13	seventh 7:16	<b>short</b> 34:8 47:4
100:18 101:2	send 67:15	<b>shah</b> 1:14,18 5:15	show 93:24
104:23 127:17	senior 29:15,19	8:7,9 11:2,10	showing 90:2
seconds 127:21	30:1,13,15,15 31:8	14:11 17:15 22:21	151:19
section 53:22	32:12	24:2 25:19 26:17	<b>shown</b> 117:19
79:14 84:9 101:22	sense 23:4 104:9	26:23 27:19,23	161:16
101:24	115:2	31:21 38:2 44:3	shows 75:14
sections 54:1	sentence 63:12,25	47:3 48:18,21	<b>side</b> 61:4
sector 40:22	67:24 79:13 82:20	49:8,12 50:9 52:5	sign 52:13 158:19
security 99:5	83:5 85:23 86:11	53:17 54:2,16	signaling 25:7
seda 5:10	86:18,18,23 87:8	55:11,17 56:7	signature 159:19
see 10:19 12:25	87:16 88:24 89:7	57:20 59:8 61:19	160:6 161:15
27:10 32:3,20	92:8 101:6 104:23	61:21 62:8,24,25	<b>signed</b> 162:13
47:19 48:4,16,18	120:10	63:2 65:18 68:16	163:18
50:18 54:16 60:21	separately 116:21	70:6 73:6 75:6,22	signing 50:16
68:4 71:15 73:6	september 133:3	78:7 79:9 80:13	161:20
73:17 76:2,8 77:1	series 39:25 49:23	80:23 88:18 89:19	similar 28:15 41:7
79:6 83:3,12 84:4	71:13 86:2	89:24 104:18	41:13,14 54:4
85:21 86:5 87:10		105:19 106:19	96:1,10 118:10
		<u> </u>	

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

# [similarly - subsection]

Page 27

similarly 11:24	127:15 128:6	<b>stamp</b> 106:12	stearns 28:25 29:3
22:2 103:23	142:16 143:1,24	stamps 49:8	stenographically
<b>simply</b> 9:1 74:11	145:13,25 146:4	stand 47:11 49:12	159:16
129:23	153:6 157:3,5,15	104:12 158:16	step 59:1
sincerely 161:21	<b>sort</b> 9:17 34:19	standing 23:14	stephanie 4:15
sir 16:7 161:10	sounds 55:20,25	24:20,25 25:2,5,12	stephanie.morris
<b>sirens</b> 19:22	<b>source</b> 25:24	25:14	4:18
sit 42:23	143:19	start 18:16 27:22	steps 129:17
<b>sitting</b> 127:13	sources 40:3	27:23 28:7,9	steven 6:17
140:16 154:18	144:18,19,20	37:11 64:17 82:21	stick 146:12
situation 30:6	<b>speak</b> 12:13 114:1	89:2 105:24 107:1	stipulations 9:24
44:22	speaking 10:14	123:10 129:9	<b>stop</b> 83:3 107:6
situations 30:13	22:2 26:19 48:7	138:11 146:7	stops 25:7,8 35:19
size 36:3 86:4,16	78:1	157:4	straightforward
121:18	<b>specific</b> 32:8 33:24	<b>started</b> 34:4 59:9	61:20
sketch 27:4,24	40:21 58:15 78:5	115:11 127:9	stranger 56:1
<b>slate</b> 97:20	94:9,11,11 95:6	starting 40:15	strategic 40:14
slide 107:22	98:13 112:16,23	54:3,7,8 109:2	street 2:6 4:10,22
133:17	138:20	128:14,17 130:3,5	5:5 6:6
slightly 145:20	specifically 67:11	130:6 134:12,17	strike 20:25 27:18
146:2	88:13 114:7 139:5	starts 63:5,12 75:3	142:3
<b>slowly</b> 109:6	specify 78:7	84:21 88:22 134:3	structural 92:7,9
<b>snow</b> 3:20	speculate 79:23	154:3	92:16,20 93:3,5
social 99:5	speculation	state 1:20 5:5 9:7	100:15,23 101:10
solutions 28:22	140:14	106:10 159:1,4	102:2
161:1 164:1	<b>spell</b> 17:11	160:9 162:10	structured 46:11
somebody 44:7	spelled 28:22	163:15	struggling 125:12
<b>soon</b> 109:11	<b>spend</b> 32:13 113:8	<b>stated</b> 87:12	<b>study</b> 29:22 31:19
sorry 9:15 15:17	<b>spent</b> 18:22	statement 7:16	<b>stuff</b> 35:21
15:18 17:24 19:13	<b>spoke</b> 14:24 16:24	17:17 18:5 31:23	stuttered 48:14
27:21 29:18 32:17	19:10 43:3 59:2	38:4,14 86:19	<b>subject</b> 23:3 79:14
32:21 37:18 39:8	118:9 152:8	90:4,16 97:4	157:23
45:6 48:1,14	<b>spoken</b> 58:9,10,10	123:2 126:17	<b>submit</b> 59:20 60:4
59:11,25 69:10	spreadsheet 7:15	143:10 162:13,14	60:5
73:1,2 74:3 77:23	square 2:17	163:19,19	submitted 56:2,6
79:3 80:17 89:1	<b>srz</b> 6:16	states 1:1 63:8	56:20
90:2,11 92:21	<b>srz.com</b> 2:8,8,12	67:25 73:9 140:7	subscribed 162:10
93:9 101:1 107:6	ss 159:1	<b>statute</b> 84:8 85:4	163:14 164:21
111:10 113:10	stakeholder 41:3	<b>stay</b> 81:17	subsection 84:15
116:3 118:20	stakeholders	stays 114:10	84:20 85:1
122:5 124:18	71:23		

[substance - testify] Page 28

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

[testimony - two] Page 29

testimony 11:16	147:5 148:4,19,23	times 2:17 23:2	transfer 100:3
14:18,21 16:1,1,5	151:2 154:9	42:16 137:5	135:14
16:5,12,12 44:21	157:17	155:13	transformation
45:14,17 136:14	thinking 36:3	timing 14:25	29:9,14 30:14
159:18 162:6,7	thinks 57:6	104:9	transformations
163:6,9,12	third 2:10 63:4	title 1:3 7:10,16	29:10
tests 41:2 42:6	67:22 135:13	28:5 40:7,11,13	transitioned 33:20
text 39:10 76:20	thirty 161:19	49:18 98:8 103:6	treasury 131:1,8
111:14 113:13	thomas 6:17 26:12	103:17,23 104:5	131:12,17 132:3
119:2 146:8	48:9 61:15 62:24	105:17,23 104.3	treatment 118:17
156:16	72:23 104:15	111:18 113:2	119:6
thank 9:22 11:12	106:15 110:2	149:1 150:7,15,25	trial 11:16
13:2 15:2 17:14	120:2 123:9	titled 150:8	troncone 6:17
20:3 23:7 26:1	120:2 123:9		true 36:20 64:11
35:3 59:17 61:14		today 8:3,19 9:25	
	136:10	10:10,15,19 11:20	159:17
61:17 75:17 82:3	thought 19:23	13:6,20 14:2,12,22	truth 8:10,11,11
85:13 86:24	32:19 43:11 128:5	31:13 38:3 45:14	11:3,4,4 159:7,7,8
113:15 128:9	146:2	45:22 56:9 114:15	truthfully 14:12
129:1 133:6	thousand 87:13,22	114:20 127:13	try 14:4 18:12
154:19 158:3	129:13	140:16 148:20,24	23:15 34:6,20
thanks 107:24	three 28:6 30:3	told 57:13	35:16 36:2 39:14
thereof 1:23	43:4,8,16 54:10,16	top 42:22 46:10	40:4 62:3 69:20
thing 60:15 70:8	65:21 87:14	59:4 60:21 75:19	79:2 91:4 94:13
71:17	129:22 139:16	122:2	148:2 153:12
things 33:3 39:25	thumbnail 27:4	topic 62:20 156:21	155:10
41:16 42:22 71:24	time 1:22 8:3 12:1	topics 22:11,17	<b>trying</b> 16:2 18:7
78:3 100:8	12:2 13:14,14	23:1 24:6,10 26:5	23:4 34:10,16
think 9:4,16,23	18:23 26:8 27:14	39:4 40:21 43:15	35:15 46:19 55:22
23:8 24:20 26:21	28:5,17 29:2,4	59:2	69:13 70:18 80:13
31:2 33:3 34:23	30:24 32:5,7,13	total 56:1 113:1	93:11 94:5 95:14
36:22 37:21 40:15	34:13 36:5 37:24	120:19 141:23	108:2 117:6 118:5
44:24 47:3 48:2	38:17 39:18 42:20	track 20:15 137:4	121:5 125:8
53:21 58:23 64:25	44:1,10 45:1	155:18	138:15 144:2
70:4 72:25 74:17	57:25 58:5 62:2	transcribed	154:9
79:22,25 86:11	66:3,8,12,16 73:2	159:16 162:7	tsa 71:20,21
91:15 93:20	79:9 84:23 88:3	transcript 12:3,9	turn 21:20 86:25
100:18 109:1	88:16 109:6 113:9	158:18 159:17	158:7
113:20 114:14,22	115:3 120:16	161:12,13 162:5	<b>turning</b> 102:11
118:9 125:25	121:14 147:6	162:12 163:5,11	turtle 3:21
130:22 143:9,9,13	156:7 158:9,20	163:17	two 15:12 28:10
145:9,22 146:1			54:18 75:24 89:1

[two - weil.com] Page 30

89:5 129:21,24	42:18 44:11 51:8	vague 119:4	
130:4 146:11	66:18 77:19 78:18	valdes 8:16,21	W
tx 3:22	79:18,23 80:14	valenciano 6:18	<b>w</b> 6:16
type 70:7,8 88:7	81:1,4 82:4,8,9,15	valuation 41:10	wait 76:23 107:6
89:15,23,23	86:13 104:3	value 73:15 74:1	122:23
	114:24 118:16		<b>waived</b> 161:20
<b>types</b> 67:6	140:22 141:22	107:2,9,12 109:2	walk 72:21 128:22
u	140:22 141:22	110:11,21,24	129:3,16
<b>u.s.</b> 131:1,8,12,17		111:2 112:9 134:8	walked 140:9
<b>uh</b> 61:22 106:23	understood 12:15	136:1	want 10:2,9 32:18
129:12	13:19 15:24 16:22	values 107:15	39:20 47:8 51:7
ultimately 33:20	19:7 91:24 124:11	130:4	51:11,25 59:13
50:16 92:10 102:3	129:25 155:10	variety 93:7 94:21	68:10 69:11 75:15
120:15	unfortunately	various 40:21	91:14 93:6,18
unadjusted 145:1	29:1	94:17,24	97:1 102:15
unavailable 81:24	united 1:1	vary 45:20	106:19 113:17
124:24 125:11	university 26:25	vast 103:3	136:7 145:21
unclear 13:7	27:3	verbally 12:10	147:3 153:1 157:7
undergrad 28:2	unnecessary 23:23	verified 68:6	158:17
undergraduate	unrealistic 44:24	veritext 6:18	wanted 39:22
26:24	unrestricted 87:13	161:1,8 164:1	48:12
understand 12:7	87:21 88:2,15,17	veritext.com.	washington 2:7
12:14,21,22 13:7	88:19 91:16,20,23	161:17	6:6
13:10,12,13,18,25	125:6,8,21,24	versed 73:24	way 19:25 74:13
14:9,17,24 16:2,7	127:2 129:9 141:8	versions 146:11	74:25 93:16 117:7
17:24 18:7,24	141:14,19,23	versus 31:9 114:20	137:3 140:15
34:16 38:5,17	unsecured 4:3	117:17	ways 34:3 51:8
43:16 46:19 47:17	26:9 66:14 67:5	vice 29:15,20 30:1	wdalsen 3:7
50:5 51:4,23 52:2	69:2 83:6,10	<b>video</b> 1:14,18 8:3	we've 26:17 44:8
57:12 62:21 64:16	<b>update</b> 38:12,14	19:23 20:22	54:25 71:13,24
69:11 70:21 80:7	38:15 97:3	104:13 155:6,14	106:8 136:3 137:5
80:13,23 86:7	uploaded 47:17	videographer 6:17	139:10 140:8
91:5 93:18 95:14	use 38:2 49:25	8:2 47:10,13	146:22 148:24
98:19 101:14	81:22 82:12	104:11,13 147:7	151:2 153:10,11
103:5 113:4	125:17	147:10 158:15	156:4,8 157:9
115:14 117:6	uses 76:12 87:16	view 12:19 77:11	website 39:16 40:2
113:14 117:0	123:20 124:4	108:20 109:4	133:2
	usvi 7:21	110:2,17 150:10	weekly 71:19
138:8,15 141:4,11	V	violate 12:1	weight 14:19
144:4 148:2,5		virtually 10:10	weight 14:19 weil 4:15
149:23 157:7	v 161:7 162:3	<b>virtue</b> 144:21	
understanding	163:3		weil.com 4:17,18
9:10 21:11 22:5			4:18

[welcome - zoom] Page 31

welcome 11:15	91:23 92:14,16,20	143:5 145:4
78:7	93:2,4 95:3,15,16	149:22 150:22
went 8:22 28:24	95:22 96:2,5 97:9	151:14 157:5
29:4 126:2 127:16	97:14 113:21	year 27:11,15
127:22 144:17	114:2 125:23	89:11 100:3
whereof 160:3	136:23 145:16	104:25,25 105:1,4
wickersham 4:22	146:13,15,17	105:6,7,13 107:1,4
wide 86:3	153:9,10,14,15,18	107:4,5,9,12,15,16
william 3:4	worked 28:13 35:1	108:5,5,11,11,13
witness 1:18,20	39:5 95:8 136:16	108:16 109:4,13
5:15 8:23 9:15	136:20 146:18	110:11,23,25
15:20 25:8 32:18	<b>working</b> 28:3,14	111:2 112:3,7,9,19
44:4,5 47:5 48:7	29:6 120:1 146:20	112:20 114:6,11
57:10,11,12 60:19	156:5	120:14,21 121:11
61:8,10,13 84:4,18	workout 28:14	133:3 135:14,15
85:3 91:7 105:20	works 89:19 92:18	137:3
119:20 121:21	92:24 97:13	years 28:6,10
122:11 127:15	workstreams	32:11 33:21 40:15
142:16 145:7	30:12	42:2 45:4 47:2
153:6 158:13	write 53:2 54:15	58:6 74:18 95:9
159:11 160:3	78:23 79:21	110:20 112:19
161:9,12 162:1,4	written 1:22	113:1,20,23 114:6
162:11 163:1,4,15	100:25	120:20 121:1
witness' 161:15	<b>wrong</b> 124:18	yep 82:6 93:17
wolfe 56:17,18,20	126:16	yesterday 47:25
wondering 136:23	wrote 52:18,20	york 2:11,18 3:16
<b>word</b> 152:13	55:10,14,14	4:5,16,23
words 52:20 53:5	X	young 19:17 22:15
55:4 81:5 152:11	x 28:21,22,23	22:18 24:3,6,10,12
work 9:17 10:13		25:21
27:13 28:24 29:4	<u>y</u>	Z
29:23 30:1 31:17	yards 3:15	zabel 2:5,10 8:15
32:1,5,7 33:20	yeah 23:17 24:23	zargel 154:8
34:6 36:6,17,20	25:6,11 36:22	zero 55:19 99:4
37:8,11 38:23	39:13 50:2 60:2	100:5 129:23,23
39:21 40:19 41:1	60:14 81:18 87:6	129:24,24,24,24
41:8 43:1,17,23	94:9 101:5 102:18	<b>zoom</b> 105:24
44:2,8 50:17	102:24 115:5	106:16
58:21,24 59:10	121:7 122:11,20	100.10
67:3 88:8,11,17,19	124:20 133:24	
89:16,25 90:23	137:24 139:4	

# Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 30

- (e) Review By the Witness; Changes.
- (1) Review; Statement of Changes. On request by the deponent or a party before the deposition is completed, the deponent must be allowed 30 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which:
- (A) to review the transcript or recording; and
- (B) if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement listing the changes and the reasons for making them.
- (2) Changes Indicated in the Officer's Certificate. The officer must note in the certificate prescribed by Rule 30(f)(1) whether a review was requested and, if so, must attach any changes the deponent makes during the 30-day period.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING FEDERAL PROCEDURE RULES

ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1,

2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE FEDERAL RULES

OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

# VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions' confidentiality and security policies and practices should be directed to Veritext's Client Services Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com.